EXHIBIT 10

TERRY M. SPEAR, Ph.D.

July 29, 2009

W.R. GRACE & CO.

Nordhagen Court Reporting - QA@Bresnan.net - 406-494-2083

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:) Chapter 11	
)	
W.R. GRACE & CO., et al.,) Case No. 01-01139 (JF	KF)
) (Jointly Administered	(£
Debtors.)	

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF TERRY M. SPEAR, Ph.D.

Taken at:

Nordhagen Court Reporting

1734 Harrison Avenue

Butte, Montana

July 29, 2009

8:35 a.m.

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:	1	APPEARANCES (Continued):
2		2	111 2 111 1 (COMMING)
3	FOR THE DEBTOR:	3	FOR THE PI FCR:
4	BRIAN THOMAS STANSBURY	4	(Telephonically)
5	Attorney at Law	5	GABRIELLA V. CELLAROSI
6	Kirkland & Ellis LLP	6	Attorney at Law
7	655 Fifteenth Street, NW	7	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC
8	Washington, D.C. 20005	8	1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W Suite 1200
9		9	Washington, DC 20006-4604
10	FOR THE LIDBY OF A DATA DATE	10	
11	FOR THE LIBBY CLAIMAINTS:	11	EOD WYDAU YND CYCHAI EAN
12	TOM L. LEWIS	12	FOR MARYLAND CASUALTY:
13 14	Attorney at Law	13 14	(Telephonically) JEFFREY C. WISLER
15	Lewis, Slovak & Kovacich, PC P.O. Box 2325	15	Attorney at Law
16	Great Falls, Montana 59403-2325	16	Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
17	Oreat I ans, Wortana 37403-2323	17	The Nemours Building
18		18	1107 North Orange Street
19	FOR THE ASBESTOS CLAIMANTS COMMITTEE:	19	Wilmington, Delaware 19899
20	(Telphonically)	20	William Sciaware 19099
21	BERNARD S. BAILOR	21	
22	Attorney at Law	22	Also Present:
23	Caplin & Drysdale, Chtd.	23	MORGAN ROHRHOFER, Case Assistant
24	One Thomas Circle, NW	24	VIDEOGRAPHER: John Nordhagen
25	Washington, DC 20005	25	
	Page 3		Page 5
1	APPEARANCES (Continued):	1	INDEX
2		2	Witness: Page:
3	FOR THE PD FCR:	3	TERRY M. SPEAR, Ph.D.
4	(Telephonically)	4	Examination by Mr. Stansbury 8
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5	ALAN B. RICH	5	Examination by Mr. Lewis 210
6	Attorney at Law	6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6 7	Attorney at Law Alan Rich Law	6 7	Examination by Mr. Lewis 210 Examination by Mr. Stansbury 214
6 7 8	Attorney at Law Alan Rich Law Elm Place	6 7 8	Examination by Mr. Lewis 210 Examination by Mr. Stansbury 214 Videotape No. 1 6
6 7 8 9	Attorney at Law Alan Rich Law Elm Place 1401 Elm Street, Suite 4620	6 7 8 9	Examination by Mr. Lewis 210 Examination by Mr. Stansbury 214 Videotape No. 1 6 Videotape No. 2 66
6 7 8 9 10	Attorney at Law Alan Rich Law Elm Place	6 7 8 9	Examination by Mr. Lewis 210 Examination by Mr. Stansbury 214 Videotape No. 1 6 Videotape No. 2 66 Videotape No. 3 130
6 7 8 9 10 11	Attorney at Law Alan Rich Law Elm Place 1401 Elm Street, Suite 4620	6 7 8 9 10 11	Examination by Mr. Lewis 210 Examination by Mr. Stansbury 214 Videotape No. 1 6 Videotape No. 2 66
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Attorney at Law Alan Rich Law Elm Place 1401 Elm Street, Suite 4620 Dallas, Texas 75201	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Examination by Mr. Lewis 210 Examination by Mr. Stansbury 214 Videotape No. 1 6 Videotape No. 2 66 Videotape No. 3 130
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Attorney at Law Alan Rich Law Elm Place 1401 Elm Street, Suite 4620 Dallas, Texas 75201 FOR THE PI FCR:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Examination by Mr. Lewis 210 Examination by Mr. Stansbury 214 Videotape No. 1 6 Videotape No. 2 66 Videotape No. 3 130 Videotape No. 4 197
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Attorney at Law Alan Rich Law Elm Place 1401 Elm Street, Suite 4620 Dallas, Texas 75201 FOR THE PI FCR: (Telephonically)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Examination by Mr. Lewis 210 Examination by Mr. Stansbury 214 Videotape No. 1 6 Videotape No. 2 66 Videotape No. 3 130 Videotape No. 4 197 EXHIBITS
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Attorney at Law Alan Rich Law Elm Place 1401 Elm Street, Suite 4620 Dallas, Texas 75201 FOR THE PI FCR: (Telephonically) KATHLEEN A. ORR	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Examination by Mr. Lewis 210 Examination by Mr. Stansbury 214 Videotape No. 1 6 Videotape No. 2 66 Videotape No. 3 130 Videotape No. 4 197 EXHIBITS NO. PAGE DESCRIPTION
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Attorney at Law Alan Rich Law Elm Place 1401 Elm Street, Suite 4620 Dallas, Texas 75201 FOR THE PI FCR: (Telephonically) KATHLEEN A. ORR Attorney at Law	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Examination by Mr. Lewis 210 Examination by Mr. Stansbury 214 Videotape No. 1 6 Videotape No. 2
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Attorney at Law Alan Rich Law Elm Place 1401 Elm Street, Suite 4620 Dallas, Texas 75201 FOR THE PI FCR: (Telephonically) KATHLEEN A. ORR	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Examination by Mr. Lewis 210 Examination by Mr. Stansbury 214 Videotape No. 1 6 Videotape No. 2 66 Videotape No. 3 130 Videotape No. 4 197 E X H I B I T S NO. PAGE DESCRIPTION 1 66 May 2008 Curriculum Vitae
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Attorney at Law Alan Rich Law Elm Place 1401 Elm Street, Suite 4620 Dallas, Texas 75201 FOR THE PI FCR: (Telephonically) KATHLEEN A. ORR Attorney at Law Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Examination by Mr. Lewis 210 Examination by Mr. Stansbury 214 Videotape No. 1 6 Videotape No. 2
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Attorney at Law Alan Rich Law Elm Place 1401 Elm Street, Suite 4620 Dallas, Texas 75201 FOR THE PI FCR: (Telephonically) KATHLEEN A. ORR Attorney at Law Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP Columbia Center	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Examination by Mr. Lewis 210 Examination by Mr. Stansbury 214 Videotape No. 1 6 Videotape No. 2
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Attorney at Law Alan Rich Law Elm Place 1401 Elm Street, Suite 4620 Dallas, Texas 75201 FOR THE PI FCR: (Telephonically) KATHLEEN A. ORR Attorney at Law Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP Columbia Center 1152 15th Street, N.W.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Examination by Mr. Lewis 210 Examination by Mr. Stansbury 214 Videotape No. 1 6 Videotape No. 2
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Attorney at Law Alan Rich Law Elm Place 1401 Elm Street, Suite 4620 Dallas, Texas 75201 FOR THE PI FCR: (Telephonically) KATHLEEN A. ORR Attorney at Law Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP Columbia Center 1152 15th Street, N.W.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Examination by Mr. Lewis 210 Examination by Mr. Stansbury 214 Videotape No. 1 6 Videotape No. 2
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Attorney at Law Alan Rich Law Elm Place 1401 Elm Street, Suite 4620 Dallas, Texas 75201 FOR THE PI FCR: (Telephonically) KATHLEEN A. ORR Attorney at Law Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP Columbia Center 1152 15th Street, N.W.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Examination by Mr. Lewis 210 Examination by Mr. Stansbury 214 Videotape No. 1 6 Videotape No. 2
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Attorney at Law Alan Rich Law Elm Place 1401 Elm Street, Suite 4620 Dallas, Texas 75201 FOR THE PI FCR: (Telephonically) KATHLEEN A. ORR Attorney at Law Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP Columbia Center 1152 15th Street, N.W.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Examination by Mr. Lewis 210 Examination by Mr. Stansbury 214 Videotape No. 1 6 Videotape No. 2

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

Page 6 Page 8 TERRY M. SPEAR, Ph.D. 1 **EXAMINATION** 1 2 WEDNESDAY, JULY 29, 20 09; BUTTE, MONTANA 2 BY MR. STANSBURY: 3 3 Q. Good morning. 4 BE IT REMEMBERED THAT, pursuant to notice, the 4 A. Good morning. deposition of Terry M. Spear, Ph.D., was taken at the time 5 5 Q. Would you please introduce yourself for the 6 and place and with the appearances of counsel hereinbefore 6 record. noted before Candice L. Nordhagen, Registered Professional 7 7 A. My name is Terry Spear. 8 Reporter and Notary Public for the State of Montana. 8 Q. My name is Brian Stansbury and I represent 9 W.R. Grace in this bankruptcy proceeding. 9 10 The following proceedings were had: 10 You are a doctor, correct? 11 11 Yes. 12 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:32. We're on the 12 Q. What is your degree in? 13 record. 13 A. Industrial hygiene. This is the videotaped deposition of Dr. Terry Q. Okay. And where do you currently work? 14 14 Spear, taken by the co-counsel for Debtors and 15 15 A. At Montana Tech of the University of Montana. Debtors-in-Possession. 16 16 Q. Now, Dr. Spear, you've had your deposition This is Case No. 01-01139 (JFK); In re: W.R. 17 17 taken before, correct? 18 GRACE & CO., et al., Debtors. 18 Α. Yes. This deposition is being taken on July 29, 19 19 Q. About how many times? 2009, at Nordhagen Court Reporting; 1734 Harrison Avenue; I don't know. Quite a few; I don't have that 20 20 A. Butte, Montana. 21 21 number. 2.2 The court reporter is Candi Nordhagen. 22 Q. More than 30? The videographer is John Nordhagen. 23 23 A. Probably. Counsel will now introduce themselves, after Q. Less than a hundred? 2.4 24 which the court reporter will swear in the witness. 25 25 Probably. Page 7 Page 9 1 Q. Somewhere in the 50-or-so range? 1 MR. STANSBURY: Brian Stansbury of Kirkland & 2 2 A. I would guess. Ellis for W.R. Grace. 3 3 MR. LEWIS: Tom Lewis, for the Libby Q. Okay. So you're familiar with the process, 4 claimants. 4 then. Anybody on line? 5 5 A. Yes. MR. BAYLOR: Okay. Bernard Baylor, for the 6 6 Q. All right. I'm just going to go over a couple 7 issues. And if you have any questions, just let me know. Asbestos Claimants Committee. 8 MR. RICH: Alan Rich is on the line for the First, I would ask that when responding, you do so in a Property Damage FCR. And if you take down my e-mail, I "yes", "no", or audible manner, as opposed to nodding your 9 will e-mail you back my full contact information. head or saying "um-hmm", just so we keep the record clean. 10 10 It's Alan, A-L-A-N @ alanrich - R-I-C-H - law 11 Is that fair? 11 - L-A-W - dotcom. 12 A. Yes. 12 MS. ORR: This is Kate Orr for the Personal 13 Q. Also, I will strive at all times not to speak 13 14 Injury FCR. 14 over you; and hopefully, we can avoid you speaking over MS. CELLAROSI: Gabriella Cellarosi for me, again, for the benefit of the court reporter to keep 15 15 the record clear. Is that fair? 16 Maryland Casualty. 16 17 MR. STANSBURY: Anybody --17 A. That's fair. Q. Okay. Are you under any medication today that MR. WISLER: Jeffrey Wisler, for Maryland 18 18 would inhibit your ability to answer questions truthfully, 19 Casualty. 19 honestly, and completely? 20 MR. STANSBURY: Anybody else? Going once. 20 A. No. 21 21 22 TERRY M. SPEAR, Ph.D., 2.2 Q. Okay. And unless stated otherwise, I'm going 23 having been called as a witness by the to presume that you understood my questions. If at any 23 24 Debtor, being first duly sworn, was point I ask a question that for whatever reason you don't 25 examined and testified as follows: understand, please let me know so I can rephrase it or we

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

Page 10 Page 12 1 can ensure that we're on the same page. Is that fair? small particle technology. 1 Q. Okay. 2 A. That's fair. 2 3 Q. Okay. You say you're at Montana Tech at the A. I think those are the main ones. 4 **University of Montana?** Q. Let me just, let me unpack this a bit just so A. Yes. 5 I'm clear. We're in the summer right now. So let's say 6 Q. And what is your title? last spring, which courses did you teach? A. My title is professor and department head. 7 7 A. Last spring I taught respiratory protection and I believe it was sampling strategies. 8 O. And that's the -- what is the actual, what is Q. Okay. Let's talk about respiratory 9 the department? 9 10 A. The department is the Safety, Health and 10 protection. Could you briefly describe what that class 11 Industrial Hygiene Department. 11 entails? 12 Q. Okay. And how long have you worked there? 12 A. It entails providing -- teaching the students how to develop a respiratory protection program, going I have been at Montana Tech for 26 years, I 13 13 think. through the different types of respirators, fit testing of 14 14 Q. Uninterrupted? workers who have to wear respirators, training in 15 15 A. For the most part. Yeah, I haven't had any 16 16 respiratory protection. other employment with other companies. Q. And in the course of that class, is there any 17 17 Q. Okay. What is your educational background? point in that class when you deal with, for example, 18 18 A. My, from -- well, my educational background is asbestos in particular? 19 19 a bachelor's degree in microbiology from the University of A. Yes. 20 20 21 Montana. 21 Q. How prevalent was that, was asbestos, in the O. Is that in Missoula? discussion in your class? 22 22 A. Well, since I do a lot of work in asbestos, I 23 A. Yes. 23 make sure that I cover the topic with the students. And I 24 Q. Okay. 24 mean it certainly isn't the focus of the class, but we And then a master's of science degree in Page 11 1 industrial hygiene from the University of Minnesota, and talk about respiratory protection where asbestos is 2 then a Ph.D. in industrial hygiene from the University of 2 concerned. 3 3 Minnesota. Q. Okay. And you said "tree samplings"? Was 4 Q. When did you get your Ph.D. from the 4 that the other --University of Minnesota? 5 A. Pardon me? 5 A. It was awarded in 1996, I believe. 6 6 Q. What was the other course you said? 7 Q. Okay. And was that -- were you still working 7 A. Sampling strategies. at Montana Tech at the time? 8 8 Q. Oh, sampling strategies, I'm sorry, I 9 A. Yes. 9 misunderstood. Sampling strategies, and what is that? Q. Were you alternating between going to classes 10 A. That's a course involving designing sampling 10 11 at the University of Minnesota and coming back to Montana strategies for contaminants in the workplace. 11 Tech, or what was the arrangement? 12 Q. And by that, you mean, for example, taking air 12 13 A. I did take a leave of absence. I believe it 13 samples? 14 was in the late '80s, and went back to Minnesota and took 14 A. Well, yeah. It's not an instrument course. classes. And then I -- there were other trips back and You know, that's covered in the sampling course, a 15 15 forth to Minnesota from Montana Tech, not any extended 16 different course. But it's mainly how do we assure that 17 trips, but basically traveled back and forth to do 17 we're collecting representative samples, defining exposure examinations and such. 18 18 groups, and things pertaining to that. Q. Do you teach graduate or undergraduate 19 Q. Now, at Montana Tech, are you teaching courses 19 20 right now? 20 students? A. Yes. A. Those two classes are graduate classes. 21 21 Q. What courses do you teach? 22 Q. Okay. Now, other than your coursework at A. Oh, I, over the years, I've taught many Montana Tech, what other work have you done in the past 23 23 different courses. Now I primarily teach courses in 24 ten years related to asbestos in particular?

25

A. Other than -- what was the first part of your

respiratory protection, courses in sampling strategy,

2

5

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

9

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Pages 14 to 17)

Page 16

Page 14

1 question?

2

3

5

6

7

- Q. Teaching at Montana Tech. You mentioned respiratory protection, a course which, you know, relates to asbestos exposure. Other than -- and I guess what I'm really trying to get to is: Beyond your role as an educator, what work have you done in the last ten years related to asbestos?
- 8 A. Well, we've been doing research pertaining to asbestos for longer than ten years, since about 2003; 9 10 consulting work pertaining to asbestos.
- Q. Okay. Now, let's look at the -- if I read --11 12 it would be research work and consulting work. Let's start with the research work. Now, what research projects 13 have you worked on related to asbestos? 14
- 15 A. The research has involved evaluating asbestos exposure pathways associated with the amphibole asbestos 16 in Libby, and then also doing research pertaining to 17 vermiculite or other asbestos-containing materials within 19 homes.
- 20 Q. And by vermiculite in homes, are you referring to vermiculite attic insulation? 21
- 2.2
- Q. Any other type of vermiculite product in the 23 home that was studied? 24
- A. No, it was primarily vermiculite attic

Page 15

insulation -- or is primarily vermiculite attic

2 insulation; wall, some wall insulation.

3 Q. Oh, vermiculite attic insulation that was put 4 in the walls. Is that what --

5 A. Yes.

24

6 Q. Okay. So the asbestos pathways in Libby, 7 which pathways have you studied?

8 A. We've been looking primarily at the dispersion of asbestos from the mine site into the forest beyond 9 Libby and into the town of Libby and along transportation 10 corridors. 11

12 Q. Now, is that dispersion in any way ongoing or is it simply studying historical dispersion? 13

14 A. Well, we believe that there's evidence that it's ongoing, but is what we're doing is basically 15 16 evaluating, trying to determine the boundaries of this contamination, so it's not always easy to tell if it's 17 18 historical or current.

19 Q. Okay. And so the dispersion of the asbestos from the site in the ambient air? Is that --20

21 A. Well, that was one way it was dispersed was 22 ambient air, and I'm sure it was dispersed through movement by machines and road dust and things like that. 23

Q. So that would be human activity in the mine site and surrounding area kicking up dust. Is that a way 1 of describing that?

A. That would be fair.

Q. Okay. Any other pathways other than asbestos traveling in the ambient air and people kicking up dust around the mine site?

6 A. Well, and the transportation of it; the 7 loading of it and the transportation of it.

Q. Okay. So ambient air, we'll call it "activity that unsettles settled dust." Is that a fair way of 9 10 describing that?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And then you said the transportation of 13 it?

14 A. Yeah, loading and transportation of it, I believe is what I said. 15

16 Q. And would that refer to loading and transportation involving rail lines? 17

A. Well, rail lines or other types of loading, truck loading and things like that, I guess.

Q. Where was the truck loading occurring?

A. Well, just basically from the mine and then being transferred down to different points along the highway and then unloading by truck. And so I'm just trying to cover all the different aspects of how they would load it.

Page 17

1 Q. Okay. So transportation loading and unloading, is that a fair way of describing the third 3 pathway? 4

A. Yes.

5 Q. Okay. Any other pathway for potential exposure in the communities, let's say, in Libby that 7 you've studied?

8 A. Not currently, we haven't.

Q. What about historically?

10 A. Well, no, none of the research has involved -that research has not involved any in-house types of 11 sampling. The vermiculite research has. 12

Q. Oh, and that would be -- okay, I understand 14 what you're saying. So when you refer to vermiculite, 15 this is what we were speaking of earlier, which was the 16 Zonolite attic insulation that was in attics and also was 17 in, in some cases, the walls of homes, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. So we can just make that the fourth item on the list where we have asbestos traveling from the mine site from the ambient air; asbestos kicked up around the mine site by human activity; transportation, which includes loading and unloading of vermiculite; and then Zonolite attic insulation in homes. Are there any other pathways of exposure besides those four that you have

A. Wipe sampling is wiping a surface or a garment

with, basically, an alcohol wipe to remove dust or

Q. Okay. So you have done both personal

(Pages 18 to 21) Page 20 Page 18 1 studied? Q. Okay. So let me write that down real quick. 1 A. That I have studied, no. The University of the Utah, the harvesting study. And was 2 Q. Okay. Are there any other pathways other than that the study that was published in 2007? 3 4 those four that you are aware of? A. I believe so. A. Well, I think there's, again, there's a lot of 5 Q. Okay, okay. What other studies -- what other 5 activity that go on in homes that could stir up asbestos sources of funding were involved with other studies that 6 dust there and create exposures. you've published? 7 8 Q. From Zonolite attic insulation or from some 8 A. Well, the Forest Service is funding the studies we're doing for them. 9 other source? 9 10 A. Well, it could be from attic insulation or it 10 Q. And which study is that? could be from other sources that made their way into the A. The occupational exposure of Forest Service 11 11 12 12 workers. Q. Are you aware of any other sources? Q. And have the findings of that study been 13 13 A. Well, windblown dust. published in a paper? 14 14 A. Not yet. 15 Q. Okay. So that would be, again, kind of under 15 the first heading which would be asbestos that's blown Q. Okay. The work you've done for the Forest 16 16 from the air, blown through the air from the mine site. Service, is it in any way bearing on your opinions in this 17 17 Is that what you're referring to there? case? 18 A. That would be one method, yes. 19 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Is it something that you have produced, these 20 Q. Okay. A. And then transport just by human activity, findings that you have? Have you taken samples? 21 21 carrying it in on your clothes or your feet. 22 22 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so this research that you've done, 23 When did you take these samples? 23 0. on whose behalf was it performed? A. I believe it was -- we did the initial Forest 24 24 The research that we began in 2003 was, was Service sampling or study last summer, not this summer but 25 Page 21 funded through a COBRE Grant, the University of Montana. last summer. 1 1 Q. And which, so I'm clear, which was the 2003 2 2 Q. And this is in Lincoln County? 3 research? A. Yes. 3 4 4 A. That's doing the Libby work. Q. Okay. How many samples did you take? Q. So all those, all four categories you were A. Boy, that's a -- we do a series of air 5 5 speaking of earlier, all that's been funded by a grant 6 sampling, personal air sampling; and then we also do wipe through the University of Montana that was issued in 2003? sampling of Tyvek clothing they were wearing for 7 protection. 8 A. Well, in part. 8 9 Q. In part. 9 Q. And personal air sampling, is that often A. It began with funding from COBRE, the 10 abbreviated PBZ? 10 11 University of Montana, and then there was some funding 11 A. Yes. provided from the University of Utah to do some later work 12 Q. Okay. And that's "personal breathing zone"; 12 in the more recent years. is that right? 13 13 14 Q. And which work was that, the more recent work 14 A. Yes. in later years you mentioned? 15 Q. Okay. So you do personal breathing zone 15 sampling. And then you said "wipe sampling"? 16 A. We've been working with the Forest Service to 16 17 determine potential occupational exposure within their 17 A. Yes. Q. What is wipe sampling? 18 jobs within the forest around the mine. 18

23 breathing zone sampling and wipe sampling for the Forest 23 Q. Okay. A. The University of Utah funded the initial 24 Service. And this was, I believe you said, the summer 25 **2008, correct?**

19

20

21

22

asbestos.

A. Yes. In fact, let me correct myself a little

Q. Okay. And this work was what was ultimately

25 firewood harvesting simulation study.

published in a series of papers, correct?

19

20

21

22 bit here.

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

Page 22 Page 24 1 A. I believe that's when we did it. 1 correct? 2 A. I'm sorry, what would impact? 2 Q. Do you have an estimate of how many samples O. The findings of your work for the forestry 3 vou took? 4 A. Well, I would say the air samples, I would department. The work you've done for the forestry estimate that we took -- I don't know if it was in the department and the samples taken and your analysis of 6 range of 50 samples. those samples informs your opinion about potential Q. And what about the wipe samples? exposure somebody would have in the forest around Libby, 7 8 A. Well, the wipe samples, we used composite correct? wipes. So if you count individual wipes, there were 9 A. Well, in terms of Forest Service employees, 9 probably maybe 60 or 70 wipe samples. I don't remember 10 yes. 11 Q. Okay. And what are Forest Service employees, 11 the exact numbers. 12 Q. And this work that you've done for the Forest 12 just so I'm clear? Service, just so I'm clear, this is not the work that was 13 A. Well, these are people that work for the 13 Forest Service and do work that the Forest Service 14 published in the recent publications that you have 15 requires them to do. authored related to your work in Libby, correct? 15 Q. And what is that? What kind of work is that? 16 A. It has not been published yet. 16 A. Well, they do trail maintenance, and they do 17 Q. Okay, not yet. So it's not available to the 17 public, then, correct? tree measurement, and they evaluate forests for forest 18 18 health, and they have test plots where they evaluate tree 19 A. That would be correct. growth. And that's part of what they do. 20 Q. Okay. However, you say this does inform your 20 Q. What kind of -- and so when you did --21 opinion about potential exposures in Libby? 21 22 A. They fight forest fires. 22 A. In and around Libby, yes. Q. Okay. How so? 23 Q. So when you did this study, you simulated 23 A. Well -activities, correct? 24 2.4 MR. LEWIS: Well, wait now. I want to object. A. Yes. Page 25 1 He hasn't said that it forms his opinions in this case. 1 MR. LEWIS: Objection; that's not what he's 2 It's not a completed study. He hasn't reached final testified to so far. 2 opinions. Okay? And it informs his opinions generally, 3 Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) I believe your answer to but he's not testifying it informs his opinions in this 4 the question was "yes"? 5 case. 5 A. For the Forest Service, we simulated the 6 MR. STANSBURY: I will ask going forward you activities that they would perform. 7 not coach the witness through your objections. 7 Q. And which activities were those? Just so I'm 8 You may answer the question. -- you mentioned some activities earlier, but just so I'm 9 THE WITNESS: Well, yeah, all of the work that clear, which activities did you simulate? we do in Libby informs me generally as to the, you know, 10 A. We simulated trail maintenance when they're 10 the dispersal of the asbestos in and around Libby. 11 clearing trails. 11 Obviously, this work hasn't been published. We haven't 12 Q. Okay. 12 even finalized the results for the Forest Service 13 A. We simulated tree measurement. 13 14 occupational study, so -- (pause.) 14 15 Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) But you are aware of the 15 A. We simulated walking through the forest if 16 results, correct? 16 they were walking to get to a stand of trees to evaluate. 17 A. I'm aware of results. 17 Q. Okay. Anything else? Q. And that is something which impacts your 18 18 A. And we simulated fire line construction. understanding of potential exposures in and around Libby, 19 19 O. What is fire line construction? correct? 20 20 A. If there's a forest fire, and it's the A. Well, this focused on the Forest Service 21 21 constructing of a fire line around the fire. 22 occupational study, so it's a narrow -- much narrower than 22 Q. How is that constructed? Is it made of -the bark studies. Let's put it that way. 23 23 what is it made of? 24 Q. But it would still impact, let's say, forest 24 It's done with hand tools. workers working in the forest in and around Libby, 25 Hand tools. And where, generally, did you

(Pages 26 to 29) Page 26 Page 28 conduct these simulations? 1 1 you done? 2 2 A. The area was probably - let's see if I can get Just literature reviews to, in my own mind, 3 my directions right - probably northeast of where the mine understand the knowledge of asbestos and the hazard of site was outside of the restricted zone of the mine, and asbestos over time, and how companies were dealing with essentially between the mine and Lake Koocanusa. 5 5 these issues, and things like that. 6 Q. We might need some help with spelling on that 6 Q. When did you first conduct - and I'm going to 7 use the term "comprehensive", and if you have any one later on. 7 8 Any other research other than what we've discussed question, please feel free to -- I'm happy to clarify. 9 this morning? Any other asbestos-related research? 9 When did you first conduct a comprehensive review of 10 Let me rephrase this: Have you conducted any other 10 asbestos literature? asbestos-related research other than what we've discussed 11 11 MR. LEWIS: Object to the form of the question 12 so far this morning? 12 on the grounds that it's compound and unintelligible as A. Let me think for just a second. I don't 13

13 14 believe so.

MR. LEWIS: Counsel, I'm not going to coach 15 16 the witness, but I, but I don't know if you intended by 17 "research" formal research projects or background research many years ago. From the witness's answer, I'm not sure he understood the question. 19

MR. STANSBURY: That would be coaching the 20 21 witness.

22 MR. LEWIS: No, it is not coaching the witness sir. I'm trying to clarify the record here. Your 23

question was broad. And what do you mean? 24

I'll make a formal objection. The question is

Page 27

2.3

4

9

15

17

vague because it refers to "other research" without

defining what you mean by "other research"; and therefore, 2 it's an improper question. 3

1

4

5

6

11

13

25

Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) Dr. Spear, is there any other research that you conducted related to asbestos other than what we've discussed this morning?

7 A. Well, the understanding of our questioning 8 along those regard is that you were asking about research that we were performing in Libby to collect data and 10 publish results.

Q. Okay, then let's clarify this. And again, to the extent that you ever misinterpret or are concerned you 12 may be, please feel free to raise it.

14 Other than Libby, is there any other research related to asbestos generally that you've performed? 15

16 A. Well, I performed literature research of 17 asbestos.

18 Q. And what is literature research? Does that 19 mean reviewing literature?

A. Yes. 2.0

Q. Okay. But that's not, for example, taking 21

22 samples and analyzing the samples and reaching conclusions

based on the sampling, correct? 23

24 A. Correct.

> Okay. What type of literature reviews have 0.

stated.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean what you consider 14 15 comprehensive, I may not.

16 Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) Okay.

17 A. I mean to me, that's a confusing word.

18 Q. And let's get that, let's figure that out.

19 When did you first review any article related to 20 asbestos?

21 A. Well, probably back in 1978.

22 Q. Okay. Do you remember what that was?

A. I don't remember what it was.

24 Q. Okay. When did you first decide to seek out 25

asbestos literature specifically for purposes of

researching and broadening your understanding of asbestos 2 literature?

3 A. Probably in 1979.

Q. And what was the reason for doing that?

5 Because I was working at a copper smelter in

Anaconda, and we certainly had asbestos-containing

7 materials there. And we had issues that I had to look up

8 pertaining to asbestos.

Q. What kind of articles did you read?

10 A. Well, at that time, I'm sure I read the OSHA

and the NIOSH publications, and some of the textbooks or 11

National Safety Council information; Patty's Industrial

Hygiene and Toxicology, things like that, that provided 13

14 information on asbestos.

Q. Did you survey epidemiological literature?

16 A. I'm sorry?

Q. Did you read epidemiological literature?

18 A. I'm sure I did.

19 Q. Okay. Do you recall any studies that you

reviewed in 1979? 20

21 A. No. I'm sure I saw the Doll study in 1979. I 22 don't specifically recall all the articles I looked at in 23 1979, I'm sorry.

24 Q. Okay. Did you continue -- well, let me rephrase that. Do you have any idea of how many articles

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

Page 30 Page 32 1 you reviewed in 1979? question. 1 A. I don't have. I don't. I can't give you a 2 2 A. Well, yeah, I'm not a medical doctor. I number. I mean it's many years ago and I don't remember certainly read medical literature and toxicological 3 3 4 the articles I looked up. literature. I'm not a toxicologist, I mean, but it all Q. Okay. Did you stay current with the asbestos informs me concerning the subject matter of asbestos. 5 5 6 literature after 1979? 6 Q. Okay. Well, let's, I guess, then, kind of go 7 through some of the areas where you -- well, some of your 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. What publications would you review on an qualifications, so to speak, with respect to different ongoing basis after 1979? aspects of asbestos disease. 9 9 10 A. Well, the publications in the American 10 MR. LEWIS: Objection to the form of the Industrial Hygiene Association Journal and the American 11 11 question. Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygiene Association 12 Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) You mentioned that you have 12 Journal. no medical training, correct? 13 13 A. Correct. 14 Q. So those are both, as the title would imply, 14 publications aimed at industrial hygiene issues, correct? Q. Okay. And that would include no training in 15 15 Well, yes, I believe that would be correct, radiology, correct? 16 16 A. Correct. 17 then. 17 Q. No training in pulmonary medicine generally, 18 Q. So they would look at things such as exposure 18 levels, sampling methods, issues like that, correct? 19 19 correct? MR. LEWIS: Objection; that's a compound 20 20 A. Correct. 21 question. 21 Q. Do you have any experience obtaining exposure histories from a patient? 22 But you can answer, Doctor. 22 THE WITNESS: Well, yeah, I mean it could 23 23 A. No. cover many different aspects. I mean there were -- you Q. And so, of course, you're not able to diagnose 24 know, they would talk about protecting workers through patients with asbestos-related disease, correct? Page 33 sanitation, and clothing, and showers, and things like 1 A. Correct. 1 that. Sure, I mean it would cover not only sampling and 2 Q. Do you have an opinion on whether there is a standards, but how do we control the exposures. 3 distinction between individuals who have developed an 3 4 Q. Okay. Do these articles -asbestos disease from exposures in Libby, Montana, as A. The Annals of Occupational Hygiene, obviously, opposed to individuals who have developed an asbestos 5 too, is another one that was -- that I considered to be disease from exposures outside of Libby, Montana? 6 7 7 important even back in the late '70s. MR. LEWIS: Could the court reporter read back 8 Q. But would these publications contain mortality 8 the question? It's a long question and I'm not sure I 9 studies of cohorts exposed to asbestos? 9 understand it. A. They could provide summaries of those types of 10 10 (The pending question was read by the court 11 studies. 11 reporter.) 12 Q. But that would not be the central focus of 12 MR. LEWIS: Okay. I'm going to object to the 13 form of the question on -- it's vague. The word 13 these articles? 14 A. Well, I'm not sure. I mean the -- certainly, 14 "distinction", I don't know what you mean by that. a lot of the textbooks and the articles that you're 15 Perhaps the witness does, and I'm not going to coach him 15 16 referring to discussed mortality and rates of death from 16 or interfere with his answer, but the question is vague asbestos exposure. 17 and overbroad. 17 18 Q. Okay. What about pulmonary function testing? 18 THE WITNESS: I had, you know, I had two 19 Was that an area of asbestos medicine that you stayed 19 questions pertaining to the question. And --BY MR. STANSBURY: 20 current on during this time period? 20 21 A. Not really. 21 Q. Sure. What were your questions? 22 MR. LEWIS: Objection. This witness is not a 22 A. What do you mean by, yeah, the distinction medical doctor. He's not qualified to testify as to between individuals? Are we talking about male versus 23

24

25

female?

Q. Okay.

24

25

pulmonary studies.

Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) You may answer the

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

Page 36

Page 34

- A. And then the second question is: When you say
- 2 exposure outside of Libby, are we talking about exposure
- 3 to asbestos, or different types of asbestos, or what are
- 4 we talking about?
- Q. Good, and I appreciate you asking me aboutthat, any questions you have.
- Obviously, you're aware that people who are exposed to asbestos may develop disease, correct?
 - A. Yes.

9

- Q. And people in Libby have been exposed to
- 11 asbestos from Libby and developed disease, correct?
- 12 A. Correct
- Q. And people, let's say, in Pascagoula,
- 14 Mississippi, have been exposed to asbestos and developed
- 15 disease from those exposures, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Often asbestos that had nothing to do with
- 18 Libby, Montana, correct?
- 19 A. That could be correct.
- Q. And those, you know, just from your general
- 21 review of the medical literature, you are aware that these
- 22 diseases fall into -- there are different types of
- 23 diseases associated with asbestos exposure, correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Mesothelioma, correct?

Page 35

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Lung cancer?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And there are also various forms of
- 5 non-malignant asbestos-related diseases, correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And that could include asbestosis, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Fibrosis of the pleura, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. And do you have any opinion as to how any of
- $12 \quad those \ diseases \ would \ manifest \ themselves \ differently \ in \ a$
- 13 person whose exposure was to asbestos in Libby as opposed
- 14 to a person who was exposed to a different type of
- 15 asbestos outside of Libby?
- 16 A. Yes.
- **Q.** You have an opinion?
- A. Based on my review of the Libby work and the
- 19 medical literature.
- Q. What is that opinion?
- A. My opinion is that the asbestos, the amphibole
- 22 asbestos in Libby seems to be causing a very severe
- 23 pulmonary fibrosis which is progressive and fast-acting
- 24 and can lead to death, which is different than what's been
- 25 seen in other cohorts exposed to different types of

1 asbestos.

Q. Okay. So let's unpack that. So the answer to my question would be you do have an opinion, and your

opinion relates not to mesothelioma or lung cancer, per

- 5 say. The opinion you stated relates to that non-malignant
- 6 disease, correct?
- 7 MR. LEWIS: Objection. He didn't testify to
- 8 everything you asked him to assume by that question, if
- 9 that is a hypothetical question, and the question is
- 10 compound.
- 11 Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) You may answer.
 - A. What I referred to was the pulmonary fibrosis.
- Q. Okay. Which is a non-malignant disease,
- 14 correct?

12

15

1

- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have an opinion as to any difference
- 17 involving lung cancer or mesothelioma?
- A. Well, I testified in previous depositions
- 19 regarding Libby that there are certainly some medical
- 20 literature that is saying that the tremolite in that
- 21 case they were talking about tremolite, not Libby
- 22 amphibole is a long, thin fiber, and it has been shown
- 23 to be a very potent mesothelioma causer.
- Q. Okay. So you do have an opinion regarding
- 25 Libby disease -- strike that.

Page 37

- You do have an opinion with respect to mesothelioma.
- 2 What about lung cancer? Do you have an opinion about how
- 3 a person exposed to asbestos in Libby as opposed to a
- 4 person exposed to a different type of asbestos elsewhere
- 5 may develop lung cancer?
- 6 A. Well. I think all forms of asbestos can cause
- 7 lung cancer.
- 8 Q. But you don't have an opinion as to weather
- ${\tt 9} \quad \textbf{Libby asbestos has a greater likelihood of causing lung} \\$
- 10 cancer as opposed to another form of asbestos?
- 11 A. I don't really have an opinion on that.
- Q. Okay. So let's talk about your mesothelioma
- 13 and your pulmonary fibrosis opinions. Let's start with
- 14 the pulmonary fibrosis. And what type of pulmonary
- 15 fibrosis are you talking about? Are you talking
- 16 interstitial disease or are you talking about pleural
- 17 disease?

18

- A. Pleural.
- Q. Okay. Do you have an opinion as to how
- 20 exposure to Libby tremolite affects interstitial disease
- 21 compared to the way other forms of asbestos cause
- 22 interstitial disease?
 - A. Well, I don't. I can't comment on medical
- 24 diagnosis or medical findings. All I'm saying is I draw
- 25 my opinion or I form my opinion based on what I'm reading

(Pages 38 to 41)

Page 38

- 1 in the medical literature.
- Q. Okay. So from what you're reading in the 2
- medical literature, can you identify any piece of 3
- literature that would support an opinion that exposure to
- Libby asbestos would have a greater likelihood of causing
- interstitial disease as opposed to other forms of
- asbestos? 7
- 8 A. I don't.
- Q. Okay. But you do have that opinion with 9
- 10 respect to pleural disease, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. So let's focus, then, on pleural
- disease. Now -- and maybe I should take one quick step 13
- back. When we're talking about asbestos from Libby, what
- is the asbestos from Libby? 15
- A. Asbestos from Libby is a mixture of 16
- amphiboles. 17
- Q. Which amphiboles? 18
- A. Well, it's what's been identified as winchite 19
- and richterite and tremolite, and then another one that I 20
- can't pronounce, riebeckite, or some long name that I 21
- don't even try to pronounce. 22
- O. Now, are there any difference -- what are the 23
- differences between winchite and tremolite?
- A. Well, my -- I'm not a mineralogist, either,

Page 39

- but my understanding is that they're in the same mineral
- family, but there's differences in, I think, sodium and
- potassium for one. But again, I'm not a mineralogist. 3
- Q. Okay. The same question with respect to richterite. Are you available -- are you aware of any 5 differences between richterite and tremolite?
- 6
- 7 A. Well, again, the same mineral family, to my 8 knowledge.
- 9 Q. Okay. The majority of the amphibole in Libby is winchite, correct? 10
- 11 Α. Yes.

4

- 12 Q. In fact, based on what's in the literature,
- often tremolite would be as low as 6 percent of the 13
- 14 amphibole material in the ore from Libby, correct?
- 15 A. It could be.
- 16 Q. Okay. It could be higher, correct?
- 17
- 18 Q. But in some cases, over 80 percent was
- 19 winchite, correct?
- A. Yes. 20
- 21 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say winchite is the 22 predominant amphibole in the Libby amphibole?
- A. According to Meeker, I believe that would be 23
- 24 his assumptions, that it's mostly winchite, followed by
- richterite, followed by tremolite.

Page 40

- 1 Q. And you, you rely on Meeker, don't you, in
- 2 your expert report?
- 3 A. Well, I rely on his mineralogy expertise, I
- 4 guess, yes.
- 5 Q. Okay, okay. So when we're talking about Libby
- 6 amphibole, we're talking about a mix of these four
- 7 amphiboles, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And so if I use the term "Libby
- 10 amphibole," you understand I'm referring to the four
- amphiboles found in Libby, Montana, correct? 11
 - A. Yes.

12

- Q. Okay. So let's go back to the pleural 13
- disease. What is your opinion about any differences in 14
- the way pleural disease has manifested itself in people 15
- exposed to the Libby amphibole? 16
- 17 A. Well, again, I'm not a toxicologist or a
- medical doctor, but these are amphiboles. We know that 18
- amphiboles, in general, are toxic and cause severe lung 19
- disease. And so now we have a combination of amphiboles, 20
- and so, obviously, it's going to be toxic. And my opinion 21
- basically comes from talking to the doctors in, in Libby. 22
- Q. Okay. And you kind of led me to where I 23 wanted to go, because your opinions are not based on your 24
- training as an industrial hygienist, correct? Your

Page 41

- opinions -- let me rephrase that. 1
- 2 Your opinions about pleural disease in Libby are not
- 3 based on your training as an industrial hygienist,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. Well, we don't get medical training as an
- 6 industrial hygienist.
- 7 Q. Okay. What specific opinions do you have
- about pleural disease in Libby? You mentioned them
- earlier, but I just want to make sure that we're clear
- about them so we can go over them. 10
- 11 A. I'm sorry, what --
- 12 Q. You mentioned that you thought pleural disease
- 13 in Libby was - and again, I'm paraphrasing here, and I'd
- 14 like you, to the extent that I misstate this, correct it -
- 15 it's progressive, progresses to death. You mentioned a
- 16 couple of things about pleural disease in Libby very
- 17 quickly when we were talking about it earlier, and I just
- 18 wanted to go over that real quick. If you would, please,
- 19 identify those.

- A. Well, in reading the literature and talking to
- Dr. Black specifically, he's seeing in his patients 21
- 22 pleural disease which is occurring quicker or manifesting
- 23 itself sooner than they would normally expect; it's more
- 24 painful than other types of exposures outside of Libby; it
- is progressive, they're seeing progression of this

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

Page 42 Page 44 1 disease; and it can be fatal; it's affecting pulmonary 1 A. Yes. 2 function. 2 Q. And does that study in any way identify or address the pain involved with pleural disease? 3 Q. So we have pleural disease in Libby that, unlike other pleural disease elsewhere, occurs quicker, is 4 A. Not that I know of. more painful, progressive, and can be fatal. Did I 5 Q. Okay. Does it discuss the onset of pleural 5 summarize that correctly, sir? 6 disease in particular? A. That's my understanding, yes. 7 A. I don't -- I haven't read the article in 7 8 Q. Okay. And are these opinions that you intend awhile. You know, it's mainly a mortality study to look to offer when you testify? at the mortality of workers that worked at the mine. 9 9 10 A. Well, I don't know if, if I'm, you know, if 10 Q. Right. 11 I'm allowed to offer anything related to medical. I mean A. So it may not be specific to pleural disease 11 12 I'm an industrial hygienist. I'm just saying I read the 12 for all I know. medical literature, I work in Libby, I work with Dr. Black 13 13 Q. Okay, okay. And the Rohs study, that is a as a technical advisor to the TAG, and I get this study, a follow-up study -- I guess when I say "the Rohs 14 information from the doctors. study," we can kind of put Rohs and Lockey together, 15 15 Q. Okay. So -- and I guess because I asked 16 correct? We're talking about the study of the workers in 16 earlier if you had an opinion, and perhaps I should be the O.M. Scott facility in Marysville, Ohio, correct? 17 17 more specific, when I ask if you have an opinion on this, 18 A. Correct. Q. Okay. So the Lockey/Rohs study, Lockey you may have an opinion on the weather, but do you have an 19 -- is this an opinion that you believe that you can published in the early '80s, correct? testify about in court? A. Yes. 21 21 A. I don't even know how to answer your question. 22 22 Q. And then Rohs published a follow-up in, I I'm not a lawyer. I don't know if I would be allowed to think, either 2007 or 2008, correct? 23 23 24 opine that. 24 Α Yes. Q. Do you believe that you are qualified to opine Q. And what about the Rohs study informed your Page 43 Page 45 on those issues? opinion about pleural disease in Libby? 1 1 2 A. Well, if, if, you know, reading the medical A. Well, it's pointing to a greater toxicity of 2 3 literature and speaking to doctors in Libby qualifies me, the Libby amphibole, that we're seeing disease in lower 4 then, yes. concentrations than we have in previous studies pertaining 5 Q. Because that would be the extent of your basis to other types of asbestos. 5 6 for this opinion. It's review of medical literature and 6 Q. And so just so I'm clear, what she reports are 7 discussion -- I think you identified Dr. Black. That was 7 the exposure levels at the Marysville facility, correct? 8 8 the basis of your opinion about Libby pleural disease, Who are we referring to? correct? 9 9 Q. Rohs. 10 10 A. Yes. Okay. Q. Okay. Which literature have you reviewed to 11 11 Q. I'll repeat the question. Dr. Rohs reports form this opinion? the exposure levels at the Marysville facility, correct? 12 12 A. Well, different studies by, I guess, Patricia 13 13 A. Yes. 14 Sullivan, Rohs, Lockey, the Peipens publication, 14 Q. And she also reports the prevalence of pleural Dr. Whitehouse's publications. abnormalities among the workers, correct? 15 15 16 Q. So that would be the Sullivan study, the Rohs 16 Yes. 17 study, the Lockey study, the Peipens study, and then you 17 Q. And she breaks down the population into mentioned Dr. Whitehouse's publications. Are there any 18 18 quartiles, correct, or is it quintiles? other publications that have informed your opinions about 19 A. As far as I remember, yes. I haven't looked pleural disease in Libby? 20 20 at those in awhile, either. A. Well, I'm sure there are. Those are the ones Q. But four or five exposure categories, correct? 21 21 22 that come to mind. I mean it's hard for me to pinpoint 22 specific publications as we sit here. 23 Q. And one of the findings of the studies that 23

24

she focuses on is that we see pleural abnormalities in the

lower exposure quartile, correct?

24

Q. Okay. And the Sullivan study, that is the

NIOSH mortality follow-up study, correct?

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

Page 46

A. Could you repeat that?

- Q. One of the important findings she addresses in the study was that there were individuals with an elevated level of pleural abnormalities in the lowest exposure
- quartile, correct? 5

1 2

3 4

6

- A. I believe that's correct.
- 7 Q. Are you aware of any other finding in that 8 study that impacts your understanding of pleural disease from exposure to asbestos in Libby? 9
- 10 A. Well, I get the Rohs and the Lockey study mixed up, just in my mind, but -- you know, so they saw, 11 in the early years, they saw a certain percentage of people with pulmonary disease. And then they followed 13
- these people up after 20-something years, and it went from
- like 4 percent pleural disease up to 26 percent pleural 15 16 disease.
- Q. Right, right. And so that's -- the percent 17 you're talking about is the prevalence of pleural 18 abnormalities in the working population, correct? 19
- 20 A.
- Q. Okay. But nothing in that study addresses the 21 pain of pleural disease, correct? 22
- A. Well, that's correct. 23
- 24 Q. Okay.

6

A. I guess they don't have the opportunity to

Page 47

- talk to the patients in Libby. 1
- 2 Q. Well, the people in Marysville, Ohio, were exposed to Libby amphibole, correct? 3
- 4 A. Right. But I'm just saying I get this
- information from Dr. Black who tells me what's --5
 - Q. Oh, I understand.
- 7 A. -- what's being reported.
- 8 Q. For now let's focus specifically on the
- literature rather than the conversations with Dr. Black. 9
- There's nothing in the Rohs study which addresses the pain 10
- involved with Libby pleural disease, correct? 11
- 12 A. Not that I know of.
- Q. Okay. And the Rohs study was a morbidity 13 study, did not look at mortality, correct?
- 14
- 15 A. Right.
- 16 Q. So there is nothing in that study that would
- 17 support an opinion regarding the fatality involved with
- Libby pleural disease, correct? 18
- 19 A. I believe that would be correct.
- Q. And the issue of "occurs quicker", how does 20
- 21 the Rohs study impact that opinion?
- 2.2 A. Well, other than the fact that, you know, it
- was reported that with time-weighted average exposure 23
- levels of, I think it was, 0.3 to 0.4 or 0.5 per cc
- averaged over an eight-hour day, they were still seeing

Page 48

- pulmonary abnormalities. And this was among a working
- population that was working at the time, so I guess from
- that standpoint. But again, the "quicker" part comes
- from, again, the discussion with Dr. Black --
 - Q. Okay.

5

8

9

10

20

- 6 A. -- that we're seeing these things happen 7 quickly.
 - Q. So just to be clear, then, the Rohs study does not support an opinion about the onset of Libby pleural disease from first exposure, does it?

MR. LEWIS: Object to the form of the question 11 12 using the term "support". It implies something that is not present by his prior answer. To the extent that the 13 14 question purports to summarize a prior answer, it incorrectly does so and is therefore improper. 15

MR. STANSBURY: I'm going to ask that you keep 16 your objections in line with the Federal Rules of Civil 17 Procedure, state them briefly and succinctly to preserve 18 19 the record, and not coach the witness.

You man answer, sir.

MR. LEWIS: I'm going to -- I want to make a 21 statement on the record. There was no coaching there. 22 When I practice before the Federal Courts, I understand 23

24 that you have to inform the Court of the basis for your objection and not just make some small objection like, "I

object to the form of the question." That's improper.

And that's all I did, and there was no coaching of the

witness in that objection. 3

4 MR. STANSBURY: Again, I'm going to ask you to state the objection succinctly and briefly. 5

6 You may answer the question.

7 MR. LEWIS: That was as succinctly and briefly 8 as I could make my objection given the nature of your 9 question.

THE WITNESS: I think we should back up 10 11 because I don't know where we were.

12 MR. STANSBURY: I think that was his objection in the first place was to create that impression of not 13 14 knowing where we are.

MR. LEWIS: I move to strike statement of Counsel on the record. It's improper. He's not a 16 witness. He's a lawyer. He needs to, he needs to shorten up his questions and ask understandable questions so we don't have these objections.

MR. STANSBURY: Could you read back the last question before the exchange that I had with Mr. Lewis?

22 (The record was read by the court reporter as

follows: 23

15

17

18

19

20

21

24 "QUESTION: So just to be clear, then, the 25 Rohs study does not support an opinion about the onset of

2

5

9

18

1

7

12

25

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

Page 52

Page 50

1 Libby pleural disease from first exposure, does it?")

2 THE WITNESS: Well, from my recollection, it

- 3 doesn't.
- 4 Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) Okay. And then that leaves
- 5 "progressive." And I guess maybe I should ask you to
- explain what you mean when you say something isprogressive.
- 8 A. Well, my understanding is that it refers to
- 9 the progression of the disease after the exposure stops.
- Q. And how is this a unique or distinct manifestation in Libby?
- A. Well, again, in speaking -- reading the
- 13 medical literature I've read and talking to Dr. Black,
- 14 they believe that it's progressing.
- Q. But if I'm exposed to asbestos working in
- 16 Mississippi, it's chrysotile asbestos, for a few years and
- 17 $\,$ then my exposures stop, I'm still at risk of developing
- 18 disease, correct?
- A. Well, yes, but it may not progress. It may
- 20 not continue to envelope different portions of the lung.
- 21 Again, I'm not a medical doctor.
- Q. Okay. So again, I think that your
- 23 qualifications here, perhaps this, you know, can close up
- 24 some of this discussion. You're not a medical expert.
- 25 These issues as to whether Libby disease occurs quicker,

Page 51

- is more painful, is more progressive, or is more fatal,
- 2 these are not issues in which you intend to offer opinions
- **3 at the confirmation hearing?**
- 4 MR. LEWIS: Objection; that's a compound
- 5 question.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Well, those, those are my
- 7 opinions based on what my understanding is of the
- 8 situation. And if someone asks me for that opinion, I'd
- 9 just repeat what we did today.
- Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) Okay. And so then we'll
- 11 just tie this up real quick, then. We discussed Sullivan,
- 12 we discussed Rohs and Lockey, and then you mentioned
- 13 Peipens as well, correct?
 - A. Yes.

14

- Q. And that was the published finding of the
- 16 ATSDR screening analysis, correct?
- Let me rephrase that. Peipens' paper was the
- 18 published results of the ATSDR's medical surveillance
- 19 program in Libby in the summers of the 2000 and 2001,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And that study examined individuals by
- 23 giving them a questionnaire, administering an x-ray, and
- 24 examine -- strike that.
- In that medical surveillance, the ATSDR had each

..... (21)

- person fill out a questionnaire, correct?
 - A. I believe that's correct.
- **Q.** And the questionnaire included information
- 4 about the potential exposure pathways, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- 6 Q. They also administered an x-ray, correct?
- 7 A. They did.
- 8 Q. And they had those x-rays read by B readers,
 - correct?
- 10 A. Right.
- Q. And then they also administered pulmonary
- 12 function tests, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware of whether the pulmonary
- 15 function tests results were in the Peipens paper?
- A. I don't. I'd have to review the paper, I
- 17 don't remember.
 - Q. Okay. And one of the takeaways from the
- 19 Peipens paper was that approximately 17 percent of the
- 20 screened individuals had pleural abnormalities, correct?
- A. Well, yeah, depending on what group we're
- 22 looking at. They had different percentages.
- Q. But I believe if you looked at just the
- 24 entirety of the population screened, it was approximately
 - 5 17 percent, correct?

Page 53

- A. That could be correct.
- 2 Q. Eleven hundred eighty-six people, does that
- 3 sound about right?
- 4 A. Well, the Peipens study talked about, I
- 5 believe it was 9,000-something --
- 6 **Q. Oh, no.**
 - A. -- people.
- 8 Q. I understand. But did they --
- 9 MR. LEWIS: I object. You promised this
- 10 witness you would not interrupt him and you cut him off in
- 11 his answer.
 - Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) Did you have anything else
- 13 to add?
- A. Well, no. You threw a number out there that I
- 15 didn't know where it came from.
- Q. Let me put the number into context. They
- 17 administered x-rays on approximately 6600 people. Does
- 18 that sound about right to you?
- 19 A. That sounds about right.
- Q. And I believe 1,186 were found to have pleural
- 21 abnormalities, correct?
- A. I don't remember the number.
- Q. Okay. How does the Peipens paper inform any opinions that you may have about pleural disease in Libby?
 - A. Well, the Peipens paper, I think, pointed out

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

Page 56

Page 54

- 1 that there is a potential -- there is environmental -- or
- 2 disease caused from environmental exposure to Libby
- 3 amphibole.
 - Q. Okay. But that does not --
- 5 A. As well as, you know, I mean, basically, the
- 6 highest rates were in the working population. In their
- 7 exposure category where they could not identify a pathway,
- 8 that percentage was 6.7 percent, so that's roughly 3 times
- 9 higher than what you would expect to find in other types
- 10 of population-based studies that have been done looking at
- 11 the prevalence of abnormalities of the lung associated
- 12 with asbestos.
- Q. Okay. That paper, however, did not inform your opinion as to whether pleural disease occurs more
- 15 quickly in Libby, though, correct?
- 16 A. That's probably correct.
- Q. Okay. Nor does the Peipens paper inform your
- $18\,\,$ opinion as to whether Libby pleural disease was more
- 19 painful, correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. Does it inform your -- does the Peipens paper
- 22 inform your opinion as to whether pleural disease in Libby
- 23 is more progressive?
- A. Well, I don't know how to answer that
- 25 question, I guess -- probably not.

Page 55

- Q. Okay. And it certainly doesn't impact your opinion as to whether pleural disease in Libby was more
- 3 fatal, correct?
- 4 A. No.
- **Q.** Okay. And then you mention Dr. Whitehouse's
- 6 paper. Which paper was that?
- 7 A. Well, he's had several. I've looked at
- 8 several of his recent publications.
- 9 Q. You published one in 2004, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. And you also published a paper in 2008
- 12 regarding mesothelioma, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. So the 2004 paper, how did that paper inform
- 15 your opinions as about pleural disease in Libby?
- A. I believe that in -- Dr. Whitehouse's papers
- 17 describe the disease rates and the effects on pulmonary
- 18 function, and I believe the 2004 paper talks about the
- 19 pleural disease rate, but I could be wrong.
- Q. Okay. Does it inform your opinion as to
- 21 whether -- does the Whitehouse 2004 paper inform your
- 22 opinion as to whether pleural disease occurs more quickly
- 23 in Libby?
- A. I don't remember if that was discussed in the
- 25 paper or not.

....

- Q. Okay. Sitting here today, does the 2004 paper
- 2 in any way inform your understanding as to whether pleural
- 3 disease in Libby is more painful?
 - A. No.

4

- 5 Q. Sitting here today, does the 2004 paper in any
- 6 way inform your opinion as to whether pleural disease in
- 7 Libby is more progressive?
- A. I believe it does, yes. I think that
- 9 progression is discussed. I don't know if -- I don't
 - remember the specifics of that paper.
- Q. So sitting here today, you cannot think of a
- specific way in which that paper informs your
- 13 understanding of progression of disease in Libby?
- A. I can't remember specifically how it discusses
- 15 that topic as I sit here today.
- Q. Okay. And the 2004 paper by Whitehouse does
- 17 not inform your opinion about the fatality involved with
- 8 pleural disease in Libby, correct?
- 19 A. Not that I know of.
 - Q. Okay. You mentioned on a couple of occasions
- 21 Dr. Black, your conversations with Dr. Black informed your
- 22 opinions, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- O. And who is Dr. Black?
- A. Dr. Black works in the card clinic up in

Page 57

1 Libby.

20

- 2 **Q.** What is his role there?
- A. I believe he's the director or he runs the
- 4 card clinic.
- 5 Q. Okay, runs the card clinic. And what kind of
- 6 doctor is Dr. Black?
- 7 A. I don't know.
- 8 Q. Is he a pulmonologist?
- 9 A. I don't know for sure if he's a pulmonologist.
- 10 I guess I haven't looked at his resume.
- Q. Okay. Do you think that's important, what
- 12 kind of doctor -- a person, a doctor's training, do you
- 13 think that's relevant to their work as a doctor?
- 14 A. I suppose it could be, sure.
- Q. Okay. And sitting here today, you're not
- 16 aware of any pulmonary training Dr. Black has had,
- 17 correct?
- A. No. Like I say, I haven't looked at his
- 19 resume.

- Q. Okay. Were you aware that Dr. Black was
- 21 trained as a pediatrician?
- 22 A. No.
 - Q. Okay. Do you believe -- okay, so you weren't
- 24 aware of that.
- 25 A. No.

(Pages 58 to 61)

Page 60

Page 58

- 1 Q. Okay. And you weren't aware that he worked at St. John's Hospitals -- St. John's Hospital for many years in pediatrics, correct? 3
 - A. No.

4

8

- Q. Okay. You weren't aware that he never did a 5 6 residency or fellowship in radiology, pulmonary medicine, 7 or occupational medicine, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. But your conversations with him have 9 10 informed your opinions about pleural disease in Libby?
- A. And what he's seeing in patients that they're 11 12 screening through the card clinic.
- Q. Okay. Again, though, as you said it earlier, 13 you're not a medical professional. Your opinions are 14 based on conversations with Dr. Brad Black in review of 15 the studies that we mentioned earlier, correct? 16
- MR. LEWIS: Objection. This is a summary of 17 his testimony. It's improper, it's compound. And 18 therefore, it's an improper question, and I object to the 19 form of the question. 20
- Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) You may answer. 21
- A. Yeah, in forming my opinions related to the 2.2
- toxicity of the Libby amphibole, I think is what I said is 23
- that those are the articles which I've read most recently, 24
- but not all of the articles I've read pertaining to

Page 59

- toxicity of asbestos, including Libby amphibole. 1
- Q. But sitting here today, there's no other 2 article you can think of that informs any opinions you 3 have about any pleural disease in Libby?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Okay. Do you have any specific opinions 7 about -- let me back up a second. Are you familiar with the term "diffuse pleural thickening"? 8
 - A. Well, I've seen the term.
- 10 Q. Do you have any opinions about diffuse pleural 11 thickening?
- 12 A. No.

9

- Q. Okay. That's not something you intend to 13 14 opine about at the confirmation hearing, is it?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Okay. And you're not an epidemiologist 17 either, correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- Q. You have no education that qualifies you to 19 20 opine on epidemiology, correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Do you have an opinion on the levels of
- exposure that cause asbestos-related diseases? 23
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And what is the basis of that opinion?

A. Well, the basis of that opinion, again, is my

- 1 review of the medical literature and scientific journal
- 3 articles.

9

9

17

- 4 Q. Do you believe that epidemiology should be the basis of establishing which exposure levels can cause
- 7 A. I do believe that is one part of it, but it certainly isn't the only part of it.

Q. What other parts are there?

- 10 A. Well, there are -- basic clinical studies is another part of it, what is being seen in clinics with 11
- 12 patients. Some of that may not appear as an epidemiologic
- study. And, I guess, other types of studies in different 13
- types of plants where they're seeing disease rates or 14
- mortality rates that may or may not be considered an 15
- epidemiologic study are important from an industrial 16
- hygiene standpoint. 17
- 18 Q. So your opinions on which exposure levels can cause disease are based in part on case reports of disease 19 cases that have occurred in various locations? 20
- 21 A. In part. That could be part of it, sure.
- 22 Q. Do you give greater weight to an epidemiological study than you would to a case report? 23
- 24 A. I think if it's a well-done epidemiologic study it would be given more weight. 25

Page 61

- 1 Q. Okay. But your opinion on which exposure levels can cause disease, they're based on your review of literature, correct?
- 4 A. And my, yeah, work with asbestos; my 20 or 30 years of an industrial hygienist reading literature. 5
- Q. But as an industrial hygienist, your role is to focus on the actual exposures themselves and preventing those exposures, correct?
 - A. That's a big part of our job, yes.
- Q. Okay. Do industrial hygienists offer opinions 10 11 in the course of their role as industrial hygienists as to 12 which levels of exposures can cause disease?
- 13 A. Well, if they were seeing disease rates within 14 the plant they're working with, I think their information would be important to establishing what the level of 15 16 exposure can be that causes disease, sure.

Q. But what information would that be?

- 18 A. Well, from there, if they're sampling a 19 workplace and they have medical records saying that -- or medical exams showing a certain disease rate in a working population, then, sure, that provides information of 21 22 exposure that could potentially cause disease.
- 23 Q. But is it the -- strike that.
- 24 Is it the industrial hygienist, though, who would 25 take those exposure data as well as the medical

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

Page 62 Page 64 distribution procedure in this case? 1 information and reach an opinion as to whether an exposure has caused disease? Is that the industrial hygienist's 2 A. No. 3 3 Q. Okay. So you have no opinion on the medical 4 A. No. The industrial hygienist's role would be criteria contained in that TDP, do you? to provide that data to people that wanted to simulate it 5 A. No. 6 and perhaps do an epidemiologic study. 6 Q. And you have not evaluated the exposure 7 Q. Okay. So an industrial hygienist is a treated in that TDP, have you? 7 8 critical component of developing this epidemiological A. No. Q. So you intend to offer no opinions about those understanding, correct? 9 9 10 A. Yes. 10 issues at the confirmation hearing, correct? Q. However, the industrial hygienist is -- strike 11 11 A. No. 12 that. 12 Q. Okay. And you are not offering any opinions However, the industrial hygienist's role is to focus specific to the objections stated by the Libby claimants, 13 13 on, specifically, the exposure data, correct? 14 14 are vou? A. Well, for the most part, making sure we 15 MR. LEWIS: Objection; lack of foundation. 15 collect representative samples that could be used in an I'm not sure he's seen the objections. 16 epidemiologic study, as well as controlling the exposure. Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) Well, let's establish that. 17 17 Q. Industrial hygienists clearly don't do the Have you reviewed the objections submitted by the Libby 18 18 medical examinations themselves, do they? 19 19 claimants? 20 20 A. Q. Industrial hygienists do not determine So you have no opinion on those objections, do 21 21 toxicity based on mortality compared to exposure levels, 22 22 you? 23 correct? 23 A. No. 2.4 A. Correct. 24 Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion regarding the Q. Okay. That's not an industrial hygienist's amounts paid in settlement to past Libby claimants? Page 63 role, is it? 1 A. What do you mean do I have an opinion? 1 2 Q. Well, I mean do you intend to offer any 2 3 opinion about the value of past settlements? 3 Q. Okay. Now, you're also not an expert on 4 insurance issues, are you? A. No. 5 5 Q. Okay. Do you intend to offer any opinion A. No. about the exposures that any individual Libby claimant may 6 Q. You have no opinion as to Grace's historical 7 have had? insurance policies, correct? 8 A. Well, I mean that's what I do is I basically 8 A. I guess I don't know what you mean by "historical insurance policies." I --9 evaluate exposures. So if I was asked to, if I was given 9 Q. This is something you know nothing about, 10 information, I guess I could provide an opinion on that. 10 11 Q. Right. So if you had information on a 11 correct? 12 A. Well, other than, I mean, I've certainly read person's exposure, you could evaluate that exposure, 12 13 the Grace exhibits where there are memos from the 13 correct? 14 insurance companies, but I don't know what your question 14 A. Yes. is pertaining to. 15 Q. Have you reviewed any of the exposures for any 15 16 Q. You have no opinion as to how -- well, strike 16 of the Libby claimants? that. You have no --17 A. I don't know who they are, so I don't -- I 17 18 MR. LEWIS: We will concede that this witness 18 guess I haven't reviewed them. will not offer any testimony concerning insurance issues 19 Q. Okay. So sitting here today, you do not 19 intend to offer any testimony about an individual 20 in this case. 20 21 Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) Okay, let me ask one more 21 claimant's exposure, do you? 22 follow-up on that. You have no opinion on what 22 A. At this time, I guess I haven't seen the claimant, so I don't know what their exposure was. constitutes a product for insurance purposes, do you? 23 23 24 A. No. MR. STANSBURY: Will you mark this as an

25

Q.

Okay. Have you reviewed the trust

25 exhibit, please? Madam court reporter, if you could mark

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

Page 66 Page 68 1 Professional Experience," tell me if I read this 1 that as Exhibit 1, please. (Document marked Deposition correctly. It's on the second page (quoted as read): 2 Exhibit No. 1 for identification.) "Provide consultation to a variety of general 3 4 BY MR. STANSBURY: industry and mining companies on program document 5 Q. Dr. Spear, I'm handing you what's been marked development, health and safety compliance auditing, as Exhibit 1, which is the CV of Dr. Terry Spear, and it's regulatory issues, industrial hygiene field sampling, 6 dated May 2008. Is this your most recent CV? on-site hazard assessment, and training. Over 20 years of 7 8 A. No. experience providing expert witness testimony involving Q. Okay. When was -consultation and participation in more than 50 personal 9 10 A. I have one with me. injury and illness liability litigation cases for Q. Oh. Could I get that one, please? plaintiffs, defendants, private industry, and insurance 11 11 12 A. You bet. companies." Q. Great. 13 13 Did I read that correctly, sir? 14 MR. LEWIS: We're probably going to need some 14 A. Yes. copies. Would this be a good time to take a break? 15 Q. Okay. I want to focus on the expert witness 15 MR. STANSBURY: Sure, let's take a break. testimony. You list here: Plaintiffs, defendants, 16 16 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:43. We're off private industry, and insurance companies. 17 17 18 the record. 18 You were also retained as an expert witness by the 19 (A brief recess was taken.) 19 U.S. Government at one time, correct? VIDEOGRAPHER: This is Tape 2 of the 20 20 A. Yes. videotaped deposition of Dr. Terry Spear. O. And that was in connection with what? 21 21 The time is 9:49. We're on the record. 22 The criminal trial? 22 MR. STANSBURY: Okay. And so do we have --23 23 Yes. When were you retained by the U.S. 24 actually, let's make this Exhibit 2. 24 **Government?** 25 MS. ROHRHOFER: Oh, really? Okay. 25 A. I believe it was sometime in 2005. Page 67 Page 69 MR. STANSBURY: Yeah, because we still have 1 Q. Do you recall if it was winter or spring or 1 the old exhibit, we have the old CV as Exhibit 1. 2 summer? (Document marked Deposition 3 A. Not really. I believe, I believe it was 3 4 Exhibit No. 2 for identification.) sometime in 2005 when I was -- when I first started doing BY MR. STANSBURY: work in that issue. I may have been contacted before 5 6 Q. So I'm handing you what is marked as Exhibit that. I don't remember the chronology. 7 7 2, which is your June 2009 CV. Q. Who is the, which -- do you remember who the A. I'm confused. 8 -- well, strike that. 9 9 Q. There was, the May one was 1. That one was 1. Who was the first person you recall contacting you 10 A. This is marked 1 but it's 2009. 10 from the U.S. Government? 11 Q. Yeah, we're not going to use old one. We're 11 A. Kris McLean. not going to use the old one. We're going to use the new 12 12 Q. And who is Kris McLean? 13 13 one instead. A. He's the district attorney out of Missoula. 14 (Off-the-record discussion.) 14 Q. And what did he ask you? **BY MR. STANSBURY:** 15 A. He asked me if I'd be interested in discussing 15 16 Q. So you have a -- according to your CV, your BA 16 participating in the criminal trial. 17 is in microbiology, correct? 17 Q. Okay. And was this over the phone, this first conversation? 18 A. Yes. 18 19 Q. You have a master's in environmental health, 19 Yes. correct? 20 20 Q. Was it a substantive call or was it just a 21 A. Yes. 21 "hi", get to know each other, followed up by a later 22 Q. And then a Ph.D. in environmental health from 22 meeting? the University of Minnesota, correct? 23 23 A. It was just, yeah, that type of call. 24 Α. Yes. 24 Q. Okay. When did you first meet -- was the next

25 meeting with Mr. McLean face to face?

25

Q.

Okay. And in your CV under "Related

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

Page 70 Page 72 Q. And you also offered opinions about what the 1 A. Yes. 1 industrial hygiene standards were at various times during 2 Q. When did that occur, do you recall? Again, I believe it would have been in 2005. 3 that operation, correct? 4 Q. Okay. 4 A. And I don't remember if we -- if that was part 5 A. I don't recall exactly when. of the opinion. I mean, certainly, it was on standards 6 Q. Okay. And could you briefly summarize that pertaining to how do we keep materials from leaving the first face-to-face meeting with Mr. McLean? workplace and getting its way into the home. 7 8 A. Well, I believe they presented me with the Q. Right. But you also were of the opinion that W.R. Grace had failed to comply with the industrial 9 allegations in the trial proceedings and we discussed those. And I believe he asked me if I would be willing to hygiene standards that were in place at that time, be a witness on, I think -- originally, it was on two of 11 11 correct? those aspects. 12 A. Yes. 12 13 Q. Which two aspects? 13 Q. Okay. What were some of the areas where you found W.R. Grace to be lacking? 14 A. I believe, you know, one pertained to 14 sanitation. I don't remember -- both of them pertained to A. Well, No. 1, lack of informing the worker of 15 15 the hazards of the materials, Libby amphibole that they similar things, but -- (pause.) 16 16 Q. So one was sanitation and the other one? were working with --17 17 A. I don't remember. 18 Q. Right. 18 Q. But it related to the historical operation of A. -- lack of control of the dust, whether it be 19 19 the mine and mill up in Libby? 20 20 engineering controls, administrative controls, or personal A. Yes, I think that would be fair. protective equipment; lack of sanitation or control of 21 dispersion and taking this material home. That's, that 22 Q. Okay. Did you talk to him at all about any of 22 was the main part of it. 23 your work regarding the forests around Libby? Q. Okay. Did you meet with anybody else from the 24 A. Well, no, because at that time, we'd just 24 25 begun that work in late '03 and we hadn't published 25 U.S. Government other than Mr. McLean? Page 71 Page 73 1 A. Well, when we had the first meeting, they came anything on that. 1 2 Q. Did you tell him that was ongoing? over to Montana Tech and there were two other individuals that worked for the EPA, and I don't remember who they A. Well, not then --3 3 were. 4 Q. Okay. 4 5 Q. But they were EPA individuals? 5 A. -- because it wasn't really ongoing. 6 6 Q. Gotcha. A. That's what I understand. 7 7 Q. Okay. How many times did you meet with A. I mean it was discussed and we were planning things. We hadn't published anything. I notified him 8 Mr. McLean? 8 after we had a publication. 9 A. Just once. 9 10 Q. Okay. And so obviously, you agreed to serve 10 Q. Okay. And then you submitted a report. Did you have any other conversations with him over the phone? 11 as an expert witness for the Government, correct? 11 12 A. Yes. 12 A. I believe we had other phone conversations, Q. And were you paid for your services? just keeping me updated on -- at least initially, like 13 13 14 A. Yes. 14 2005, maybe part of 2006. Q. What was the paying rate? 15 Q. Okay. What about 2008 - 2009? Did you 15 16 A. I believe \$150 an hour. 16 continue a dialogue with Mr. McLean? 17 Q. And what specific services did you provide for 17 A. No. The only dialogue would be if we had a 18 the U.S. Government? 18 publication, I wanted to make sure that there was no A. I provided Mr. McLean with an opinion. 19 19 conflict of interest in his eyes, so I would send him the Q. In the form of a written report? 20 20 fact that we had a paper published pertaining to Libby and 2.1 A. Yes. 21 just to let him know. 22 Q. Okay. And this written report commented on Q. So you informed Mr. McLean about these various the historical vermiculite mining and milling operation in forest studies that you were doing, correct? 23 23 24 Libby, correct? 24

25

0.

And you also informed the publications that

25

A. I believe it did.

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

Page 76

Page 77

Page 74

- 1 you were working with the U.S. Government at the time?
- 2 A. I'm sorry, I lost that one.
- Q. Oh. So you mentioned you were concerned about
- $4\,\,$ a conflict of interest with the Government and you
- 5 disclosed to the Government that you were writing these
- 6 papers, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Did you make a disclosure in the other
- 9 direction as well to these papers that you were testifying
- 10 for the U.S. Government?
- 11 A. I didn't.
- Q. Okay. And just so we're clear, this is --
- 13 we're talking about an article in 2006 that was submitted
- 14 to the International Journal for Scientific Research Into
- 15 the Environment and its Relationship with Human Kind,
- 16 right? Is that your 2006 article? You might have it in
- 17 front of you.
- A. This is what you're referring to?
- 19 **Q. May I see?**
- 20 A. (Handing document to counsel.)
- MR. STANSBURY: Could we mark this as an
- 22 exhibit, please?
- 23 (Document marked Deposition
- Exhibit No. 3 for identification.)
- 25 BY MR. STANSBURY:

Page 75

- 1 Q. So we're looking at "Trees as reservoirs for
- amphibole fibers in Libby, Montana," published 2006. And
 the authors are Tony Ward, Terry Spear, Julie Hart, Curtis
- 4 Noonan, Andrij Holian --
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 Q. -- okay, Myron Getman, and James Webber. Did
- 7 I read that correctly, sir?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And this article we can discuss it in
- 10 detail a little bit later, but just so I'm clear this
- 11 article, you examined tree bark to determine the asbestos
- 12 contents in that tree bark, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And at the time of this article's
- 15 publication, you were working as a consultant to the U.S.
- 16 Government in connection with the criminal case, correct?
 - A. Yes.

17

- Q. Had you also be retained by individuals who
- 19 had lawsuits against W.R. Grace for personal injury
- 20 arising from exposures in and around the mine?
- A. At that time, I don't believe so.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall -- you had testified
- 23 previously, though, on behalf of plaintiffs, correct?
- A. I have, yes.
- Q. When was the last time you had testified on

behalf of a plaintiff in a Libby case?

- A. I guess -- I don't remember. It could have
- 3 been the mid 2000s. I don't remember the last time I
- 4 testified.

2

- **Q.** Okay. When were you retained in connection
- 6 with this case?
 - A. I believe it was 2008.
- Q. 2008. So you had testified in the mid 2000s,
- 9 as you said, on behalf of individuals exposed to asbestos
- 10 from Libby, correct?
- 11 A. To the best of my memory.
- Q. Okay. And at this time, you were working as a
- 13 consultant for the U.S. Government in a criminal trial
- 14 against W.R. Grace involving alleged criminal releases of
- 15 asbestos into the ambient air, correct?
- A. Well, again, takehome exposure is what I was
- 17 asked to testify on.
- 18 **Q.** Okay.
- A. My testimony, as I understand it, for Kris
- 20 McLean was to basically evaluate how they could have
- 21 controlled asbestos takehome with sanitation procedures.
- Q. And do you have your 2007 article in front of
- 23 you, sir, in your folder?
- A. Is this the firewood harvesting?
- 25 **Q. Yes, sir.**

1 A. Yes.

- 2 MR. STANSBURY: Okay. Could we mark that as
- 3 an exhibit, please, madam court reporter?
- 4 (Document marked Deposition
- 5 Exhibit No. 4 for identification.)
- 6 BY MR. STANSBURY:
- 7 Q. Exhibit 4 is "Evaluation of Asbestos Exposures
- 8 during Firewood-Harvesting Simulations in Libby, Montana.
- 9 USA Preliminary Data", by Julie Hart, Tony Ward, Terry
- 10 M. Spear, Kelly Crispen, and Tara R. Zolnikov.
- 11 Did I read that correctly, sir?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And this is published in the "Annals of
- 14 Occupational Hygiene" in 2007. Is that correct, sir?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And in this paper, you're looking at
 - 7 activities that could occur in the forest and potential
- 18 asbestos exposures that could arise from those activities,
- 19 correct?
- A. Well, specifically from harvesting firewood,
- 21 yes.

- Q. Okay. Can I get the 2009 -- do you also have
- 23 the 2009 paper in front of you, sir?
- 24 A. I don't.
- 25 (Document marked Deposition

1

5

13

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

Page 78

- 1 Exhibit No. 5 for identification.)
- 2 BY MR. STANSBURY:
- Q. I'm handing you what has been marked as
- 4 Exhibit 5. Okay. I've handed you what has been marked as
- 5 Exhibit 5, which is "Fate of Libby Amphobile Fibers When
- 6 Burning Contaminated Firewood," by Tony Ward, Julie Hart,
- 7 Terry Spear, Brienne Meyer, and James Webber, published in
- 8 2009 in "Environmental Science Technology".
- 9 Is that correct, sir?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And this article examined potential
- 12 asbestos exposures that could occur when using wood as
- 13 firewood in an indoor heating oven, correct?
- A. Yeah, I don't know if it evaluated exposures.
- 15 We were mainly trying to determine if wood that was
- 16 contaminated with the asbestos was burned, where would it
- 17 end up, I mean where did it go.
- Q. Okay. So this was not aimed at looking at
- 19 potential exposures.
- 20 A. Well, not -- no, because we didn't really
- 21 concentrate on doing an exposure measurement. It was
- 22 mainly just sampling within the stove itself.
- Q. Okay. The 2007 paper, however, you are
- 24 looking at, Exhibit 4, you are looking at potential
- 25 exposures, correct?

Page 79

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. Did you ever talk about this paper with
- 3 Kris McLean?
- 4 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Did you ever talk about the 2009 paperwith Kris McLean?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. But you did discuss Exhibit 3, which was the
- 9 2006 paper, you discussed that with Kris McLean?
- 10 A. No.
- Q. Oh, you never discussed any of these with Kris
- 12 McLean?
- A. No. I basically would notify him of what we
- 14 were trying to publish and that we were doing research up
- 15 in Libby.
- Q. So you made him aware that you were doing this
- 17 research, though, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And the 2009 paper, you say it does not
- 20 focus on potential exposures, correct?
- A. Well, not -- that wasn't the main aim of the
- 22 study. It was to determine where are the fibers when you
- 23 burn wood contaminated with the amphobile asbestos. Do
- 24 they go out the stack? Do they go -- stay in the
- 25 ductwork? Do they stay in the ash?

Page 80

- Q. Was your concern that potentially people could
- be exposed to asbestos when burning firewood?
- A. I believe that would be fair, that there could be a potential concern.
 - Q. Okay. And with Exhibit 4, the 2007 paper, there was a concern that there could be exposure to asbestos in the harvesting of firewood, correct?
- A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. Exposure in the ambient air, correct -- 10 well, strike that.

This would be airborne exposures that arise from activities involved with harvesting firewood, correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And those could potentially cause disease, correct?
- A. I suppose that's correct, yes.
- Q. Right. That's your concern is preventing disease, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And so with the 2006 paper, Exhibit 3; the
- 21 2007 paper, Exhibit 4; the 2009 paper, Exhibit 5; when
- 22 submitting these papers, you did not disclose to any of
- 23 the publications that you were testifying as -- strike
- 24 that.

4

15

23

With respect to Exhibit 3, the 2006 paper; Exhibit

Page 81

- 4, the 2007 paper; and 2009, the -- which is Exhibit 5,
- 2 the 2009 paper, you did not disclose to the journals that
- 3 you had been retained as an expert by the U.S. Government?
 - A. I didn't.
- 5 Q. Okay. Did you disclose to any of the journals
- 6 that you had previously testified on behalf of Libby
- 7 claimants?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Did you disclose to any of the journals about 10 your retention in this matter here?
- A. I didn't. I wasn't -- well, no. I wasn't
- 12 retained for this case until 2008 so -- (pause.)
- Q. But for the 2009 paper, Exhibit 5, this was published after you were retained, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Why didn't you feel it was necessary to
- 17 disclose that information to the journals?
- A. Well, because we are doing research to
- 19 determine pathways of exposure. And it's not being paid
- 20 for by any law firm, and I'm not doing it for a law firm.
- 21 I'm doing it as a research exercise to try to determine
- 22 pathways of exposure.
 - Q. But this work is relevant to your opinions in
- 24 this case, correct?
- A. I think all the work I've done in Libby before

(Pages 82 to 85)

Page 82 Page 84 1 these publications is relevant, yes. 1 amphibole, I'm not sure we know what level, lowest level Q. Okay. So, for example, the 2007 paper, in was going to cause disease, then I guess any level would 2 your mind, this paper could be used to support an opinion 3 concern me. that individuals in Libby could develop disease from 4 Q. Okay. And so this research, the 2007 paper, harvesting lumber, correct? just so we're clear, who paid for that research? 5 5 6 A. I suppose it could be. 6 A. Which one again? Q. Okay. "Yes" or "no," sir? Do you agree that 7 Q. The 2007, Exhibit 4, the harvesting study. 7 that's true? 8 A. This was paid for through the University of A. Yes. Utah, I believe. 9 9 Q. Okay. 10 Q. Okay. And presumably, this could be an 10 individual who is a Libby claimant, correct? Are you 11 11 A. And it wasn't mine. It was Julie Hart's aware of any Libby claimants who may have been exposed in 12 research. It was her grant. the forest? Q. Did you ever send a copy of this to Kris 13 13 A. I've never been involved with a court case 14 14 McLean? A. I don't remember if I did or not. I may very 15 that involved forestry or exposure to firewood, no. 15 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any disease that's 16 16 well have. I just wanted to keep him informed that we arisen from exposure to forestry or firewood? were doing the work up in Libby. 17 17 A. I'm not. I haven't seen any data on that. Q. Okay. So you're doing the work in Libby, 18 18 Q. Okay. So sitting here today, you have no 19 19 establishing these exposures. And then today, you're opinion as to whether exposures in the woods at Libby 20 20 offering testimony about potential exposures that could be could cause disease? occurring in Libby that could cause disease, correct? 21 A. Well, again, I'm not a doctor and I don't want 22 2.2 A. Yes. to state an opinion as to if our research is saying that And a basis of that opinion is, in part, the 23 23 0. -- we don't say that in the research that it could cause a 24 studies, correct? disease. We basically say that there could be exposures A. Well, I rely on these studies, yes. 25 Page 85 and perhaps it would lead to a concern for disease. 1 Q. Okay. And you're being compensated for being 1 2 Q. Okay. Well, let's narrow this down a bit, 2 here today, correct? 3 Yes. 3 because earlier we were talking, I'd asked you about A. whether you had opinions as to what exposure levels could 4 Q. What is your -- is your hourly rate still \$150 cause disease. And you said that you did, correct? 5 an hour? 5 6 6 A. Yes. A. Yes. 7 7 Q. Okay. And that was based in part on your Q. Okay. So you did these studies that were published in 2006, 2007, and 2009 without disclosing that 8 review of epidemiological literature, correct? 9 you had previously testified on behalf of individuals who A. In part, yes. 10 Q. As well as case reports, correct? 10 got disease from Libby, correct? 11 MR. LEWIS: Objection. Disclosing to who? 11 Q. Okay. Do you have an opinion as to whether MR. STANSBURY: To -- fair point. 12 12 the exposures identified in Exhibit 4 can cause disease? 13 MR. LEWIS: Okay. 13 14 A. And Exhibit 4 --14 Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) To the journal, correct? Q. Yes. To either -- any of the journals, correct? 15 15 16 A. -- is the firewood harvesting? 16 A. I did not disclose it to the journal, but I 17 O. Yes, sir. 17 wasn't the grant administrator, either. A. Yeah, I mean we wanted to determine if -- we 18 18 Q. But you are one of the authors listed, correct? 19 knew the wood was contaminated, so we wanted to determine 19 can we liberate fibers if we do this activity. So based 20 A. Yes. on the personal breathing zone samples, we, you know, we 21 Q. Okay. And then today, you are being 22 found that fibers are liberated. 22 compensated to offer opinions that are based in part on

23

24

25

Yes.

A.

Q.

this research you've previously done, correct?

Okay. And in particular, and with respect to

Q. Okay. And were they liberated at a level that

you believe could pose a threat to human health?

A. Well, since -- particularly for Libby

23

1

4

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

Page 88

Page 86

1 the 2009 paper, you were retained in this case when that

- paper was being considered for publication, correct?
 - A. Yes. It was being considered in 2008.
- 4 Q. Okay. And you at no point disclosed to the
- 5 journal that you were receiving compensation to offer
- 6 opinions about exposures in the same forest that were the
- 7 subject of that paper?
- 8 A. No.

3

- 9 Q. Okay. Did you review the guidelines for
- 10 disclosing conflicts of interest before submitting any of
- 11 those three papers?
- A. Well, I didn't. I basically helped put the
- 13 papers together, and I think Tony Ward and Julie Hart
- 14 submitted them, so -- (pause.)
- Q. Okay. Did you disclose to them that you had
- 16 been working for -- you had historically worked for
- 17 plaintiffs?
- A. Yes. They know that I have been.
- Q. Okay. And they knew that you were working for
- 20 the U.S. Government?
- A. I don't know if they knew that or not. I
- 22 believe so.
- Q. But they certainly knew that you were involved
- 24 with personal injury cases involving exposures in Libby,
- 25 correct?

1

Page 87

- A. I believe they knew that.
- 2 Q. But there was no disclosure made?
- 3 A. Not that I know of.
- 4 Q. Okay. I would like to look -- do you have
- 5 your expert report with you today, sir?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 MR. STANSBURY: Could we mark that as an
- 8 exhibit, please.
- 9 MR. LEWIS: I've got one. What exhibit number
- 10 are you going to put on it?
- 11 (Document marked Deposition
- Exhibit No. 6 for identification.)
- MR. LEWIS: Maybe I'll take this other, the
- 14 other copy.
- 15 BY MR. STANSBURY:
- Q. Before you is Exhibit 6. Is this your expert
- 17 report, sir?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And does this opinion reflect the
- 20 entirety of the opinions you intend to offer at the
- 21 confirmation hearing?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Has there been any work done since the
- 24 submission of this report that you believe informs the
- 25 opinions that you wish to offer in this case?

- A. No.
- Q. Okay. It's a pretty long report. Let's see
- 3 here, it's 27 pages; is that right?
 - A. I believe that's like 32 counting references.
- 5 Q. Okay, counting references, okay. And the
- 6 paragraphs are numbered, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And some of these paragraphs deal with related
- 9 points and some of them deal with very different points,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. That would be fair, I think.
- Q. Okay. What I'd like to do is kind of walk
- 13 through the report so we can kind of identify which
- 14 paragraphs relate to specific opinions you intend to offer
- 15 at the hearing. And to the extent that we can kind of
- 16 group of the paragraphs together, perhaps we could do so
- 17 Would you be willing to walk through that with me?
- 18 A. Sure.
- Q. Okay. Now, Paragraph 1, your name and where
- 20 you live, I think we can move past that. And 2 and 3 are
- 21 background information. Paragraphs 4 and 5, these
- 22 paragraphs both relate to the published studies we were
- 23 just discussing, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Paragraph 4 relates to Exhibit 3, whereas

Page 89

- 1 Paragraph 5 relates to Exhibit 4. Correct, sir?
 - A. Yes.

2

6

9

- Q. Okay. And there's no reference in this report
- 4 to Exhibit 5, the 2009 paper. That was published after
- 5 this report, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- 7 Q. But do you intend to offer any opinions at the
- 8 confirmation hearing based on that paper?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. So we can just put that aside, then,
- 11 and not talk about it, correct?
 - A. Fine.
- Q. Okay. Now, looking at Paragraph 6, Paragraph
- 14 6 and 7, these discuss and if you don't like my
- 15 clarification, please tell me these discuss the
- 16 historical conditions at the mining and milling facility,
- 17 correct, sir?
- A. Well, yeah. It discusses, you know, the basic
- 19 flow of operations, flow of materials. And to that
- 20 extent, I think you're correct.
- Q. Okay. Well, let's agree to a term that we can
- 22 both be comfortable with. Paragraph 6 and 7 both relate
- 23 to the historical operating conditions at Libby? Is
- 24 **that --**
- 25 A. Yes, again --

(Pages 90 to 93) Page 90 Page 92 O. Okav. the atmosphere of other areas? 1 1 -- the way things were processed. 2 2 A. I haven't personally, no. 3 Q. Okay. Have you reviewed any literature which 3 O. Okav. has studied the Libby atmosphere in that manner? 4 A. And what --4 O. So those were the historical conditions. THE WITNESS: As compared -- excuse me, 5 5 6 Paragraph 8, tell me if I read this correctly: 6 Counsel. I don't mean to interfere, but when you say "in 7 "The community of Libby lies in a mountain 7 that manner," are you saying as compared to some other 8 valley. The valley air shed functions somewhat like a 8 place? bowl. Pollutants when disturbed by wind or human activity 9 9 MR. STANSBURY: Yes. 10 tend to be recycled into the bowl." 10 MR. LEWIS: Okay. Did I read that correctly, sir? 11 11 THE WITNESS: Well, yes, I have looked at 12 12 literature describing that comparison. Q. Okay. That opinion relates to the atmospheric Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) Can you name any of the 13 13 conditions in Libby, correct? 14 14 literature which --A. Well, I -- let me -- I guess maybe I better 15 A. Yes. 15 Q. Okay. Outdoor, right? 16 16 clarify. I mean I've looked at the literature that Tony Ward has put together where he looks at source 17 A. Yes. 17 apportionment; in other words, what's contributing to the 18 O. Ambient air, is that another term for that as 18 particulates in the air in Libby. Is it automobile 19 well? 19 exhaust? Wood smoke? So from that standpoint, I've 20 A. Yes, it could, I guess. 20 Q. Okay. Do industrial hygienists typically 21 21 looked at that type of literature. study the ambient air? 22 22 Q. Is that a published paper? 23 A. Well, the industrial hygienist is primarily A. I don't know if it's published or not. 23 Q. Okay. concerned with what goes on inside the plant, but 24 certainly we do become involved with public exposure I believe it is. Page 93 because materials do move outside the plant. And so from 1 Q. But that's something that informs your opinion 1 that standpoint, I wouldn't be quite that narrow. 2 3 Q. Okay. So when you say moving outside the 3 It was Tony Ward's work, correct? plant, do you mean, you know, a cloud of dust moving down 4 A. Well, in part, yeah. 5 the street, or does that also involve atmospheric 5 Q. In part. Did that work examine asbestos in

- conditions, you know, thousands of feet in all directions?
- 7 A. Well, probably not. I mean we certainly have to record, you know, atmospheric conditions when we're 8
- doing sampling. We want to know wind speeds and wind 9
- directions and pressures, temperatures, and things like 10
- that. So that's all atmospheric, I guess. 11
- 12 Q. Okay. And the statement, "The valley airshed functions somewhat like a bowl," what is your basis for 13
- 14 that opinion?
- A. Well, the basis for it is that there are a lot 15
- 16 of inversions in Libby, I mean if you've ever been up
- 17 there in the wintertime.
- 18 Q. Oh, I have.
- 19 A. And so it tends to have -- you know, the air
- tends to settle within the valley. 20
- O. Okav. 21
- 2.2 A. That's all I meant there.
- 23 Q. Okay. Have you ever studied systematically
- the extent to which any type of particulate or pollutant
- would remain static in the Libby atmosphere compared to

- as to whether the airshed functions somewhat like a bowl.
- 6 particular?
 - A. Not that I'm aware.
- 8 Q. Okay. It focused on, I think you mentioned, automobile exhaust. Is that one of the potential 9

10 substances?

7

- 11 A. I believe so, wood smoke, and there were other things that they looked at. 12
- 13 Q. Okay. But you've not looked at any literature 14 specific to how asbestos either remained or does not 15 remain in the atmosphere in Libby, have you?
- 16 A. Well, I've obviously looked at current studies
- 17 being done by EPA as the technical advisor to the TAG.
- 18 That's my job, is to, you know, evaluate what EPA is doing
- up there and to try to find out if, you know, if there's 19
- any problems with that. And so I do read their reports.
- I've read their ambient air sampling reports and different 21 22
- 23 Q. Okay. None of those reports, though, are 24 cited in this, in this expert report, though, right?

(Pages 94 to 97) Page 94 Page 96 Q. Okay. Nor is the Tony Ward article, correct? 1 asbestos in it, correct? 1 A. Correct. 2 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. And so when it says pollutants when 3 Q. Okay. Let's turn to Paragraph 13. Now, 3 disturbed by wind or human activity tend to be recycled in Paragraph 13, you're talking about the industrial hygiene the bowl, that opinion is based also on Tony Ward's work 5 literature, correct? 6 as well as the EPA's work? 6 A. Yes. A. Well, yes, in part, and just having been up 7 And specifically, the literature is 7 0. 8 there and just seeing how stagnant the air can be. So, I understanding of asbestos, correct? mean, that's the word "recycle." It's not going to move 9 A. Yes. 9 And you also mention that, and if I say this 10 out of there very readily. 10 0. Q. When you say just seeing the air, is that correctly: "The above was clear in the occupational 11 12 something that I would be just as capable of observing as medicine and industrial hygiene literature, and W.R. Grace vou would, the stagnant air? and its predecessor Zonolite Company should have been well 13 A. Yes. aware of it." 14 Q. Okay. That's not something that's based on an 15 **Correct?** 15 expertise that you have, correct? 16 16 A. Yes. A. No. 17 Q. Okay. So this one, it's not necessarily just 17 Q. Okay. Let's look at Paragraph 9, sir. historical conditions. We're also kind of talking here 18 Paragraph 9, and actually, I believe Paragraph 9, 10, and about what W.R. Grace or Zonolite should have known at the 19 19 11, these paragraphs all seem to discuss ways in which time, correct? people in the community may have been exposed to asbestos 21 A. Yes. from the mining and milling facility; is that correct, 22 Q. And the way a company knows things, so to speak, is a function of its decision to gather 23 sir? 23 information, correct? 24 A. I believe that that would be correct in part, 25 A. Yes. 25 yes. Page 95 Page 97 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that these three 1 1 Q. And that's an important part of being a paragraphs, 9, 10, and 11, they deal with potential responsible company in your mind, correct? 2 community exposures? 3 A. Well, that's one way. 3 4 4 A. Yes. Q. One way. Q. Okay. Paragraph 12: Various tests on the 5 5 A. Obviously, the other way is that someone gives dust showed 27 to 40 percent asbestos. You cite to common 6 6 them information --7 exhibits, correct? 7 Q. Okay. 8 8 A. Yes, common exhibits and, I mean, the A. -- and provides information to them. percentages are also listed in, you know, publications 9 Q. But a company informing itself of potential 9 like by EPA or ATSDR. hazards, that's part of a responsible company's code of 10 10 11 Q. But these are historical measurements, 11 conduct, correct? 12 correct? These weren't measurements that were done 12 A. Please say that again. 13 recently, correct? 13 Q. Sure. A company informing themselves of 14 A. I believe they're historical. 14 potential hazards involving their enterprise, that is part 15 Q. Okay. And so they reflect the historical of a responsible company's code of conduct, correct? 15 16 16

conditions that existed when the mine and the mill was 17 operating, correct? 18 A. Well, I'm not, I'm not sure how to answer your 19 question. I mean the percentages, I don't know if they've changed. If they have, I don't know it. 20

21 Q. Okay, okay.

2.2 A. But, yeah, these do come from people looking

23 historically at what's being reported.

24 Q. Those were the conditions historically.

Historically, 27 to 40 percent of the dust showed some

MR. LEWIS: I'm going to object as -- I think 17 the term "code of conduct" is vague. Without showing what 18 you mean by that, I don't know how the witness can answer 19 the question. I think the question is vague.

20 Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) Do you understand my 21 question, sir?

22 A. No.

23

Q. Okay. You believe that companies can act 24 responsible?

25 A. I hope that they do, yes.

2

7

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

Page 100

Page 98

- Q. You believe companies can act irresponsibly, don't you?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. It's your opinion that Grace acted
- 5 irresponsibly for many years with respect to the mining
- 6 and milling operation in Libby, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- ${\tt 8}$ Q. Do you consider that irresponsibility to be a
- 9 course of conduct that Grace took?
- 10 A. I don't know what you mean by "course of
- 11 conduct."
- Q. Do you -- well, we can come to an agreement on
- 13 whatever words you want to use here. What I'm trying to
- 14 get across, though, is this opinion and there's going to
- 15 be a lot of opinions in this report really talks about
- 16 what Grace should have known, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. What they should have done, correct?
- 19 A. Yes
- Q. What they didn't do, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Is there any way you would term -- is there
- 23 any term you would use to describe those issues? I'm open
- 24 to whatever term you want to use.
- A. Well, that's fine. We just mentioned them

Page 99

- 1 individually, so --
- Q. Okay. So, but they're actions, I mean these
- 3 are all actions or inactions by Grace, correct?
 - A. Yes.

4

- 5 Q. Okay. And can we use the term "conduct"? I
- 6 mean is the term "conduct" comfortable to say that
- 7 somebody's conduct is responsible when they put in a
- 8 medical surveillance program? That's a form of conduct
- 9 that's responsible, correct?
- 10 A. That would be fine.
- Q. Okay. And, you know, not making any effort to
- 12 keep a facility clean, that's irresponsible conduct,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And so I'm not trying to -- you know, I like
- 16 the word "conduct" because it's easy. I just want to make
- 17 sure we're on the same page here.
- Paragraph 13 talks about Grace's failure to -- or
- 19 states what they should have known. And one way a company
- $2\,\text{O}$ $\,$ knows something is by informing itself, either somebody
- 21 telling them or, you know, taking affirmative steps to
- 22 learn, correct?
- MR. LEWIS: Objection; that's a compound
- 24 question and it's improper.
- Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) Do you understand the

question, sir?

- A. Well, yes. And by informing themselves
- 3 meaning, you know, searching the literature for what's
- 4 known about a particular topic like asbestos, conducting
- 5 studies which are published and get the word out.
- 6 Q. Right.
 - A. Yeah, that's conduct.
- 8 Q. Okay. So that's what Paragraph 13 --
- 9 Paragraph 13, you know, addresses that. Paragraph 14, I'm
- 10 going to read this out loud (quoted as read):
- 11 "The central principles of industrial hygiene
- 12 literature are to study, to warn and to protect. These
- 13 principles extend not only to Grace workers in Libby, but
- 14 also to family members of workers, and to the community.
- 15 W.R. Grace and its predecessor Zonolite Company did not
- 16 adequately study, warn or protect the workers, their
- 17 families, or the community of Libby."
- Did I read that correctly, sir?
- 19 A. Yes.

20

- Q. Okay. Again, this is an example where you
- 21 believe Grace's conduct was improper with respect to the
- 22 workers, the family members, and the community of Libby,
- 23 correct, sir?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Paragraph 15, this one's a little bit

Page 101

- longer. But again, is it fair to say, and this is -- you
- 2 know, because you actually are quoting, I believe, Earl
- 3 Lovick's deposition testimony, correct, from the
- 4 Schnetter v. W.R. Grace transcript?
- 5 MR. LEWIS: Objection; that's not correct.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Well, are we on 15?
- 7 MR. LEWIS: That's his trial testimony.
- 8 MR. STANSBURY: Oh, excuse me. Thank you,
- 9 Tom, I appreciate that.
- Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) Paragraph 15 runs onto page
- 11 7 all the way to page 8, correct?
 - A. Fifteen, yes.
- Q. Okay. And once again, here you are examining
- 14 what Grace knew about the conditions in Libby. And as you
- 15 state in the last sentence of the paragraph: "Grace
- 16 continues to send men into the dry mill for eight years
- 17 after 1966."
- 18 Is that correct, sir?
- 19 A. Yes.
 - Q. So again, this speaks to Grace's, in your
- 21 mind, improper conduct during this time period, correct,
- 22 sir?

- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Moving on to Paragraph 16: "Grace knew
- 25 of the connection between asbestos exposure and lung

(Pages 102 to 105)

Page 104 Page 102 1 the air in Libby," Exhibit 20, expert report of 1 cancer through the 1964 State Report, and was therein Dr. Whitehouse. 2 informed of 'possible widespread carcinogenic air 3 3 pollution'" - citation to Exhibit 53 - "Risks of asbestos Now, this paragraph speaks more to potential to community members were quite clearly spelled out to 4 community exposures, correct, sir? Grace executives in 1968," again citing Exhibit 119. 5 5 A. Yes. 6 So here you believe that Grace was aware that there 6 Q. Okay. Let's look at Paragraph 19. Again, I believe this paragraph speaks to Grace's conduct at the 7 were community risks, correct? 8 A. Yes. time, the last sentence being: "Accordingly, Grace's Q. And Grace, in your mind, was not warning medical surveillance program was inadequate at all times 9 9 10 people about these risks, correct? 10 up to 1990." Do you agree, sir? 11 A. That's correct. 11 12 Q. So again, not proper conduct for a company 12 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Paragraph 20, again, this is -- does 13 like Grace, correct? 13 this deal with Grace's conduct? 14 A. That's correct. 14 Q. Okay. Paragraph 17, this to me looks more 15 15 A. Yes. like discussions of the historical operations at Libby. Q. Okay. Paragraph 21, same question: Does this 16 16 deal with Grace's conduct? Is that a fair description of Paragraph 17? 17 17 18 A. Yes, the date 1967. 18 A. (Perusing document) -- yes. Q. Right. And you're talking about the test was Q. Paragraph 22, does this pertain to Grace's 19 19 done in a large "600 fan" of the dry mill. So this 20 20 conduct? doesn't necessarily speak to their conduct, but again as 21 21 A. (Perusing document) -- yes. Q. Paragraph 23, does this relate to Grace's we were discussing earlier, speaks to what the conditions 22 were historically, correct, sir? 23 23 conduct? 24 A. Well, it -- you know, if you read between the 24 A. (Perusing document) -- yes. 25 lines, it speaks of their conduct, too, because it was Q. Paragraph 24, does this relate to Grace's 25 Page 105 right in this time frame that the stack on the dry mill conduct? 1 was horizontally located, so -- (pause.) 2 2 A. (Perusing document) -- well, it pertains to Q. Okay. So, again, in your mind, this is part 3 their conduct and -- their conduct, and, you know, their 3 of the conduct, then. You would put this under, again, 4 knowledge. another example where Grace's conduct was improper. 5 Q. Okay. And the knowledge that either informed 5 6 A. Yes. 6 or perhaps did not inform the conduct they took, correct? 7 7 Q. Okay, that's fair. Paragraph 18, here you're A. Yeah, their knowledge of what was going on 8 looking at - and I'm going to read this out, tell me if I 8 within their own plant. 9 Q. Right. And as you say, Grace settled the 9 read this correctly: "Residents have reported that Libby in 10 10 case, correct? 1950-1990 was a dusty place. The manager of Grace 11 A. Where do I say that at -- yes, okay. 11 operations estimated in 1965 that 'you could get a five 12 Q. That's correct? 12 count in downtown Libby on many dry days." Exhibit 79 13 13 A. Yes. 14 This would have been 5 -- and could you please 14 Q. So that was the course of conduct they took explain what "mppcf" means so we're clear? 15 was to settle the case, correct? 15 16 A. Million particles per cubic foot. 16 A. Yes. In part, I believe that would be 17 Q. -- "or about 20 fibers per cubic centimeter." 17 correct. See Amandus (1987), citing Libby studies, expert report of Q. Okay. Paragraph 25, and I believe this 18 18 19 Dr. Alan C. Whitehouse. relates to a study of workers. And again, you state: "In 1975, Grace performed measurements of "This study was not disclosed." Is that correct? 20 20

21

22

23

24

25

A.

Q.

A.

O.

time?

Yes.

And does that relate to Grace's conduct at the

And state of knowledge. Paragraph 26, this is

Yes, and state of the knowledge.

ambient air at three locations in Libby and obtained 0.67,

Q. -- "indicating a serious hazard from breathing

1.1, and 1.5 f./cc" -- which is fibers per cubic

centimeter. Is that correct, sir?

22

23

24

25

A.

Yes.

(Pages 106 to 109)

Page 108 Page 106 1 1 a study of hamsters which, as you state, was not A. (Perusing document) -- yes. 2 Q. Now, Paragraph 33, this discusses the -- how disclosed. Does this relate to Grace's conduct and 3 would you characterize Paragraph 33? 3 knowledge at the time? 4 A. Yes. A. Let me read it. May I? Q. Okay. Paragraph 27, again, does this relate 5 Q. Please do. 5 to Grace's conduct? A. (Perusing document) -- it certainly pertains 6 A. Yes. 7 to their knowledge. 7 8 Q. I'd like to read Paragraph 27 for the record. Q. Ultimately -- okay, so it pertains to their Tell me if I read this correctly, please. knowledge. So you would say -- or is it fair to say this 9 10 "In 1980 NIOSH proposed a study on Libby provides just background on the natural conditions in the workers. Grace's response was to consider alternatives, Libby area? 11 including to 'obstruct and block'" - "obstruct and block' 12 A. Well, it pertains to their conduct, too --12 in quotes - "the study." 13 Q. Okay. 13 Did I read that correctly, sir? 14 A. -- because they're actually trying to market 14 15 this stuff. 15 A. Yes. Q. Now, ultimately, though, Grace cooperated with Q. Fair, okay. And Paragraph 34, I'll read this 16 16 NIOSH, didn't they? for the record (quoted as read): 17 17 A. Yes. 18 "Grace knowingly endangered the health of 18 workers, family members of workers and community members 19 Q. And that study --19 A. Well, eventually NIOSH came into the plan, in Libby for decades. This constituted gross violations 20 of applicable industrial hygiene standards." 21 sure. 22 Did I read that correctly, sir? 22 Q. Right. However eventually, this proposed study became the Amandus study, correct? 23 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. And that, of course, relates to their conduct, 24 Α. Yes. 25 does it not? Q. Okay. But you don't mention that below, do Page 107 Page 109 1 A. Yes. you? 1 2 2 Q. The term "knowingly endangered," is that a A. No. 3 term that's often used in industrial hygiene? 3 Q. Okay. Paragraph 28, again, does this relate 4 A. Well, "knowingly" -- I mean they endangered to Grace's conduct, historical conduct? and they knew what was in this material, so they knowingly 5 A. (Perusing document) -- yes. Q. Okay. Paragraph 28 -- excuse me, Paragraph endangered these people. 6 7 Q. Is that an opinion that you shared with Kris 29, does this relate to Grace's historical conduct? 8 McLean, that they had knowingly endangered these people? 8 A. (Perusing document) -- it relates to their 9 A. I don't know if it was or not. 9 conduct, yes. 10 Q. Okay, Paragraph 35, is it fair to say 10 Q. Okay. Paragraph 30, does this relate to 11 that this paragraph speaks generally about the history of **Grace's historical conduct?** 11 the medical community's understanding of asbestos disease? 12 A. (Perusing document) -- yes. And in addition 12 13 A. Yes. 13 to relating to their conduct, it relates to, you know, 14 what is done in the field of industrial hygiene, so just 14 Q. This is in no way specific to Grace, correct? 15 A. It speaks to the historical knowledge of. 15 so we're clear on that. Q. So arguably, this could be something which 16 Q. Okay. No, that's fair. But what is done in could be used to evaluate their conduct because of what the field of industrial hygiene is, particularly at that 17 17 time, is certainly a relevant consideration when 18 they could have historically known, correct? evaluating their conduct, correct, sir? 19 19 20 Q. Okay. Paragraph 36, this paragraph speaks to 20 A. Yes. the, I would say, mineralogical content of the Libby 21 Q. Okay. Paragraph 31, once again, does this 21

22

23

24

25

amphibole; is that correct?

at least part of that amphibole.

A. Yes, and also knowledge about the toxicity of

Q. "The Montana Supreme Court has found asbestos

22

23

24

25

relate to Grace's historical conduct?

Grace's historical conduct?

A. (Perusing document) -- yes.

Q. Okay. Paragraph 32, does this relate to

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

Page 110

1 dust was a well known toxic inhalant prior to 1956." Is

- that the portion that you say speaks to toxicity?
- 3 A. Which paragraph are you on again?
- **Q.** Sure, 36, I'm reading in the middle of the paragraph.
 - A. Oh, okay. Yeah, and the reference to Vorwald.
 - Q. What is Vorwald?
- 8 A. Vorwald essentially performed some
- 9 toxicological studies on tremolite.
- Q. However, our understanding of the toxicity of tremolite today is more informed by studies performed by
- 12 individuals such as Amandus, McDonald, Sullivan, or
- 13 Lockey, correct?
- 14 A. Well, considering the fact that we are looking
- 15 at the different forms of amphibole in there, yes.
- Q. Okay. So this paragraph speaks more to
- 17 historical understanding, correct, as opposed to current
- 18 understanding?
- MR. LEWIS: Objection, because if you read the
- 20 rest of the paragraph, obviously, it talks about current
- 21 understanding as I see it. So I think that misstates what
- 22 the --

6

7

- MR. STANSBURY: Provided we're limiting this
- 24 to toxicity. On the issue of toxicity, I see the Meeker
- 25 study --

Page 111

- 1 MR. LEWIS: Why don't you restate your
- 2 question --
- 3 MR. STANSBURY: Yeah, maybe --
- 4 MR. LEWIS: -- and I won't object if that's
- 5 what you're limiting it to.
- 6 MR. STANSBURY: Right, that's what I'm
- 7 limiting it to.
- 8 Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) This, the discussion of
- 9 toxicity in this paragraph speaks to when the medical
- 10 community first became aware that tremolite was toxic but
- 11 is not necessarily the authoritative source today for
- 12 determining the toxicity of these fibers, correct?
- MR. LEWIS: Of asbestos -- of Libby fibers?
- MR. STANSBURY: Of Libby fibers.
- MR. LEWIS: Okay. No objection.
- THE WITNESS: Well, yeah, the reference to
- 17 Vorwald talks about the toxicity as evaluated through tox
- 18 studies in his lab in 1951. But that, by no means, was
- 19 the only discussion of the hazards of --
 - Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) Right.
- A. -- or the toxicity of tremolite prior to that.
- Q. Okay. And then you also cite to Meeker in
- 23 this paragraph, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.

20

Q. And for the purpose of -- I'll read this for

Page 112

- 1 the record: "More recently, sophisticated analysis has
- 2 shown that Libby asbestos is 84% winchite, 11% richterite,
- 3 and 6% tremolite."
- 4 Did I read that correctly, sir?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. And as you stated, you're not a
- 7 mineralogist, correct.
- 8 A. No.

9

10

11

15

- Q. You're not a toxicologist, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. You don't have any opinions that go beyond
- 12 what is available in the public literature with respect to
- 13 the toxicology or mineralogy of the Libby fibers, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. Paragraph 37, once again, this
- 16 paragraph, similar to the ones before it, discusses the
- 17 historical understanding by the medical community of
- 18 potential health risks caused by asbestos, correct?
- 19 A. Well, the health risks -- I'll read that
- 20 again. (Perusing document) -- yes.
- Q. Okay. And similarly, Paragraph 38 also refers
- 22 to historical knowledge of the health effects from
- 23 exposure to asbestos, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
 - Q. And Paragraph 39 also refers to the historical

Page 113

- 1 understanding of health effects associated with exposure
- 2 to asbestos, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And Paragraph 40 also refers to the historical
- understanding of medical literature with the caveat here
- 6 that you mentioned industrial hygienists often review this
- 7 literature, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. If I could read Paragraph 40 for the 10 record:
- 11 "By the 1960s, hundreds of articles and
- 12 studies published in the industrial hygiene and medical
- 13 literature established that asbestos exposure is harmful
- 14 and can be fatal. These materials were readily available
- = 1 did cui be lavai linese indecidas (refe federily availab
- 15 to anyone interested in learning about the dangers of
- 16 asbestos. As a standard practice, industrial hygienists
- 17 review industrial hygiene literature, as well as
- 18 occupational medicine literature."
- 19 Did I read that correctly, sir?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So once again, we're speaking about the
- 22 state as of the 1960s, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Paragraph 41, this paragraph speaks
- 25 about historical conditions but specifically discusses

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

Page 116 Page 114 Q. Has our understanding of how asbestos fibers 1 potential community exposures arising out of the 1 historical conditions, correct, sir? behaved in the air changed in any way in the last 31 2 3 3 A. Yes. It's speaking to the, you know, the vears? A. Very little if at all. 4 aerodynamic properties of asbestos and how it can expose 4 5 5 people who are not directly working with the material. Q. Okay, okay. So again, this paragraph would 6 Q. In Paragraph 42 -speak to, you know, ultimately would speak to the potential for exposure in the community, correct? 7 A. It also speaks -- if I may interrupt for just a second ---8 A. Yes, bystander exposure, I think. 8 9 Q. Okay, okay. Paragraph 43, does that similarly 9 Q. Sure. 10 A. -- it also speaks to control. 10 speak to the potential exposure of a bystander? 11 O. Historical control? 11 A. Yes. 12 A. Well, it's -- the same controls we use now are 12 Q. Okay. MR. LEWIS: Counsel, I'm not trying to be what was used historically, so -- (pause.) 13 13 difficult here, but "bystander" has legal connotations as 14 Q. Okay. But obviously because we're talking 14 well, like a bystander liability, and I'm a little 15 about Libby, we're talking about historical operation 15 because it hasn't been operation for, I guess, 18 - 19 concerned about that. So I don't want to interrupt your 16 years, correct? 17 examination. I think I understand what you mean by 17 "bystander", but if you don't, on my examination, I'll ask 18 A. That's fine. 18 the witness his understanding of bystander. 19 Q. Okay. In Paragraph 42, I'm going to read the 19 If you could clear that up now, I think that 20 first sentence: "Asbestos fibers in the air are known to 20 travel long distances from their source or point of 21 21 might be helpful. origin." 22 MR. STANSBURY: Okay. 22 MR. LEWIS: It's up to you. 23 Did I read that correctly, sir? 23 Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) I'm getting "bystander 24 A. Yes. 24 exposure" from your report. That's in Paragraph 43; is it 25 Q. And then you cite to the Environmental Page 117 not? 1 Protection Agency, and you say as follows, which states as 1 2 2 follows: A. Correct. "During the time that the asbestos fiber 3 3 Q. And what do you mean by "bystander exposure"? 4 remains airborne, it is able to move laterally with air 4 "Bystander" would mean, again, someone who is currents and contaminate spaces distant from the point of not working directly with the material, but they're doing 5 5 6 release. Significant levels of contamination have been something else at distances away from that job. 7 7 documented hundreds of meters from a point source of Q. Right. 8 A. So that's what I mean by "bystander." 8 asbestos fibers, and fibers also move across contamination barrier symptoms with the passage of workers during 9 9 O. Okav. removal of material. 10 A. It's kind of a loose term, I guess. 10 11 "The theoretical times needed for such 11 O. Sure. respirable fibers to settle from a 3 meter ceiling are 4, 12 MR. LEWIS: Thank you, Counsel, for allowing 12 20 and 80 hours in still air. Turbulence will prolong the 13 that clarification. 13 14 settling and also cause reentrainment of fallen fibers,' 14 Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) Paragraph 44, I think this (Sprayed Asbestos Containing Materials in Buildings, A paragraph relates to general principles of industrial 15 15 16 Guidance Document, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 16 hygiene, correct? 17 March 1978)." 17 A. Yes. Did I read that correctly, sir? 18 18 It's not specific to Libby in any way, is it 19 19 not? O. So this is a cite to an EPA source a little 2.0 20 A. It's general industrial hygiene principles. O. Okay. Paragraph 45, does this relate to the over 30 years ago, correct? 21 21 22 A. In 1978, yes. 22 historical conditions and operations of the Libby

vermiculite mine?

(Perusing document) -- in part, yes.

What else does it relate to?

23

24

25

Q. Discussing how asbestos fibers travel,

23

24

25

correct?

A. Yes.

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

Page 120

Page 118

1 A. Yeah, it relates to percentages, basically, in

2 the materials, so it would be historical.

Q. Okay. And Paragraph 46, reading the first sentence, "Soil containing Libby asbestos at levels equal to or greater than 1% are generally considered a health hazard requiring remediation," did I read that correctly, sir?

8 A. Yes.

Q. What is your basis for that sentence?

10 A. My basis for that sentence is published

11 studies from EPA, Atkinson's, I think, study. I think

12 they might be listed in here.

13 **Q.** Is it --

A. NIOSH; there's a series of articles that

15 discuss this 1 percent issue, if that's what we want

16 to --

3 4

9

Q. Is it a federally mandated action level? Is that your understanding?

A. And we're talking about the 1 percent?

20 **Q.** Yes, yes.

A. The 1 percent is a, is a percentage which

22 would be considered asbestos containing for removal, I

23 guess would be the best way to describe it.

Q. Okay. As an industrial hygienist, is it

common for you to examine the asbestos content of soil?

Page 119

1 A. Well, we have -- or I have. It could be one 2 of our tasks, yes.

Q. Do you have any training studying the propensity of asbestos fibers to be released from soil?

5 A. Well, we've -- again, the literature tells us 6 how it could be released from soils. In terms of my

7 personal experience, yeah, we've collected soil samples

8 for asbestos and -- (pause.)

9 Q. So you did examination of - and maybe I'm 10 using this term incorrectly - "bulk material," correct?

11 A. Yes.

Q. Okay. That's distinct, though, from doing airborne measurements, correct?

14 A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Do you have an opinion as to the propensity of asbestos in soil to be released into the ambient air?

A. Yes.

18

20

19 **Q.** What is your opinion?

A. My opinion is that amphobile asbestos from

21 Libby can be released into the air if it is contained in

22 low, very low percentages within the soil; very clear.

Q. Okay. And what is your basis for that 24 opinion?

A. Again, studies that have been done by EPA in

1 Libby; Atkinson's; NIOSH considers it to be a friable

2 material where if not bound up in anything, it's going to

3 be released from the material that it's contained in. The

4 fibers are all loose.

Q. You say: "A review of the literature." Prior
 to working with Libby, had you ever studied the propensity

of asbestos to be released from soil?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Okay. Had you ever studied releases of any

10 hazard from soil?

11 A. From soil, no.

Q. Okay. So soil analysis was not something that

13 you had previously done until you got involved with Libby,

14 correct?

15

18

A. That would be fair.

16 Q. In your 20 -- how many years have you been an

17 industrial hygienist?

A. I don't know; 30.

19 Q. Thirty years, okay. So dealing with soil was

 $2\,\text{0}$ $\,$ not something that you had dealt with previously.

A. Well, you know, I hate to be limited. I mean

22 I've been involved with looking at asbestos levels in

 $23\,\,$ dust, in other words. I don't know if you want to call

24 that "soil," but soil -- I'm trying to think if I've done

soil work previous to the Libby work, and I can't remember

Page 121

1 if I'm looking at dust levels or levels that are contained

2 in the dust on a surface, or something like that.

Q. For example, settled dust that has settled on a surface of part of an industrial facility? Is that what you're talking about?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay.

8 A. Or a home or something like that.

Q. Right. But that is distinct from soil, is it

10 **not?**

6

7

9

11 A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And the tendency of an asbestos fiber

13 to be released from a flat surface is certainly different

14 than a tendency of an asbestos fiber to be released from

15 soil, correct?

A. Well, it could be. I think it's all related to activity.

1 / to activity.

Q. Okay. What activities have you personally done to determine the tendency of asbestos to be released from soil?

21 A. Well, what activities have I done -- I mean

22 we're currently involved with a research project where

23 we're analyzing surface dust in homes that contain

24 vermiculite attic insulation. We are taking air samples

25 at the same time. So we're trying to establish if there

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

Page 122

- 1 is a risk from surface contamination as to an airborne
- 2 exposure. So that's the work I've done.

3

5

6

- Q. But that's not soil. That is asbestos within a home. That's not asbestos that is in the soil on the ground, correct?
- A. Right, it's in a house or --
- Q. Okay. And that's what industrial hygienists
 tend to look at is soil within -- excuse me. Strike that.
- 9 Industrial hygienists tend to examine asbestos
- 10 within a facility or on a settled surface, correct?
- A. Well, no, I wouldn't say that. I mean you could have an industrial facility where they're doing a
- 13 removal job like asbestos siding. And obviously, the soil
- 14 is contaminated from that siding, so we would look at
- soil. And we've done that up at Montana Tech.
- Q. Okay. But the question I had asked earlier,
- 17 which elicited the response regarding the work you've done
- in homes, was what work you have done studying the release 18
- 19 of asbestos from soil in Libby.
- A. Well, again, it would be the same sort of
- 21 situation where -- an industrial hygienist would be
- 22 concerned about a release into any media, whether it be
- 23 soil or dust, and we certainly take air samples in
- 24 conjunction with that. So whether or not we can establish
- 25 a relationship between what's in the soil or the media and

Page 123

- 1 the air is another question, but we certainly look at
- 2 those aspects.

13

soil?

- Q. I understand looking at the aspect, but
 sitting here today, have you derived a relationship from
 your work that compares the amount of asbestos in soil
- your work that compares the amount of asbestos in soil
 with the amount of asbestos that's released into the air
- 7 when the soil is disrupted?
- 8 A. Well, no, that's work that's ongoing right now 9 in Libby. No one had that relationship right now.
- Q. So sitting in here, sitting here today, you're not aware of any reliable source that would allow us to determine the potential airborne releases from asbestos in
- MR. LEWIS: I'm going to object to the form of the question because I think that assumes -- misstates the
- the question because I think that assumes -- misstates thewitness evidence in the sense that he was talking about
- witness evidence in the sense that he was talking abou
- 17 quantification as to whether or not there were such
- 18 releases, but -- so I think the question assumes facts not 19 in evidence.
- MR. STANSBURY: I think you could say "assumes
- 21 facts not in evidence" without coaching the witness. I
- 22 would appreciate doing so next time.
- You may answer.
- MR. LEWIS: Well, I would appreciate, Counsel,
- 25 that when you restate his testimony, you restate it

Page 124

- 1 accurately. And that's the problem here. If you're going
- 2 to load up your questions by your own paraphrase of his
- 3 testimony and -- that's deceptive questioning and that's
- 4 what I object to here.
- 5 MR. STANSBURY: Okay. Let's get back to --
- 6 let's refocus on that for a moment.
- 7 Could you read the last pending question,
- 8 please.
- 9 (The record was read by the court reporter as
- 10 follows:
- "QUESTION: So sitting here today, you're not
- 12 aware of any reliable source that would allow us to
- 13 determine the potential airborne releases from asbestos in
- 14 soil?")

15

20

23

2

- THE WITNESS: Well, I'm aware of studies that
- 16 have been done and are being done by the EPA in Libby
- 17 where they are evaluating release of asbestos fibers from
- 18 soil.
- 19 BY MR. STANSBURY:
 - Q. Okay. Other than those studies, anything
- 21 else?
- A. Not that I can think of right now.
 - Q. Okay. So let's talk about those studies,
- 24 then. Are these studies that are ongoing now?
- A. Well, they're doing activity-based sampling in

Page 125

- 1 Libby right now, in Libby and up at the mine.
 - Q. Um-hmm.
- 3 A. Paul Peronard did the initial studies in the
- 4 early '90s in Libby where they did activity-based sampling
- 5 to try to determine what is coming off gardening,
- 6 driveways, working with soils as well as other types of
- 7 media, sure.
- 8 Q. Okay. Did you rely upon those results in
- 9 formulating your opinions regarding the hazards from10 asbestos in soil in Libby?
- A. Yes. This 1 percent issue, yes, I've relied
- 12 on that.
- Q. Specifically, you relied upon the studies done by EPA, correct?
- A. Yeah, I relied on the knowledge I have accrued
- in reading EPA documents both before I became a technicaladvisor for the TAG and after.
- Q. Okay. And which -- by "EPA documents," we're talking about post 1999 EPA documents, correct, the ones that deal with the studies that you're referencing?
- Those all began when Paul Peronard came to Libby in
- 22 November of '99, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So with respect to those post 1999 EPA
- 25 studies, which ones have been published?

1

2

4

(Pages 126 to 129)

Page 128

Page 126

- 1 A. Well, they're published by EPA, I guess. I
- don't know how to answer your question. I'm trying to
- look -- yeah, like they're referencing the Christopher 3
- Weis memorandum is referenced in Paragraph 48, so those
- are the types of things I'm referring to. 5
 - Q. The Christopher Weis memorandum, so we're clear, the one in Paragraph 48 that relates to -- now I'm going to read the statement that you have citing that and let me know if I read it correctly:
- 10 "These results clearly indicate that vermiculite insulation in homes or commercial buildings is 11 12 a substantial reservoir of asbestos-contaminated source material that may lead to ongoing exposure of area 13
 - Did I read that correctly, sir?

residents and workers."

16 A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

14

15

- Q. Okay. So he's talking about, again, asbestos 17
- in homes and in commercial buildings, correct? 18
- A. Yes, as part of the work that was done up 19
- there by Peronard and others, looking at exposure levels 20
- that are coming from soil and different sort of things 21
- based on activities that are being done. 22
- Q. Okay. But that citation here that you list, 23 24 this is not speaking about soils specifically, is it not?
- A. If you read the citation, I think you will

Page 127

- 1 find that it's talking about soil, but -- if you read the 2 paper.
- 3 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say, then, that one item we have identified that informs your opinion about the potential release of asbestos from soil is the Chris
- 6 Weis action memorandum?
- 7 A. Yes.

5

- 8 Q. Okay. Anything else?
- 9 A. Well, there's been more recent publications by
- 10 EPA in the later time period where they are -- where
- 11 they've collected indoor air samples at a given period in
- 12 time, and then they've gone back and collected indoor air
- 13 samples later on. And they are attributing in their
- 14 documents to this increase in indoor air asbestos at a
- later date due to the soil, the soil contamination. So 15
- 16 that informs my opinion.
- 17 Q. How would indoor air be related to releases 18 from soil?
- 19 A. Because it blows into the house. I'm not 20 talking, you know, anything too complicated here.
- Q. Okay. So -- and when was this study 21 22 conducted?
- A. Well, I think there's been some done in the 23
- 24 early, well, probably 2005 - 2006. There are technical
- memorandums. I can't quote them by --

Q. Right.

- A. -- number, but -- (pause.)
- 3 Q. Were these memoranda cited in your report?
 - A. I don't know if they're cited in my report or
- 5 not. They may not be.
- Q. Okay. Sitting here today, were these
- 7 memoranda included among your reliance materials?
- A. Yes. Well, I mean, it's like I say, I'm
- 9 trying to include --
- 10 Q. Well, did you produce copies? Are you aware 11 of whether copies of these memoranda were produced to us?
 - A. I'm not aware of that.
- 13 O. Okav.
- 14 A. You were asking me about my knowledge of soil
- 15 and release of asbestos from the soil.
- Q. Understood. So clearly, you clearly relied on 16 these memoranda in reaching this opinion, correct? 17
- 18 A. I've relied on all of the documents that I've
- read over the years pertaining to potential of release 19
- 20 from soils into the air.
- 21 Q. Okay. And so far we've listed the Chris Weis
- memoranda; the EPA studies that look at indoor air that, I
- believe you said, inferred that the indoor air was a
- result of asbestos being blown in from the soil. Is that
- 25 correct?

1

7

Page 129

- A. I believe that's what they concluded.
- 2 Q. Okay. Are there any other sources that inform
- 3 your opinion as to the propensity of asbestos be released
- from soil?
- A. Well, again, there's other citations: EPA
- 6 2001, EPA 2004.
 - Q. I'm sorry, which page are we on?
- A. I was looking under Paragraph 46. 8
- 9 Q. The ones that speak to the --
- 10 A. It begins with soil containing Libby asbestos.
- Q. Sitting here today, are you aware of a 11
- correlation between asbestos and soil and the amount of 12
- 13 asbestos that can be released into the air?
- 14 A. Well, that's a question mark.
- 15 Q. Okay. So that's certainly something you
- 16 cannot say reliably that "X" level of asbestos in the soil
- 17 will produce "Y" level of asbestos in the air, correct?
- 18
 - A. That would be correct.
- 19 Q. Okay.
 - MR. LEWIS: Is it a good time for a break?
- MR. STANSBURY: Yeah, let's take a break. 21
- 22 That's fine.

- VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:08. We're off 23
- 24 of the record.
- 25 (The lunch recess was taken.)

(Pages 130 to 133)

Page 132

Page 133

be toxic, but we don't know that they're not toxic. And

assessment, or evaluation, or what have you.

I'm uncomfortable with not considering that in either risk

Page 130 1 VIDEOGRAPHER: This is Tape 3 of the 1 correct? videotaped deposition of Dr. Terry Spear. 2 2 A. That's correct. 3 The time is 11:47. We're on the record. 3 Q. Because in order to determine something like 4 BY MR. STANSBURY: toxicity, you need to know information about exposure, 5 Q. Okay. Dr. Spear, can we move to Paragraph 52, correct? 5 please. And in 52 and 53, there are statements here 6 A. Yes. 6 regarding the toxicity of asbestos from Libby; is that 7 Q. Okay. And this is clearly the paper of the 7 correct, sir? three most relevant to your area of expertise, is it not? A. Yes. A. I'm sorry, by "three" --9 9 10 Q. And once again, you are not a toxicologist, 10 Q. You're aware that there was a mortality study 11 correct? 11 and a morbidity study also done by Amandus, correct? 12 A. That's correct. 12 Q. You don't intend to offer any specific Q. Okay. And so the papers that look at, those 13 13 opinions about toxicity at the confirmation hearing, do 14 14 papers, the morbidity study, are you familiar with that? 15 vou? 15 A. Yes. A. I cannot offer any opinions on toxicology, no. 16 16 Q. Okay. That looked at radiographic abnormalities in the working population and correlated 17 Q. Okay. Dr. Spear, have you reviewed the 17 Amandus paper, 1987? that to exposures, correct? 18 18 19 A. I have at one point in time, yes. 19 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Who is Harlan Amandus? Q. The mortality study looked at mortality within 20 20 A. I'm sorry, who is he? a worker cohort and correlated that with exposure, 21 21 correct? 22 Q. Yeah. Do you know who he is? 22 23 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. You've never met him before? Q. Both papers were dependent upon the exposure 24 24 25 A. I've never met him. 25 data contained in this paper, correct? Page 131 Q. You are aware that he was working at NIOSH at 1 1 A. That's correct. 2 the time he wrote that paper, correct? Q. And of the three papers, this is the paper 3 A. Yes. 3 that primary falls within your area of expertise, correct? 4 4 Q. NIOSH is the National Institute of A. Well, in terms of exposure measurement, yes. Occupational Safety and Health; is that correct? 5 5 Q. Yes, okay. And do you have any general opinions regarding this paper? 6 7 Q. And that is part of the United States 7 A. Well, I've read this paper, you know, Government, is it not? associated with other W.R. Grace cases, and my general 8 9 A. Yes. opinion pertaining to any exposure measurements at the (Document marked Deposition mine site, or it may be if it was done outside the mine 10 10 Exhibit No. 7 for identification.) site in Libby, is that during this time frame, they were 11 12 BY MR. STANSBURY: basically looking at PCM analysis. And in my opinion, the 13 Q. Okay. I'm handing you what's been marked as fibers that were less than 5 micrometers in length are not 13 14 Exhibit 7. Exhibit 7 is "The Morbidity and Mortality of 14 being factored into the exposure. Vermiculite Miners and Millers Exposed to Tremolite: Part 15 Q. Five micrometers or five microns? 16 I. Exposure Estimates"; authors: Amandus, Wheeler, 16 A. The same thing: Microns/micrometers. Jankovic, and Tucker; published in 1987 in the American 17 Q. Micrometers is the same -- okay, got it. Journal of Industrial Medicine. 18 18 So you believe that this paper should have looked at 19 Did I read that correctly, sir? 19 fibers with -- that were less than 5 microns in length, A. Yes. 2.0 20 correct? Q. Okay. And do you -- is this familiar with 21 A. Yeah. As an industrial hygienist, my opinion 22 you? Do you recognize this document? 22 is that the -- that fibers shorter than 5 micrometers can

23

24

23

24

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. This is the paper by Amandus that

specifically focuses on establishing the exposures,

1

2

7

18

(Pages 134 to 137)

Page 136

Page 134

- 1 Q. Are you aware of other epidemiological studies 2 that only counted fibers longer than 5 microns in length?
 - A. That's been the standard practice.
- 4 Q. Okay. So this paper is in no way an outlier, so to speak, insofar as they only counted fibers longer than 5 micrometers, correct? 6
 - A. Correct.

3

7

- 8 Q. It's just something that you personally, Dr. Spear, do not agree with, correct? 9
- 10 A. Well, not just me personally, but there's an accumulating -- I mean I think that, hopefully, the risk 11 of asbestos will eventually look at short fibers, not just 12 long fibers. The reason that they were looking at long 13
- 14 fibers was simply due to the analytical sensitivity of the
- 15 method. OSHA's current standard of 0.1 fibers per cc is
- that level because that is the level of analytical
- sensitivity; in other words, we have no reliability if 17
- we're trying to quantify fibers at lower levels. And so
- 19 hopefully as technology increases and we can start more
- 20 consistently evaluating all fibers, then the risk will
- 21 take into account short fibers. That's my opinion.
- 22 Q. Okay. So, you know, we've already 23 established, correct, that it is common in industrial
- hygiene literature to report only those asbestos fibers that are longer than 5 microns in length, correct?

Page 135

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. However, if you were to report all fibers, including those that are less than 5 microns, that 3 4 would, typically, have the effect to increase the amount of fibers that are counted, correct? 5
 - A. Yes.

6

- 7 Q. Okay. So the exposures would appear higher, 8 correct?
- 9 Well, it would be representative of what a 10 person breathes in, whether they're short fibers or long fibers, yes. 11
- 12 Q. Okay. But just to make sure we're clear, so let's say somebody had 5 fibers per cc only counting 13 14 fibers that were 5 microns or longer, if you were to count all fibers, you would expect that person to have a higher 15 exposure measurement, correct? 16
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. And although we discussed earlier you're not a toxicologist or an epidemiologist, but as an 19 20 industrial hygienist, you do understand how exposure 21 quantifications fit into a toxicology analysis, correct?

22

Q. Okay. And one of the data points, for 23 24 example, on a mortality study would be actual mortality, the people who have died, correct?

- Yes.
- When they died, correct?
- 3 Yes.
- 4 Q. And information related to that. And that mortality, it becomes -- is compared to their exposure in 6 order to drive the toxicity of the substance, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. And so if you were to do an analysis
- looking at fibers at level "X", given a certain level of 9
- the mortality, and then you were to derive a toxicity
- 11 factor - we can assume that you've just done that for a
- 12 moment because I don't want to ask too long of a question
- 13 - but that makes sense, correct?
- Kind of, I guess. 14
- 15 Well, determining -- let me make sure we're on 16 the same page. You determine toxicity based on certain 17 exposure levels, correct?
 - A. Yes, and length of exposure.
- 19 Q. And length of exposure. So you get cumulative 20 exposure, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. So if the exposure levels are higher at the
- same length of exposure, you're going to have higher 23
- 24 cumulative exposure, correct?
- 25 Yes.

Page 137

- Q. And thank you for pointing this out. It's 1 that accumulative exposure that is then used and compared
- 3 against mortality to derive the toxicity of the substance,
- correct? 4

9

14

- 5 Yes. A.
- 6 Q. And that's not specific to asbestos. This is
- the way you would approach any type of exposure to a
- hazard if you wanted to derive the toxicity, correct? 8
 - A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Okay. So if you were to -- when evaluating
- 11 that initial exposure, if you were to include additional
- 12 fibers, let's say shorter fibers, that would give you a
- 13 higher exposure measurement, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And over the same duration, a higher
- 16 cumulative exposure, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So if you were looking at the exact same
- 19 analysis, although now you have higher cumulative
- 20 exposures, that would show a lower level of toxicity for
- 21 the substance, would it not?
- 2.2 A. It could, but I don't think the same points
- 23 apply to morbidity, either, or disease rates, you know, in
- 24 a person, what rates actually cause disease prior to
- mortality.

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

Page 138

Q. I'm sorry, I don't follow.

A. Well, I just -- I don't agree with that same

- 3 philosophy in terms of you're talking about mortality
- 4 studies or people dying from asbestos. I think that to
- 5 determine risk of asbestos exposure in causing disease, I
- 6 do think that we have to consider total exposure.
- 7 Q. Okay. And I'm not contesting that at this
- 8 moment. But looking at total exposure, if you do get
- 9 higher exposure because you're counting additional fibers
- and you use that number to determine cumulative exposure,
- 11 the toxicity of the substance will be lower, assuming that
- 12 the mortality end points are the same, correct?
- A. Because of using -- I understand your point.
- Q. Okay. And just so I make sure I understand my
- 15 own point, to the extent that Dr. Amandus, working for
- 16 NIOSH, may have excluded fibers shorter than 5 microns,
- 17 that would have the impact of increasing the toxicity of
- 18 the Libby amphiboles based on the findings of the study,
- 19 correct?

23

1

- 20 MR. LEWIS: Hold on. I object to that
- 21 question. That question is very ambiguous. What's the
- 22 antecedent for the pronoun "that" in your question?
 - Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) Dr. Spear, you seem to
- understand the question.
 MR. LEWIS: Well, the question -- that doesn't
 - D 1

Page 139

- 1 make any difference whether -- if the question is
- 2 improper, it's improper. It's misleading, it's vague,
- 3 it's also compound.
- 4 MR. STANSBURY: I'll ask you to, again, not
- 5 coach the witness.
- 6 MR. LEWIS: I didn't coach the witness. What
- 7 did I say to the witness there, Counsel?
- 8 MR. STANSBURY: Could you please read back the
- 9 last question, madam court reporter?
- 10 (The record was read by the court reporter as
- 11 follows:
- "QUESTION: And just so I make sure I
- 13 understand my own point, to the extent that Dr. Amandus,
- 14 working for NIOSH, may have excluded fibers shorter
- 15 than" --
- MR. STANSBURY: Let me try to ask the question
- in a way that will, you know, address everybody's
- 18 concerns.
- 19 BY MR. STANSBURY:
- Q. To the extent that Dr. Amandus, working for
- 21 NIOSH, may have under-counted fibers by excluding fibers
- 22 shorter than 5 microns, by doing so, given the mortality
- 23 and morbidity end points he worked with, that would have
- 24 the effect of reporting a toxicity factor in the Libby
- amphibole that actually may have been higher were he to

Page 140

- 1 have followed your suggested method of counting all
- 2 fibers, correct?
- 3 A. It could have that effect.
 - O. Okay. Other than the exclusion of fibers
- 5 shorter than 5 microns, are there any other statements in
- 6 Dr. Amandus's paper or any other findings that you find to
- 7 be unsupportable scientifically?
- 8 A. Well, no. It was a peer-reviewed article and,
- 9 certainly, it's been referenced and cited many times.
- 10 There's always questions on exposure reconstruction.
- 11 **Q.** Okay.

12

- A. Things like that.
- Q. Okay. I wanted to walk through a couple parts
- 14 of this paper, then. And starting on page 2, under
- 15 "Exposure Measurements":
- 16 "Samples of airborne dust have been taken in
- the mill since 1942 and in the mine since 1968. Prior to
- 8 1969, 336 midget impinger samples were collected by the
- 19 state of Montana primarily in the dry mill, and after
- 20 1967, 4116 membrane filter samples of airborne dust were
- 21 collected by federal agencies (NIOSH, MESA, and MSHA)"
- 22 NIOSH, MESA, and MSHA, just so the court reporter is clear
- 23 "and the company in most areas of the facility (Table
- 24 II). Before 1974, filter samples were either general area
- a = 12/1 2 of of 2 2 7 1, the of samples were element general at an
- or short-term personal samplings collected over periods

Page 141

- ranging from 20 minutes to several hours, and were not
- 2 likely to have reflected the 8-hr TWA exposure."
- 3 Did I read that correctly, sir?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Do you agree with this approach?
- 6 A. Well, yes, because -- well, I agree. That
- 7 approach does still take place today.
- 8 Q. Okay. And MESA, M-E-S-A, that no longer
- 9 exists by that name, correct?
- 10 A. Right.

12

14

15

20

- 11 Q. What did MESA stand for?
 - A. The Mine Enforcement and Safety
- 13 Administration, I think.
 - Q. And that was a federal agency --
 - A. Yeah.
- 16 **O.** -- correct --
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. -- or administration. And then MSHA, that's
- 19 the successor to MESA?
 - A. Yes.
- 21 O. And what does MSHA stand for?
- A. Mine Safety and Health Administration.
 - Q. Okay. And if you could turn to Table III --
- 24 or page 3, Table II, excuse me. "Table II. Description
- 25 of Environmental Samples," this reflects where this data

(Pages 142 to 145)

Page 142 Page 144 1 in this paper was collected from, correct? cause you to have less confidence in this paper? 1 2 A. Yes. 2 A. It could, well, particularly when workers --O. And 789 of the samples from 1971 to 1981 were you know, if they're in and out of different locations and 3 3 collected by MESA and/or MSHA, correct, sir? 4 move a lot. 5 5 A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. Do you believe they try to take into 6 Q. Forty-eight of the samples from 1967 to '68 6 account the idea of individuals moving in and out of were collected by NIOSH, correct? locations? 7 7 8 A. Yes. 8 A. I'm sure they did. Q. And then 336 samples using the mppcf 9 9 Q. Okay. On Table IV -- excuse me, page 4 Table measurement were collected from 1956 to 1969 by the State 10 III, now, this table summarizes the average fiber per cc 10 values calculated from membrane filter samples collected 11 of Montana, correct? 11 12 A. Yes. 12 in 1967 through 1962 by location, operation, and year, Q. And so -- and then the company between 1970 13 13 correct? and 1982 collected 3,279 samples, correct? 14 14 A. Um-hmm. A. That's what the Table II says, yes. "Yes," sir? 15 15 Q. Q. Okay. And again, this is a peer-reviewed 16 16 A. Yes. study. You have no reason to dispute that, correct? Q. Okay. And I want to look at a couple of these 17 17 measurements. Specifically, the new wet mill, post '76, 18 A. I'm sorry? 18 Q. Again, this is a peer-reviewed study. You 19 19 the average exposure was 0.8 fibers per cc, correct? have no reason to dispute the findings of the table, 20 20 A. I need to make sure I know where you're correct? 21 21 looking at again. 22 A. No. 22 Q. Sure. Q. Okay. So it's fair to say that the exposure You're on Table III? 23 23 A. data underlying this study was based on a large number of 24 Q. Yes. 25 samples, correct? 25 You're looking at new wet mill? Page 143 Page 145 A. There are a large number of samples, yes. 1 Yes, post '76, after '76. 1 Ο. 2 Q. Okay. Some of which were collected by the 2 Oh, okay. State of Montana, correct? 3 That's 0.8 fibers per cc, correct, sir? 0. 3 A. Yes. 4 4 A. 5 5 Q. And some by various federal agencies, correct? Q. And that's based on 1,214 samples, correct? 6 6 A. 7 7 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with how he derived Q. Do you recall what the MSHA PEL was in 1976? 8 8 the location operations approach to estimating exposures? A. I don't recall. It could have been 5. I know 9 A. I've looked at it before. 9 MSHA was always slower than OSHA in changing PEL --10 Q. Right. Q. Okay. 10 11 A. I'm vaguely familiar with it. 11 A. -- their limits. Q. Okay. Do you have any reason to believe that Q. Right. But it was certainly higher than 0.8, 12 12 using location operations -- well, strike that. 13 13 correct? 14 Is the use of location operations to estimate 14 A. Yes, and it's -- but this number is certainly exposures within a facility a common practice in higher than the current, the current exposure limit, 15 15 16 industrial hygiene? 16 which --A. Well, we would typically nowadays try to 17 The current OSHA PEL or MSHA PEL? 17 Q. 18 divide work forces up into similar exposed groups. And 18 The OSHA PEL. they don't necessarily have to be in one location, they 19 Q. Right. Is it your understanding that MSHA or 19 OSHA was primarily responsible for regulating the mine? 20 could be similar groups that work in different locations, 20 21 but I believe this is a method that they used then. 21 A. Well, I think for the mine itself, it was 22 Q. Okay. And you consider it a reliable method? 22 MSHA. And then for some of the in-town facilities, I Well, I think "reliable" to as reliable as it believe OSHA would have had some jurisdiction. I've had 23 A. 23 24 can be. 24 this discussion --25

Q. Right.

25

Q.

Okay. Does the use of location operation

(Pages 146 to 149)

Page 146

- 1 A. -- before in depositions.
- Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that for the mill 2
- associated with the mine, that would still fall under 3
- MSHA's jurisdiction?
- A. Yes. 5
- 6 Q. Okay. And 1976 on, the PEL within that wet mill was below MSHA's PEL, correct? 7
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. Moving on, sir, on page 5, there seems
- to be an issue with converting mppcf to fibers per cc, 10
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And this is certainly an analysis that I 13
- 14 believe requires some estimation, correct?
- A. Well, yes. It's very suspect, particularly 15
- unless all of the other sampling variables were the same.
- 17 You know, I mean it's hard to apply that conversion across
- the board. 18
- 19 Q. Right. So that conversion, though, would only
- 20 have been used for samples that were created prior to
- 1969, correct? 21
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Because after 1969, they're using fiber per 23
- 24 cc, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 147

- 1 Q. Okay. So to the extent there are any
- questions over this conversion, that would relate to
- pre-1969 exposures. Am I correct, sir?
- 4 A. Yes, wherever they were using million
- particles per cubic foot. 5
- 6 Q. Okay. And those exposures were very high,
- 7 correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- Q. Nobody disputes that the exposures in the dry 9
- mill were well in access of any PEL, correct? 10
- A. Say that again. 11
- Q. The exposures in the dry mill were, in some 12
- cases, over 100 fibers per cc, correct? 13
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. And so while there may be some
- 16 ambiguity in converting the mppcf to fibers per cc, we're
- 17 still dealing with very large numbers, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. This is not an instance where we're trying to
- 20 convert data and seeing whether it fits under a 0.1 or 0.5
- PEL. We're talking about data that involved measurements
- that are very high, even if there is some imprecision in
- the conversion, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 0. Okay. And if we look on page 6, we see Table

Page 148

- 1 V, which is the conversion ratio. This appears to be a
- matrix that compares measurements of mppcf to fibers per
- cc; is that correct, sir?
- A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Have you ever seen the use of a matrix of this
- 6 form before?

7

12

23

4

- A. Very seldom, I guess. Only in these earlier
- studies pertaining to the -- well, I'm sure it was done
- 9 with other asbestos work, too.
- 10 Q. Right. But it wouldn't be done nowadays
- 11 because we're not using mppcf any more, are we?
 - A. Right.
- 13 Q. So during this period of transition was when
- these types of problems arose, correct? 14
- A. Yes. And the reason we aren't using million 15
- particles per cubic foot any more is because it was highly 16
- unreliable and it was very difficult to try to count 17
- asbestos fibers using that method, so it's certainly all
- 19 very unreliable.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever relied upon a study that 20
- used mppcf in its measurements? 21
- 22 A. No. I don't know what you mean.
 - Q. Well, you say it's unreliable, measurements
- that are measured in mppcf. You were citing studies
- - earlier in your expert report that were pre 1965 in some

Page 149

- instances. 1
- 2 Right.
- 3 Those studies would have used mppcf, correct? 0.
 - Yes.
- 5 Q. So it's not as if Amandus was using data that
- 6 nobody else had ever used in the published literature,
- 7 correct?
- 8 A. Well, right. That was the only choice we had.
- 9 Q. Right. And given that, is the use of a matrix
- a reasonable means of trying to convert mppcf to fiber per 10
- 11 cc?

12

- MR. LEWIS: You mean today? Give some time
- foundation. You said "is" rather than "was." 13
- 14 Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) Well, we're never going to
- 15 deal with any data post 1967 -- '69 or '70 that's in
- 16 mppcf's. We're always talking about historical data, are
- 17 we not?
 - A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. So given -- dealing with this data in
- which you do have a comprehensive exposure analysis that
- goes in an era from mppcf to a time in which we're 21
- 22 measuring in fiber per cc, is the use of a matrix a
- reasonable method for converting mppcf to fibers per cc? 23
- 24 A. Yes. I think Amandus was trying to use
- 25 historical data, as unreliable as it may be. In terms of

(Pages 150 to 153)

Page 152

Page 150

1 identifying fibers, he was trying to use it. He had

- historical data that he was trying to use.
- 3 Q. Okay. And is that a reasonable method to do

4 so?

5

6

7

- A. I'm sure it's been done before.
- Q. Okay. So he's not an outlier in this regard?
- Q. Okay. And if you look on the bottom -- on the 8
- middle of page 6, I guess it's the last sentence of the 9
- first full paragraph, we see the line: "Due to the lack
- of exposure data in these areas, estimates before 1968 are 11
- 12 considered 'guesstimates.'"
- 13 Did I read that correctly, sir?
- 14 A. I want to make sure I know where you're
- 15 reading that at.
- 16 Q. Sure; sure, sure.
- A. Where, where were you at again? 17
- Q. Oh, sure. I'm right here -- (indicating.) 18
- A. So "due to the lack of exposure," that's where 19
- you started? 20
- Q. Yes, sir. 21
- A. I do see that, yes. 22
- Q. Okay. So he's certainly being very 23
- forthcoming over some of the limitations of the data, 24
- correct?

1

4

5

9

Page 151

- A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Later, in the next sentence -- the next
- 3 paragraph, actually, he says:
 - "However, the 'guesstimates' for those LOs prior to 1968 had a small effect on the average cumulative
- exposure estimate for the overall cohort, and on the 7 estimates of the exposure-response curves, because a small
- number of workers was employed in these areas." 8
 - Did I read that correctly, sir?
- 10 A. You read that correctly.
- Q. Do you believe that's a relevant qualification 11
- for the guesstimate issue that he himself has flagged? 12
- 13 A. Well, it is in the aspect that when he's
- 14 looking at number of workers employed under a given job
- class in these areas, but it does not really factor in 15
- 16 employees that have to go through those area, or even in
- it's intermittently, that do not have that job class. So 17
- 18 that would be the only caveat I would add.
- Q. So that might have the impact of 19
- underestimating exposure? 20
- A. Well, or just not determining exposure to all 21
- 22 workers because they weren't in that job class. There
- were other workers who went through that area who were 23
- 24 exposed.
- 25 Q. Okay. The next paragraph, there does seem to

- 1 be, if I'm not mistaken, some effort to address the fact
- that workers moved throughout the course of the day,
- correct?

4

7

10

20

- MR. LEWIS: I'm going to object. The question
- is argumentative. The question is a paraphrase. It's not
- a proper question. Object to the form of the question.
- Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) Okay, you may answer, sir.
- 8 So we're referring to the last paragraph,
- 9 then?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. Yes. He's saying that weighted by the 11
- proportion of time a worker employed in a job spent in an
- LO area. Okay, but again, if there's workers just going
- through that area, they're not considered in that job 14
- 15
- class. So that's, that's just, you know, my
- 16 interpretation.
- 17 Q. Okay. But the impact, the net impact of not classifying workers who move in and out of areas could 18
- both increase or decrease exposure estimates, correct? 19
 - A. It could.
- 21 Q. Okay. So it's not necessarily a bias that
- 22 would have a specific impact of increasing or decreasing
- in one way for certain, correct? 23
- A. Yeah, it's a bias I don't know how you would 24
- measure unless you had a pump on each person as they

Page 153

- walked through these areas --1
- 2 Q. Right.
- 3 A. -- but that wasn't done.
- 4 Q. But given the data that they had, this was
- 5 again a reasonable means of trying to compensate for that?
- 7 Q. Okay. Could we move to page 10, please, the
- "Discussion"? I'm going to read beginning with the first
- 9 sentence under there:
- 10 "The questionable accuracy of the exposure
- estimates before 1968 is recognized. Key factors that 11
- need to be considered in estimating exposure are
- precision, time periods for combining samples, estimators, 13
- 14 the conversion ratio, and assumptions as to exposures in
- 15 areas where samples have not been taken. In most studies
- 16 such as ours, there is little one can do but work within
- 17 the constraints of the available data."
 - Did I read that correctly, sir?
- 19 A. Yes.

18

- Q. Do you agree with that statement?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And again, just so we're clear, the
- guesstimate, that question applies to exposures that 23
- 24 occurred prior, 1968 and earlier, correct?
- 25 A. Well, in talking about specific exposure

(Pages 154 to 157)

Page 156 Page 154 1 results or quantification, yeah. 1 2 Q. Okay. And that was also -- the Sullivan paper 2 Q. Yes. A. I mean, obviously, exposure areas where 3 was a peer-reviewed, published paper, correct? 3 4 samples have not been taken, well, obviously, we don't 5 Q. Okay. Now, when going through your report, we 5 have any exposure data, do we? 6 Q. Right. But there was, there was an attempt to identified a lot of sections as dealing with Grace's address that, was there not? conduct, correct? 7 8 A. Right. A. Yes. Q. And that would be Table VI of the report, 9 Q. And in reaching these opinions, you developed 9 10 correct, sir? 10 a certain amount of familiarity with the Libby vermiculite mining and milling operation as a whole, correct, sir? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. So once again, they are making a reasonable 12 A. In reaching these opinions? effort to compensate for any limitations of the historical Q. In reaching your opinions characterizing --13 13 well, let me ask it a little bit differently. 14 data, correct? A. I think they were doing the best they could do 15 In order to assess Grace's conduct, you first had to 15 become very familiar with the Libby vermiculite mining and 16 with the data they had. Q. Okay. Are there any -- strike that. Do you milling operation as a whole, correct? 17 17 know of any other literature other than -- let me back up 18 A. Yes. I have been, I have been assessing this 18 situation since 1996, and my opinions have not changed 19 one second. Dr. McDonald also did a study of this population, regardless of research that I've done in terms of how I 20 20 correct? think Grace behaved or the hazards of Libby amphibole. 21 22 Q. Right. And I guess the point I'm trying to 22 A. Yes. Q. And is it fair to say that his exposure 23 23 reach, though, in reaching your opinions, you had to learn analysis has some of the same virtues and limitations that about what actually happened year in and year out at the 24 we just discussed with respect to Dr. Amandus's study? mining operation in Libby, correct? Page 155 Page 157 A. Yes. 1 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Other than Dr. Amandus and 2 Q. Okay. So it is certainly an area where you 3 Dr. McDonald's papers, are you aware of any other consider yourself to be very familiar? 3 published literature which more accurately captures the 4 A. Yes. exposure experience within the Libby facility? 5 Q. Okay. And part of the Libby operation 5 6 A. Involving the mine, no. 6 involved sending ore elsewhere, correct? 7 Q. Let me ask that again because you're right, I 7 A. Yes. should have clarified. Other than Amandus and McDonald's 8 8 0. Okay. And this was unexpanded vermiculite, papers, are you aware of any other published report that 9 9 correct? more accurately characterizes the asbestos exposure 10 10 A. Yes. 11 experience in the Libby vermiculite mining and milling 11 Q. And was there asbestos in that vermiculite? operation? 12 12 13 A. No. 13 Q. And that asbestos would go where -- or, excuse 14 Q. Are you aware of any unpublished papers or 14 me, that vermiculite would go where? reports that more accurately characterize the asbestos A. Well, the vermiculite would go to expanding 15 15 exposure conditions in the Libby vermiculite mining and 16 16 plants across the country. 17 milling operation? 17 Q. Okay. Some of those plants were owned by 18 A. I'm not. 18 Grace, correct? Q. Okay. And you're familiar with the Sullivan 19 19 A. I believe some of them were. paper. You mentioned it earlier, correct? 20 20 Q. And some of them were not, correct? A. Yes. 21 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. That was published in 2008? 2007? 22 Q. For example, O.M. Scott, the fertilizer A. Pretty recently, yes. 23 23 manufacturing facility, expanded vermiculite, did they

24

25

not?

A.

Yes.

Q. Fairly recently. The exposure data for that

paper was Amandus's paper that we just reviewed, correct?

1

(Pages 158 to 161)

Page 160

Page 158

- Q. Okay. So that would be an example of an 1 expanding operation that was not owned by Grace, correct? 2
- 3
- 4 Q. Okay. And the workers in those plants would
- have been at risk of being exposed to asbestos, correct? 5
- 6
- Q. And in the case of the Marysville, Ohio 7
- 8 facility, they were in fact exposed to asbestos, correct?
- 9
- 10 Q. Okay. And you have no reason to believe that
- that would be any different in the numerous other 11
- expanding plants all across the country, correct?
- A. That workers were exposed to asbestos? 13
- 14 Q. Yes.
- 15 A. No.
- Right. It occurred all over the country, did 16 Q.
- 17 it not?
- A. Yes. 18
- Q. Okay. Now, are you familiar with the various 19
- products that were generated using Libby vermiculite? 20
- A. I am somewhat familiar with the products. 21
- I've looked through the, you know, the exhibits over time
- and saw they used it in cement and --23
- Q. So let's, if we can -- which products are you 2.4
- 25 familiar with?

Page 159

- A. I don't know, Monokote; I don't know, other
- 2 types of cement products I've seen in the exhibits; the
- insulation; foundation insulation. 3
- 4 Q. Okay. So like, for example, Monokote-3 --
- 5
- 6 Q. -- that contained vermiculite and chrysotile,
- correct? 7

1

- 8 A. I believe so.
- 9 Q. So a person who was exposed to Monokote-3 may
- 10 have been exposed to asbestos from Libby.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. Similarly, a person who had Zonolite
- attic insulation in their home, they could have been 13
- 14 exposed to asbestos from Libby, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. And to the extent that there were
- expanding operations in various cities, to the extent that 17
- there was -- well, let me rephrase this. 18
- 19 Have you studied exposures to asbestos from Libby
- 20 that occurred outside of Libby?
- 21 A. We have done some preliminary work in Spokane.
- 22 Q. What kind of work is this?
- A. It was, again, through the COBRE grant. And 23
- 24 we basically did a very preliminary survey of
- neighborhoods surrounding the Spokane expanding plant.

Q. And what were the findings of that analysis?

- A. Well, they're very preliminary. In fact,
- 2 they're still being worked up but -- so it's, I mean we --
- fibers were detected in areas outside of the plant that is
- 5 no longer there.
- 6 Q. Okay. So this is just one example; however,
- 7 in this example, it illustrates that people outside of an
- expanding plant outside of Libby in this case, Spokane -
- may have been exposed to asbestos that was released during
- 10 the expanding process, correct?
- 11 A. I suppose that's correct. And then the other
- work would be you said outside of Libby would be 12
- associated with the vermiculite grant that we're currently 13
- working doing the homes. 14
 - Q. And this is -- oh, this is what we were
- speaking about earlier, looking at the attic insulation. 16
- 17 A. Right.

15

18

23

1

9

- Q. Right. And so that -- and, okay. Is it fair
- to say there may be some distinctions there, though? With 19
- the attic insulation, you have exposure to 20
- already-expanded vermiculite, correct? 21
- 22 A. Yes.
 - 0. But there's still asbestos in it, right?
- 24 A.
- 25 So there could be an exposure, correct?

- We're talking about the attic insulation?
- 2 Yes. Q.
- 3 Yes. A.
- 4 Q. An expanding plant, by its very nature, you
- 5 have unexpanded vermiculite going in, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. So the people there may have been exposed to
- unexpanded vermiculite, correct? 8
 - A. As well as after it's expanded.
- 10 Q. Right. So, but it -- certainly, the exposure
- 11 one would have to unexpanded vermiculite would be
- different, potentially, in terms of potential intensity 12
- than an exposure to expanded vermiculite, correct? 13
- 14
- A. I mean it could be. I don't know if I've seen
- 15 enough data to draw any conclusions on that.
- 16 Q. Now, within the Libby community, is it fair to
- 17 say you have people - not workers, putting workers aside -
- 18 within the Libby community, is it fair to say that you
- 19 have people who were exposed in Libby to both unexpanded
- and expanded vermiculite? 20
- Yes. 21 A.
- 2.2 Q. What would be potential expanded vermiculite
- 23 -- let me rephrase that.
- 24 What would be an example of expanded vermiculite
- 25 exposures that would occur in Libby?

(Pages 162 to 165)

Page 164

Page 162

- A. Of expanded or unexpanded? 1
- 2 Q. Expanded.
- A. Of expanded, the vermiculite attic insulation. 3
- 4 O. Okav.
- 5 A. I believe some expanded stuff was used in some
- 6 of the gardens or the lawns, and then people were
- 7 expanding it themselves on their stoves to watch it pop.
- 8 Q. That's right. But that would actually be an exposure to unexpanded that became expanded, correct? 9
- 10 A. Expanded, yeah, I don't know.
- Q. But what exposures to unexpanded vermiculite 11
- 12 occurred within the Libby community?
- A. Well, I think to the processed ore being 13
- hauled into Libby, for one; as well as material that was 14
- transported across the river and then brought into the 15
- town by railroad car, and then leaks occurred and 16
- contaminated areas around the railroad. 17
- O. So these leaks caused expansion -- so these 18
- 19 leaks around the railroad caused exposure to unexpanded
- 20 vermiculite in Libby, correct?
- A. You asked about unexpanded, right? 21
- 2.2 Q. Yes, sir; yes.
- A. I believe that the EPA has found unexpanded 23
- vermiculite in some of the operable units they're now
- currently trying to clean up.

Page 163

- 1 Q. And particularly with respect to the railroad exposures, those would also occur or could have occurred 2 outside of Libby as well, correct? 3
- 4 A. I would say so, yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. Let me rephrase that a little bit more 6 artfully. People outside of Libby may have been exposed
- 7 to unexpanded vermiculite through leaks from railcars,
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And that would have meant -- that could have
- meant exposure to actual asbestos from those railcars, 11
- correct? 12
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Libby asbestos, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. I'd like to go back to your 2006 paper.
- I believe it's Exhibit 3. I'm looking on page 2, and 17
- 18 there's a picture of a map on page 2. That's Libby,
- 19 correct -- or that's actually an area outside of Libby,
- 20 correct?
- 21 Yeah, that's showing the mine road there.
- 22 MR. STANSBURY: All right. And just so the
- record is clear, by page 2, I mean page 461 of the
- 24 published paper, but I think Dr. Spear understood what I
- meant.

Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) And this is northeast of

- 1
- Libby, correct, sir?
- 3 A. Yes.

4

- Q. Okay. And Location 1 appears to be just north
- of the mine, correct?
- 6 A. Well, Location 1 was actually right up at the
- 7 mine site itself, I think.
- 8 Q. Okay. So you put that on mine property?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. Location 2 appears to be north of the
- mine. Is that, is that a fair statement, sir? 11
 - A. Yes, going down the road a ways.
- Q. How far from the mine would you say Location 2 13
- is? 14

12

15

18

- A. Probably a couple miles. I guess as the road
- travels, a couple miles; less by -- less as the crow 16
- flies. 17
 - Q. And then Location 3 occurred at what appears
- to be the intersection of Highway 37 and Rainey Creek 19
- Road; is that correct, sir?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. Now, Location 1 and 2, can I just walk
- up there right now and sample that if I wanted to myself? 23
- A. If you got permission from EPA, you could. 24
- Q. I need permission from EPA, right, because
 - Page 165
- that's a restricted area, correct?
- 2 Right.
- 3 Q. People just can't walk into either Location 1
- or 2 that you sampled, right?
- 5 A. That is correct.
- 6 Q. What about Location 3?
- 7 A. Location 3 was just right off the highway, so
- 8 that's open to access.
- 9 Q. Okay. So it's fair to say that the average
- person is not wandering around Location 1 or 2 on any 10
- 11 given day, correct?

- A. I hope not.
- 13 Q. Unless they're wearing a protective suit.
- 14 Okay. Now, you say on page 461, page 2 of the document,
- looking at the left-hand column, second paragraph, last 15
- 16 sentence, tell me if I read this correctly:
- 17 "Since asbestos fibers are durable silicates
- 18 and do not decompose in the environment, the airborne
- 19 asbestos fibers released and dispersed from the Libby mine
- and processing areas throughout 70 years of operation have 20
- likely deposited throughout the surrounding areas." 21
- 2.2 Did I read that correctly, sir?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And this study looked at the depositing of
- 25 fibers in bark, correct?

1 2 (Pages 166 to 169)

Page 168

Page 166

A. Yes.

1

2

3

- Q. Do you have any way of knowing whether the asbestos in a given bark, bark sample, was released 20 years ago as opposed to 40 years ago?
- 5 A. Not in samples collected in this area, we
- 6 don't. We've collected samples outside of the mine sites
- in what's called the I'm trying to think of the name -7
- the Forest Service has a testing facility where they have
- larch trees or some type of tree. And all of those trees 9
- were planted after the mine was shut down, and we've done
- bark sampling in there and we've found fibers. 11
- 12 Q. Okay. But that would be part of that study you mentioned earlier, correct, the forestry? That's part 13 of your forestry study? 14
- 15 A. Well, actually, it's been -- it's part of,
- 16 kind of, a method to determine along -- you know, EPA has
- now done a lot of bark sampling in lines going out from 17
- the mine using our method, and basically -- so we
- basically started the work in trying to find out how far 19
- from the mine have they gone, so it's part of that work.
- We did do additional bark sampling as part of the Forest 21
- Service study. You are correct there. 22
- Q. Okay. And that, that analysis, though, has 23 not been discussed in your expert report. We didn't see
- it when we were looking at your report, correct?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. Okay. But for this paper, you were not able to tell when the asbestos was actually released, correct? 3
 - A. That would be correct.
- 5 Q. Okay. Moving to page 462, page 3 of the 6 document, looking at the table, and I see results here for
- 7 tests that were done on various locations. I see three
- 8 samples for Location 1; is that correct?
 - A. Yeah, so Location 1, three samples.
- Q. And are those all bark samples? 10
- A. Yes, they would all be bark samples. 11
- 12 Q. One question I had, if we could move, the same
- page but on the right column, I guess five lines down from 13
- 14 the top: "Tree core samples were only collected from the
- 15 locations surrounding the mine in the initial sampling
- 16 program."

4

9

17

- Did I read that correctly, sir?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What are the tree core samples?
- 20 A. When we began doing the bark sampling, we
- 21 wanted to make sure we covered all bases, so we did take
- 22 soil samples near the tree, we also took bark samples, and
- then we did tree core samples to make sure that the fibers
- 24 were not being taken up by the root system and would be
- inside the tree, basically.

Q. Right. And --

- A. So then after we realized those were all
- negative and it doesn't seem very plausible they'd be
- taken up in the root system, we discontinued that
- 5 approach.
- 6 Q. What about the soil samples? Did you publish 7 the soil sample results?
- 8 A. They were not published as part of this paper
- 9 and I don't even know what the results are.
- 10 Q. So you don't know whether there was asbestos 11 in the actual soil there?
- 12 A. Yeah, I can't recall what happened to the soil 13 samples.
- 14 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the term 15 "naturally occurring asbestos"?
- 16 A. Yes.

20

- 17 Q. Now, I think that's -- I've been told in some
- ways that's kind a misnomer, in that all asbestos is 18
- 19 naturally occurring.
 - A. Right.
- Q. But what I'm speaking of particularly is 21
- 22 asbestos, and particularly the Libby amphibole, that was
- not released as part of Grace's vermiculite operation. 23
- Are you familiar with what I'm talking about? 24
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 169

- Q. Do you have an opinion about, and we're going 1 2 to just use the term "naturally occurring asbestos"?
- 3 A. Yes.

4

- Q. What is your opinion?
- 5 A. My opinion is that in over thousands of years
- with deposits up there on the hill and natural erosion,
- that there could be some naturally occurring asbestos, but
- I think that the releases from that compared to releases
- from activities associated with the mine would be, would
- 10 be much less.
- 11 Q. Where would the naturally occurring asbestos be located? 12
- 13 A. What do you mean "be located"?
- 14 Q. Well, I mean, for example, if I'm in the
- center of Libby, you know, let's say St. John's Hospital,
- 16 is there naturally occurring asbestos in the soil right
- 17 there?

- 18 A. Well, I don't know that.
- 19 Q. Okay. Do you have an opinion as to where
- naturally occurring asbestos would most likely be found in 20
- the Lincoln County area? 21
- 22 A. I guess I don't.
 - Q. Okay.
- 24 A. I mean in terms -- are you referring to
- 25 asbestos that moved somewhere else, or what's in the

44 (Pages 170 to 173)

Page 172

Page 170

- 1 actual mineral deposits that existed?
 - Q. Mineral deposits the existed.
- 3 A. Yeah, I think it could be -- I think it's been
- 4 found in some of the river areas, if I remember right, and
- 5 I don't spend a lot of time reading the mineralogy
- 6 journals, but -- (pause.)
- 7 Q. Right. Are you aware if there are any
- 8 mineralogical differences between the Libby amphiboles
- 9 that were released from the vermiculite mining operation
- 10 as opposed to those Libby amphiboles that have occurred
- 11 naturally?

2

- 12 A. You're referring to the Gunter papers,
- 13 probably?
- 14 **Q.** Yes.
- A. I believe he's contending that just recently,
- 16 yes, that if it doesn't have the sodium/potassium peak,
- 17 then it's not from the mine. So I'm aware of some of that
- 18 work, yes.
- Q. Did you in any way when conducting this -- let
- 20 me start over.
- Have you analyzed any of your samples using
- 22 Dr. Gunter's analysis to determine whether the asbestos
- 23 that you detected was from the mining and milling
- 24 operation as opposed to naturally occurring asbestos?
- A. Well, we haven't -- for one thing, I'm not,
 - Page 171
 - Page 1
- 2 would find in given deposits. In other words, when Meeker
- 3 did his work, he looked at, you know, a larger number of
- 4 samples than previous researches, and now Gunter has

1 I'm not -- I think there's a lot of variability in what we

- 5 looked at many more samples. So I think that, you know,
- 6 there's going to be variability in what we see based on
- 7 the number of samples, and I think that Gunter is drawing
- 8 some conclusions that I'm not saying I'm going to agree
- 9 with.
- But in terms of your question, you know, we sent all
- 11 our samples in for transmission electron microscopy so we
- 12 get the peaks with the results. And I can only tell you
- 13 the only ones I've looked at would be the recent ones that
- 14 we've done, because I haven't had time to go back and look
- 15 at the other ones, but I think I will. And we found the
- 16 sodium/potassium peaks in 60 percent of the last batch of
- 17 samples we've taken from the forest.
- 18 Q. Okay. And those peaks would suggest that they
- 19 were actually from the milling operation?
- 20 A. From the mine.
- 21 **Q. Mine.**
- A. What Gunter is calling "the mine."
- Q. Right, the mine. This 2006 paper, though, the
- 24 samples that were reported in this paper, you've in no way
- analyzed them in a method that would enable you to say

- 1 whether or not they were from the mining and milling
- 2 operation as opposed to just naturally occurring asbestos,
- 3 correct?

4

7

10

15

18

- A. We could do that. I mean we have the peaks,
- 5 like I'm trying to tell you.
- 6 Q. But you haven't reported that, correct?
 - A. No, we have not reported that.
- 8 Q. Okay. Nor have you, sitting here today,
- 9 analyzed these data for those purposes?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Looking back at Table 1, it looks, if
- 12 I'm not mistaken, and correct me if I'm wrong, that there
- 13 were quite a few amphibole fibers in the tree bark from
- 14 sample 1A on Location 1, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And 530 million amphibole fibers per gram of
- 17 bark. Did I read that correctly?
 - A. So which sample are we looking at again?
- 19 Q. I'm looking at the first sample, Location 1,
- 20 amphibole fiber per gram of bark.
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. So that translates to 100 million fibers per
- 23 cc, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And would that number include fibers less than

Page 173

- 1 5 microns?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So in this table, you haven't
- 4 differentiated between fibers that are shorter than
- 5 5 microns and those that are longer, correct?
- A. Yeah. The process that we use is called AHERA
- 7 TEM, basically. And so we ask the lab to report results
- 8 back for all fibers greater than 0.1 micrometers in
- 9 length. So we get it broken down by -- we got it broken
- 10 down by less than 5, greater than 5.
- Q. Would that include cleavage fragments as well?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Okay. But it would include fibers less than
- 14 5 microns in length.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So it would, this would be a
- 17 distinct -- well, let me rephrase that.
- In order to compare these data to, let's say,
- 19 Amandus's data, you would need to exclude fibers less than
- 5 microns in order to do an apples-to-apples comparison,
- 21 correct?

- A. Well, yeah, these are bark samples. I mean we
- 23 aren't talking about air samples.
- Q. These are just bark samples.
- A. These are bulk samples.

(Pages 174 to 177)

Page 174

- Q. Right. So these -- so that's a fair point. 1
- So these are not directly correlated to airborne 2
- 3 exposures, right?

4

6

- A. No. These are, these are media samples.
- These are samples in a given media like bark. 5
 - Q. Okay.
- A. I would certainly make no attempt to compare 7
- 8 it to, you know, airborne.
- 9 Q. Would you be willing to offer an opinion as to
- 10 what the potential airborne exposures would be from these
- trees given those measurements, those bulk measurements? 11
- 12 A. Well, just looking at the amount in bark, no,
- because again, that's why we've tried to conduct other 13
- studies. We're trying to find out: Well, if it's in the
- media, then how does it get out of the media? 15
- Q. Right. And that's what your 2007 study 16
- relates to, correct? 17
- 18 A. Right.
- Q. So this study, this would not support an 19
- opinion that there are actual exposures occurring because 20
- of the asbestos that had been trapped in the barks of 21
- trees. This study merely identifies the presence of
- asbestos fibers in the barks of trees, correct? 23
- 2.4
- It supports the scientific hypothesis that
- asbestos fibers traveled through the air and deposited on

Page 175

- 1 these trees.
- 2 Q. Okay. But as we stated earlier, you didn't
- differentiate between fibers that were naturally occurring 3
- 4 as opposed to those that were released from the Grace mining/milling operation, correct?
- 5
- 6 A. Well, in this particular paper. I told you we
- 7 have looked at bark samples from the same area and they
- 8 contained the sodium/potassium peaks.
- 9 Q. But you haven't reported or produced those findings? 10
- 11 A. No, we haven't.
- Q. Okay. And you certainly haven't produced them 12
- in this case, correct? 13
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. Okay. Looking back at the table, Location 4
- 16 is your control, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And that is Albany, New York, and it's a pine 18
- tree. And you detected no amphibole fibers, correct? 19
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Location 5 is on the rail line, correct?
- 22
- Q. And you detected 19 million amphibole fibers 23
- 24 per gram of bark, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 176

- 1 Q. And that translates to 5.8 million fibers per
- 2 cubic -- per square centimeter, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.

9

21

1

4

- 4 Q. Now, one thing I noticed was the analytical
- sensitivity for Location 5 as opposed to Location 4. And
- analytical sensitivity, is that the lowest level that you
- would be able to detect? How would you describe 7
- "analytical sensitivity"?
 - A. It's the lowest detect limits for a fiber that
- 10 a lab can do and get repeatable results. So depending on
- what method you're collecting samples by, whether you're 11
- doing like PCM analysis where they just count fibers, that
- has a different analytical sensitivity than when they're
- 14 doing TEM on an air sample. And then when they're doing
- bulk sample, so these are essentially bulk analysis, 15
- there's going to be a different analytical sensitivity 16
- associated with that. 17
- Q. And so if I understand that correctly, 19 18
- million was the analytical sensitivity for the sample from 19
- Albany, New York, correct? 20
 - A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And the one, the analytical sensitivity for
- Location 5 which was in Libby by the rail station was 1.2 23
- 24 million, correct?
- A. Yes.

Page 177

- Q. So if there had been 10 million amphibole
- fibers per gram of bark in the Albany, New York pine, you
- would not have been able to detect that, correct?
 - A. Well, yeah. It's really based on their
- ability to be able to count. Usually, TEM analysis in
- terms of at least an air sample, they want the ability to
- be able to see 1 fiber per square millimeter of filter
- that they analyze. Okay? So it's really, I think,
- related more to the type of material, the bulk of material 9
- 10 that they analyze.
- 11 Q. More related to the type. So why was the analytical sensitivity so much lower for Location 5 as 12
- 13 opposed to the control group?
- 14 A. It could be because there's different types of
- bark, different types of tree. This is a big variable in 15
- 16 all this work --

- O. Right.
- 18 A. -- is different trees have different bark. So
- 19 that would be the best I can explain it. I mean Jim
- Webber would be the best person to explain that. He's the 20 21 analyst.
- 22 Q. But, I mean, just so I -- kind of going back
- to one of my previous questions: If there had been 10 23
- million amphibole fibers per gram of bark in Location 4's
- 25 sample, it still would have not been detected given that

(Pages 178 to 181)

Page 180

Page 178

1 analytical sensitivity, correct?

- 2 A. Well, I don't know if that's entirely
- accurate, because like I say, they do the method so that 3
- 4 they can detect a certain number of fibers per area of
- what they're analyzing. So I don't know. I see what
- you're going -- I see where you're going with your
- question, but -- (pause.) 7
- 8 Q. Right. But it's something that --
- A. I don't know if that's right or wrong. 9
- 10 Q. Okay; okay, that's fair. But Location 7 was
- the Libby Middle School track and there were 0.13 million 11
- amphibole fibers per gram of bark and 0.25 million
- amphibole fibers per square centimeter, correct? 13
- 14
- 15 Q. And again, the detection analytical
- sensitivity for Location 7 was 0.13 million, correct?
- 17

1

- Q. And the amount detected was right at that 18
- analytical sensitivity level, correct? 19
- A. Pretty close. 20
- Q. Needless to say, if the same analytical 21
- sensitivity used for Location 4 were used on Location 7,
- none would have been detected, correct? 23
- A. Well, if that analytical sensitivity applied 24
- to that sample, yeah, but I don't think it does.

Page 179

- Q. Why is that?
- A. Well, because I've tried to explain what I 2
- know about it, is the fact that it's going to depend upon
- the sample preparation, the type of bark, the amount of
- bark that they use. I mean, for example, if I collected 5
- air samples and I send in five different air samples to a
- 7 lab for TEM analysis, the analytical sensitivity for every
- one of those samples is going to be different because of
- 9 the volume of air that we collect.
- 10 Q. Right. So the -- I understand why you have 11 different sensitivities; however, the sensitivity is still a, if you will, a cutoff point below which you cannot reliably report the data, correct? 13
- 14 A. In that sample, yeah.
- 15 Q. Right. And so to the extent that there -- if
- 16 there had been, for whatever reason, a 19 million fibers
- per gram analytical sensitivity for Location 7, it
- 18 certainly would not have been able to detect the 0.13
- 19 million amphibole per gram of bark that you reported,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Well, yeah, again, if that sensitivity applied
- 2.2 to those different samples. I mean I would have to say
- what I'd say before. I don't know if that's accurate or 23
- 24 not.
- 25 Okay. So sitting here today, you really can't

speak to that either way? 1

- A. No.
- 3 Q. Okay. And Then Location 8 was Asa Wood
- Elementary School. I take it that is in Libby; is that
- 5 correct?

2

- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 And there were no fibers detected there,
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. So we see a great range of asbestos in the
- trees, with one end of the spectrum being Location 1, 11
- which is, as you said, on the mine site; with Asa Wood
- Elementary in town, where no fibers were detected in the
- 14 bark, correct?

15

23

- A. Exactly. We were trying to do that very
- thing. We wanted to start at the mine and then go out. 16
- Q. Okay. Of course, as I said earlier, Locations 17
- 1 and 2 where you had the highest exposures, people just 18
- can't walk onto those areas without first getting 19
- clearance in EPA, correct? 20
- 21 A. Right.
- 22 Q. Okay. In doing your analysis, did you ever
 - try to identify whether there was any vermiculite deposits
- 24 within the bark?
- A. I guess I don't understand that question.

Page 181

- Q. Well, is -- vermiculite dust, can that be 1 transferred through the air?
- 3
- A. Oh, sure, I'm sure it could.
- 4 Q. And vermiculite dust could have ended up on
- 5 the bark of tree as well, correct?
 - A. Sure.
- 7 Q. And if there was a high level of vermiculite
- dust in the tree, that would, perhaps, suggest that the
- dust had come from the mining and milling facility as
- opposed to naturally occurring asbestos, correct? 10
- A. Because vermiculite can't be naturally 11
- occurring? I'm not following your logic. 12
- 13 Q. Well, I mean, vermiculite -- it occurs in
- 14 Vermiculite Mountain, correct?
 - A. Yeah.

15

18

- 16 Q. And that's the primary source of vermiculite
- 17 in the area, correct?
 - A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. So to the extent that there was vermiculite in 20 tree bark, what other sources of vermiculite would you
- attribute that to other than from Vermiculite Mountain? 21
- 22 A. Well, I wouldn't attribute it to any.
 - Q. Right. And so would that have been a way of
- 24 determining whether some of this asbestos was actually
 - coming from Vermiculite Mountain, whether there was

(Pages 182 to 185)

Page 182

- 1 actually vermiculite dust located there as well?
- A. I guess it could have been. 2
- 3 Q. Okay.
 - A. I mean we were studying asbestos, but --
- 5 (pause.)

4

6

8

- Q. Right. So there's no, in any of these studies -- no time in any of these did you actually identify 7 whether vermiculite itself was present, correct?
- A. Well, not to my knowledge. I haven't seen all 9 10 of the scans from all of these different samples, either, 11 SO --
- 12 Q. But it certainly hasn't been reported in the papers? 13
- 14 A. It hasn't been reported in the papers.
- 15 Q. Right. And you certainly haven't produced 16 that in this case.
- A. (Nodding head affirmatively.) 17
- Q. Now, on page 464, the last paragraph before 18 "Discussion": 19
- 20 "SEM observation revealed that the amphibole 21 fibers were deposited on the surface of the bark and not 22 through its depth. Most of the fibers were located in the crevices and wrinkles of the bark rather than on its 23 smooth surfaces." 24
- 25 Did I read that correctly, sir?

Page 183

Yes. A.

1

7

- Could you explain in lay terms what that 2 Q. 3 means?
- 4 A. Well, it just means if you look -- I think the easiest way is just to look at the pictures. It refers 5 you to these pictures.
 - Q. I like pictures.
- 8 A. Well, I mean, you know, so the purpose of the
- pictures is that you look at Figure 2 and you can see 9
- certain long fibers. And then you look at exactly the 10
- same spot on the micrograph that was blown up to larger 11
- 12 magnification, and you start to see many more fibers that are embedded deeper into the bark. So you look at the 13
- 14 bark surface under a microscope. As you could imagine,
- it's going to be rough and a lot of little crevices and
- 16 stuff. So basically, it just -- it works its way into the 17 crevices and stays there.
- Q. Now, do trees shed their bark over time? 18
- 19 A. Some do.
- Q. Do you know whether these particular trees do? 20
- 21 A. I think some of these species do. I'm not a
- 22 botanist so I'm not going there.
- 23 Q. Who is the botanist on this?
- 24 A. Well, we had, early on we had a student who
- was doing some of the bark what's the word I'm looking

Page 184

- 1 for classification. I mean I can go out and look at a
- tree and I don't know what kind of tree it is. So that's
- about all I can say about that. I mean that's -- the
- question that we always get is, you know: How does bark
- relate to all of this? 5
 - Q. Right.

6

7

- A. Or tree species.
- Q. Right. And so sitting here today, you have no 8 opinion as to how that would impact your data? 9
- 10 A. Well, tree species, we only sample at a certain distance where we can reach a tree. I mean if you 11
- 12 go -- what happens if you go up into a tree? Are there
- fibers loosely held on the pine needles? You know, this 13
- 14 is just very exploratory.
- Q. Right. And at this point, you know, there 15 16 were, there were eight samples, one of which was a
- control, so -- actually, I don't see a Sample 6, so I 17
- guess there were seven samples, one of which was a
- control; so six actual samples, two of which were in the 19
- 20 restricted area, and one of which was also right at the
- intersection the Rainey Creek Road and Highway 37,
- 22 correct?

23

2

- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you believe that those samples and the 24 findings in those samples are representative of the forest

Page 185

1 in Lincoln County in general?

- A. Well, you don't have to rely on my -- or our
- 3 paper for this particular question because all you have to
- do is go to the EPA Web site. And just like those other
- EPA papers I'm referring to, you can get them off the Web
- site; that you asked them if I cite them or not, well,
- they're on the web site. But anyway, you can go to EPA's
- Web site and you can see a map of what they found in the
- bark going from the mine all the way across Lake Koocanusa
- and they will give you concentration gradients going 10
- beyond the mine. 11
 - Q. Right.
- 13 A. So you can draw your own conclusions from
- 14 that. 15

- Q. Okay. But your conclusions, based on your 16 analysis, you would not purport to have taken a representative sample in this paper, correct?
- 17 18 A. In this paper, we were starting at the mine.
- We wanted to -- we assumed that would have the highest
- level of contaminants. And then we worked our way away from the mine. That was the point. 21
- 22 Q. Okay. It was not to say -- to speak or give 23 conclusions about the forest as a whole, correct?
- 24 A. Well, that's not entirely correct, no, because
- 25 obviously, if you would -- if we find it, you know, we

(Pages 186 to 189)

Page 186

- 1 even find half the concentration at the road so many miles
- down from the mine, then we could assume that the forest 2
- 3 in the same circle around that same area could be
- similarly contaminated.
- Q. Did you make any effort to randomly select or 5 6 select a representative sample of the trees that were in the area off of the mine? 7
- 8 A. Well, we tried to -- we basically tried to sample in areas which we could easily access, since we 9
- 10 were all suited up and it's very difficult work.
- O. Sure. 11
- A. And so, you know, that would be a good 12
- question. And basically, we tried to collect samples from 13
- areas moving down from the mine off roadways as far as we
- could get, and we did try to, over time, have tried to 15
- collect samples from representative tree species. 16
- How come you and I are the only ones interested in 17 18 this paper?
- Q. I think, I think it's fascinating. So, just 19
- so I understand what you're saying: You start at the 20
- mine, you move farther away all the way in town. However, 21
- would you feel comfortable extrapolating these findings to
- trees that were 5 to 10 miles due south of the mine? 23
- A. Well. I mean we didn't have the resources or 2.4
- the manpower to do that sort of approach, so EPA took our 25

- -- basically what we found after we reported this to EPA, 1
- then they did their sampling. They dropped people in by
- helicopter and took samples on these lines going from the 3
- mine. 4

6

12

- 5 Q. Okay.
 - A. In all directions.
- 7 Q. So EPA's work, you believe, constitutes a more
- 8 representative analysis or -- let me rephrase that.

9 The sampling done by EPA, in your opinion, was more 10 comprehensive in its attempt to sample a more

- representative sample of the trees? 11
 - A. Representative area around the mine, yes.
- 13 Q. Right, okay. And I guess, you know, I don't
- 14 -- you're not purporting to do so here. I'm just trying
- to make the record clear on this. This is not a paper 15
- 16 that is trying to take a number of samples and then
- extrapolate those findings to the forest in general. 17
- 18 That's not what this paper seeks to do, correct?
- 19 A. I don't believe we have enough samples to do 20 that.
- 21 Q. Okay. That is just what I was trying to make
- 22 clear. Moving on to page -- well, staying on page 464,
- 23 I'll move back to the figures, in the "Conclusion," and
- 24 this is, I guess, the last two sentences on this page, I'm
- going to read this, and let me know if I read this

correctly: 1

2 "The result of the railroad sample raises the

possibility that the transportation corridors through

- which Libby vermiculite was hauled to other locations
- throughout the United States may also be contaminated.
- This suggests that similar studies of bark from trees near
- vermiculite processing sites across the country could be
- used to determine the extent of amphibole fiber
- contamination in those locales." 9
 - Did I read that correctly, sir?
- 11 A. Yes.

10

- 12 Q. And if I understand this correctly, you're
- 13 saying that because this Libby vermiculite was taken
- 14 across the country, it is possible that we would find
- exposures had occurred that resulted in asbestos fibers 15
- 16 being deposited in trees far, far away from the Libby
- 17 mine, correct?
- 18 A. Is what it's really saying is that, you know,
- 19 since we've done this work, this approach has been done in
- 20 other areas of the country. Back in New York, they've
- 21 used the same approach near chrysotile mines and used to
- 22 identify, you know, the dispersal of asbestos. So that's
- 23 what really this is saying, is that this can be used as an
- approach to track where asbestos goes. 24
 - Q. Right.

1

7

17

18

A. I think that's all it's saying.

- 2 Q. Well, I guess the language I focused on,
- though, was: "That the transportation corridors through
- which Libby vermiculite was hauled to other locations
- 5 throughout the United States may also be contaminated.'
- 6
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And you agree with that statement?
- 8 Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. So it is quite possible that there are
- forests outside of Lincoln County in which unexpanded 10
- vermiculite was taken through that area and people who 11
- engage in certain activities in that forest may be exposed 12
- 13 to asbestos, correct?
- 14 A. Well, I don't like your use of the word
- 15 "forest." I mean we're talking about areas adjacent to
- 16 like a railroad track.
 - Q. Okay. But trees near a railroad track, correct?
- 19 A. And I'll buy that one.
- 20 Q. Okay. So let me start that over, then. Is
- 21 your opinion, then, that because unexpanded vermiculite
- 22 was sent all across the country, that it is quite possible
- 23 that there were releases of asbestos that were retained by
- 24 trees? Correct?
- 25 Yes. A.

Page 188

1

3

4

49 (Pages 190 to 193)

Page 192

Page 190

Q. And a person who engages in certain activities around those trees may be exposed to asbestos from this tree, correct?

A. If they performed some activity that disturbed the media, sure.

Q. Okay. And this would be asbestos that came from unexpanded vermiculite, correct?

A. Yes, or, I guess, asbestos that came from anywhere if it was transported through that area.

Q. Right, right. Which unexpanded vermiculite was, correct?

12 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

12 O Okov The

Q. Okay. The same kind of unexpanded vermiculite that was found around Libby, correct?

15 A. Yes.

Q. Okay. If we could move to Exhibit 4 again,
which was your harvesting simulations. Now, earlier you

18 corrected me when I made an ill-advised attempt to compare 18

19 bulk sampling to air samples, that those are not proper

20 data to compare, correct?

21 A. Yes.

Q. However, here we are now dealing with air

23 samples, correct?

24 A. Yes.

25

1

Q. And these are the kind of data that could be

Page 191

compared to exposures that occur on a job site, correct?

A. On a job site where they were sawing up contaminated firewood?

4 Q. Well, I mean even more generally. The

measurements you take of the activity simulated here,
 those data measurements, those fiber-per-cc measurements,

7 those data could be compared to other types of

8 occupational exposures to asbestos when trying to assess

9 exposure level, correct?

A. Well, just in, yeah, saying we've got this level doing this activity and this level taking a chain

12 saw to a piece of wood; yeah, we can do that.

13 **Q. Okay.**

14 A. I don't know what it means. This was designed

15 to look at what happens if we start handling these trees.

Q. Okay. But just so I understand, when we were talking about Amandus earlier, we talked about how

18 exposure data was used as part of an epidemiological

19 study, correct?

20 A. Yes.

Q. And so presumably, to the extent that you have

this exposure data, were you to have information about the duration or circumstances surrounding exposure, you could

24 develop a cumulative exposure for somebody if given the

25 right exposure history, correct?

A. You may be able to, I guess, as long as we're

2 talking about that particular activity.

Q. Okay. In this case, do you intend to offer any opinions about individuals who have worked in some type of logging capacity in the Lincoln County area with

respect to what their exposures may have been?

A. Well, to me, the fact that all of this workstarted because that's what we were originally proposing

9 was to study logging operations in Libby as a large scale

10 operation, and so we wanted to collect preliminary data,

11 so I guess from that standpoint, we were trying to

12 determine if there was a source of exposure from sawing up

13 wood, yes.

Q. Okay. So the idea of determining whether there's a source of exposure, is it fair to characterize that as a preliminary undertaking?

A. I think -- well, this says "preliminary" in the title. I don't know if you read that or not.

Q. Yes, yes. That's a good point. That's -- 20 okay. So at this stage, you are establishing the existence of potential exposures, correct?

22 A. Yes.

Q. But you haven't reached a point of actually trying to estimate a cumulative exposure that an

individual has had who may have engaged in these

Page 193

rect? 1 activities, correct?

6

23

24

25

23

2 A. I have not.

Q. Okay. Nor do you intend to offer an opinion 4 of that nature at the confirmation hearing, correct?

5 A. That would be fair.

Q. Okay. Now, did you take soil measurements during the course of the sampling activities?

8 A. For this paper, I don't believe so.

9 Q. Okay. And some of the activities included

10 literally sawing trees over, correct?

11 A. Yes.

Q. Let me get a quick list of the activities just

 $13\,\,$ so, you know, we're not -- I'm not speculating. Where's

 $\,$ 14 $\,$ -- I believe it's in the paper, but off the top of your

15 head, if not, what are the --

A. Yeah, we basically had -- we tried to divide up into people with different tasks. So we had a

up into people with different tasks. So we had achain-sawer; we had a person who would assist the

10 1

19 chain-sawer in getting the tree in position, clearing

20 brush; then we would have people that would move the sawed

21 material and stack it --

22 **Q. Which task --**

A. -- there were two of them.

Q. Which task did you perform?

A. I was the chain-sawer.

(Pages 194 to 197)

Page 194

- 1 Q. That's got to be the best job of the bunch.
- 2 A. It was.
- Q. I think the mover got the short end of the 3 4 stick. No pun intended.
- A. Well, I think the stackers. They were -- they 5
- had to rope --6
- 7 MR. LEWIS: Have you ever been, ever been on a
- 8 chain saw?
- MR. STANSBURY: I have been on a chain saw. 9
- 10 MR. LEWIS: If you get on one of these big
- 11 chain saws, that's no bargain.
- 12 MR. STANSBURY: Oh, it's -- at least it's
- 13 enjoyable. I've also -- I've been on a chain saw and I've
- 14 shlepped wood around. And between the two, I'll take the
- chain saw. 15
- 16 THE WITNESS: But the stackers had to walk.
- 17 you know. So they'd be walking and there'd be hills. I
- think they had the worst job.
- 19 Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) Okay. And then once the
- 20 tree had fallen, you would also saw the branches off the
- tree, correct? 21
- 22 A. Right.
- 23 Q. And so as you say, people are walking back and
- forth throughout this process, correct? 24
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 195

- Q. Trees are falling from an upright position onto the ground, correct?
- 2 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Kicking up whatever debris is on the ground,
- correct? 5

1

- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And so, however -- and you're taking
- measurements of personal breathing zones, correct? 8
- 9
- 10 Q. You're also doing wipe measurements, correct?
- A. Yes. 11
- 12 Q. Okay. However, with the personal breathing
- zone measurements, you did not differentiate between 13
- 14 exposures that may have occurred from asbestos coming out
- of the bark of the tree as opposed to asbestos coming out 15
- 16 of the soil, correct?
- 17 A. Out of the soil or people walking through
- brush that wasn't associated. You know, you're walking 18
- through brush, I mean like green what's the word I'm 19
- looking for you know, green foliage. 20
- 21 O. Right.
- 22 A. Yeah, we didn't account for that and we can't
- 23 account for that.
- 24 Q. Okay, okay. And similarly as we discussed
- earlier, you haven't differentiated between asbestos

Page 196

- 1 fibers that were from the Libby mining vermiculite
- operation as opposed to "naturally occurring asbestos,"
- correct?

4

7

12

20

12

- A. We just looked for Libby amphiboles.
- 5 Q. Okay. So the amphiboles that were identified
- 6 could have been from the bark of the tree, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Could have been from the soil, correct?
- 9 A. Could have been.
- 10 Q. Okay. And could have just been naturally
- occurring asbestos, correct? 11
 - A. But all asbestos is naturally occurring.
- Q. Well, let me rephrase that, then: Naturally 13 occurring asbestos that was not originally released as 14
- part of the Grace mining and milling operation, correct? 15
- If it was there, it could have been that, 16
- 17 sure.
- Q. Okay. Right, just making clear that you did 18
- not attempt to differentiate, did you? 19
 - A. No.
- O. Okav. 21
- 22 MR. STANSBURY: I think we have 5 minutes left
- on the tape, so why don't we take a quick break and then 23
- we'll resume after that so he can change the tape. 24
- 25 VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Tape 3 of the
 - Page 197
- videotaped deposition of Dr. Terry Spear. 1
- 2 The time is 1:07. We're off the record.
- 3 (A brief recess was taken.)
- 4 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:17. This is Tape
- 5 4 of the videotaped deposition of Dr. Terry Spear.
- 6 We're on the record.
- 7 BY MR. STANSBURY:
- 8 Q. Okay. Going back to Exhibit 4, I believe, if
- 9 we could turn to page 719.
- 10 MR. LEWIS: Seven -- excuse me?
- 11 MR. STANSBURY: Seven nineteen.
 - MR. LEWIS: Thank you.
- 13 Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) And Figure 1, location of
- 14 the 2006 harvest, firewood harvesting simulations
- conducted off of Rainey Creek Road, near the former
- vermiculite in the EPA-restricted zone near Libby Montana,
- 17 the distance from Highway 37 to the harvest locations was
- 18 1.5 kilometers.
- Did I read that correctly? 19
 - Yes.
- Q. So that, the harvest location, that's where 21
- 22 these samples were taken?
- 23 The harvest, yeah -- during this study, you
- 24 mean?
- 25 Q. Yes, sir.

(Pages 198 to 201) Page 200 Page 198 1 A. Yes. predict airborne releases given bulk measurements of asbestos within bark? 2 Q. Okay. How many trees did you chop down in 2 3 3 total? A. No. 4 A. I'm not sure how many trees we chopped down in 4 Q. Okay. You mentioned in this paper the restrictive zone was once used for logging. Is that 5 total. I mean we did -- I believe some of the trees were 5 partially down, some we felled. They're all standing 6 correct? 6 dead. We didn't cut any live trees. 7 7 A. That was my understanding, yes. 8 Q. You didn't cut any live trees at all? 8 Q. What was the basis of that understanding? 9 A. Oh, I think I've -- that's a matter of public 9 A. No. 10 Q. Okay. If we could turn to Table 2 on page 10 record. I believe the Forest Service may have told us 11 721, this is TEM wipe sample results from three firewood 11 that. I think I've seen it in depositions. Yeah, I don't harvest simulation trials conducted in the Libby have any doubts about that they were -- that there was logging done off that road or nearby. Jackson Creek Road 13 EPA-restricted zone near Libby, Montana. 14 comes in from the northeast side of that, or mainly the --14 Did I read that correctly, sir? I don't know if that's important or not, but -- (pause.) 15 A. Yes. 15 O. Okay. So it sounds like there were three 16 16 Q. Well, let's look at Table 1 for a moment. harvest trials. Is that what we were talking about 17 17 A. Okay. earlier, where you chop down the trees, cut them up, and Q. Now, this is the --18 then haul and stack the wood? Table 1? 19 19 20 A. Well, a trial was basically over a given 20 Q. Yes, on page 720. 21 period of time. See, we had to limit our time doing this 21 A. Okay. work because of the fact that, again, we were suited up 22 Q. Now, this is the PBZ, the personal breathing and it was summertime and we couldn't spend too much time zone results, correct? 23 23 in these suits. So a trial would involve like a period of 24 A. Yes. time, 40 minutes - an hour, probably 40 minutes, and 25 And the chain saw operator, which we've Page 201 whatever trees we cut up during that time period would be established was you, correct? 1 1 2 part of that trial. So we did that three different times. A. Yes. 3 And "n = 3," that means -- what does that Q. Okay. And as this indicates by the title of 0. 3 this table, this harvesting occurred inside the 4 equal? 5 5 **EPA-restricted zone, correct?** A. Number of samples we collected. 6 6 A. Yes. 7 7 Q. Okay. And I believe on page 722 under would you take each sample? 8 "Conclusion," the last paragraph of the left column, tell 8 A. I think it's stated in here somewhere. Again, me if I read this correctly: it seems like they were fairly short-term samples, less 9 9 "The authors recognize that the 10 10 than an hour. 11 11

firewood-harvesting simulations presented in this study 12 represent near worst-case scenarios."

13 Did I read that correctly, sir?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say you would not 16 extrapolate any airborne release findings from this study to similar activities that would occur elsewhere in and 17 18 around Lincoln County, correct?

19 A. Correct, unless we knew the bark levels were the same. But we don't know that, so you are correct. 20

21 Q. Okay. Did you develop a method for predicting 22 the airborne release that would occur from a given bark level? 23

25

24 Α. No.

> 0. Okay. Sitting here today, are you able to

Q. Okay, so number of airborne samples. How long

Q. And you then predicted a time-weighted average for those samples? 12

13 A. Well, these are sample time-weighted averages, 14 so these are just the concentrations for the sample time.

We didn't extrapolate the eight hours. 15

> Q. Okay. So what impact would extrapolating the eight hours have on your findings?

18 A. Well, if a person did chain-sawing the same 19 amount of time as we did and found the same results, and then if we divided that by eight hours, it's going to go down. I mean the concentration will be less. However, if 21 22 a person did this particular operation for eight hours, then that would be the eight-hour time-weighted average.

23

Does that make any sense? This is how we try to teach our 24

25 students.

16

(Pages 202 to 205)

Page 204

Page 202

- 1 O. Right. I just want to make sure that, you
- know, the record's clear and that I'm following it, too.
- So the mean PCM sample TWA -- and TWA is a time-weighted
- average, right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. It's 0.72 fibers per milliliter, which is
- fibers per cc, right?
- A. Right.
- 9 Q. Okay. And for the operator assistant, it was
- 0.26 fibers per cc, correct?
- 12 Q. And the stackers, it drops to 0.07 and 0.12
- respectively, correct? 13
- A. Yes.

15

1

2

- Q. And so the total mean for all tasks was 0.29
- fibers per cc, correct?
- A. Right. 17
- Q. Okay. Now, do you have an opinion as to the 18
- meaning of those findings or the importance of those 19
- 21 A. Well, in my opinion, they aren't very
- important because obviously, fibers per cc are just that,
- fibers. You know, there's lots of fibers in the forest.
- O. Right. 24
- 25 A. We're talking about sawdust.

Page 203

- Q. So we haven't, at this point, reduced it to asbestos fibers, correct?
- 3 A. That's right.
- 4 Q. Okay. What about the mean TEM sample TWA?
- Are we then looking at actual asbestos fiber for these 5
- measurements?
- 7 A. Yes. These are structures per square
- 8 centimeter -- or per cubic centimeter --
- 9 Q. And so the first --
- 10 A. -- and broken down by, you know, length.
- Q. Okay. So there are two columns -- or three 11
- columns of mean TEM data, the first of which measures 12
- 13 fibers less than 5 microns, correct?
- 14 A. In length.
- 15 Q. In length; in length, thank you. The second
- 16 column measures fibers greater than 5 microns in length,
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- O. And then the third column measures total 19
- asbestos fibers irrespective of length, correct? 20
- 21 A. Yes, but basically, it's kind of the
- 22 combination of the two.
- Q. Right. You're basically adding them together, 23
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. Yeah.

Q. Okay. And so if we were to want to compare

- 1
- these measurements with Amandus's data, we would use the
- mean -- would we use the "Mean TEM Sample TWA greater than
- 5 microns" column?
- 5 A. Well, I don't believe Amandus did any TEM. I
- think it was all PCM.
 - O. PCM, right. How would the TEM and PCM
- 8 compare?
- 9 Well, generally, we could expect -- I mean if
- we're just talking about -- let's say we had nothing but
- 11 asbestos in this room floating around in the air, and if
- 12 we did PCM versus TEM, we'd see more with TEM because of
- 13 the greater magnification.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. If you have a mixed, where you've got
- 16 different types of fibers -- see, TEM is only looking at
- asbestos. So if we've got mixed fibers, then we may see
- 18 more with PCM. Does that make sense?
- 19 Q. Okay. Why would we see more with PCM?
- 20 Because we wouldn't --
- 21 Because it's going to count all fibers.
- 22

23

1

14

- A. So it's going to count the asbestos fibers as
- well as the other fibers. Do you see what I'm saying? I
- don't know if that makes sense.

- Q. I do. So I'm thinking back to the exposure
- measurements that Amandus used which used PCM. The actual
- asbestos present in the air for those measurements may
- have been higher than what was measured -- than what was
- reported, rather?
- A. Are we going back to the Amandus?
- 7 Q. Yes, not focusing on the old pre '68 data.
- I'm talking about like the data in the late '60s and
- throughout the '70s through the '80s where they reported
- 10 in PCM fibers per cc.
- A. Well, if there were fibers present that were 11
- 12 non asbestos, that would be the case. I don't know if
- 13 that was true or not.
 - O. Okav.
- A. You know, and the other -- with PCM, you just 15
- have to keep in mind that they're counting fibers, but for
- one thing, PCM can only see a diameter of a fiber like
- 18 0.25 micrometers in diameter. So if there's real thin
- fibers, we're not even going to see them under the
- microscope, whereas with TEM, we would see it. So that's
- kind of another reason why we might see more TEM fibers if
- 22 we had the same, the same asbestos atmosphere.
- 23 Q. So is it fair to say that, and based on this
- paper, one of the worst-case scenarios you would
- 25 anticipate in terms of exposure for a chain saw operator

3 (Pages 206 to 209)

Page 208 Page 206 1 would be 0.11 fibers per cc if we were to count all question? 1 fibers, including those shorter than 5 microns, correct? 2 2 MR. LEWIS: But the answer is "no," he's not A. Are we looking at the last column? Where are 3 going to be offering any testimony on that last subject. 4 we looking at? 4 (The record was read by the court reporter as 5 follows: 5 Q. The last column, the chain saw operator. 6 A. Chain saw operator. So for that number of 6 "QUESTION: But fair to say, you stated samples, pretty limited number of samples, yeah, we found earlier, at the confirmation hearing, you are not going to 7 7 8 that number. offer an opinion about any specific individual's potential Q. Right. And again, just so the record's clear, 9 9 exposures from having worked as a chain saw operator in 10 this is what the paper states is a worst-case scenario of 10 Lincoln County, correct? potential exposure, correct? "ANSWER: No.") 11 11 MR. STANSBURY: Is that a double negative? 12 A. Well, we called it "worst case" simply because 12 we felt that the mine would be most likely to have the 13 13 MR. LEWIS: Yeah, it is. highest contamination. We were on the mine road. MS. ROHRHOFER: I'm not an English major. I 14 14 Q. Right, right. So --15 15 think --A. Is that worse than being somewhere else on the MR. LEWIS: You asked if it's correct that 16 16 mine road? I don't know. he's not going to, and he said "no." 17 17 Q. But in terms of being somewhere in Lincoln But anyway, he's not, just for the record, 18 18 19 County forest area using a chain saw, an area that is away 19 he's not going to offer any testimony as to that last from the mine, you would not expect to see exposures 20 question. higher than this, would you? 21 MR. STANSBURY: I'll ask him one more time. 21 A. If we knew that the concentration in the media 2.2 22 BY MR. STANSBURY: was less, yeah. We would assume that it would be less. 23 23 Q. You're not going to offer any -- is it correct to say that you will not offer any testimony at the 24 Q. You would assume it would be less, right. 24 A. But, you know, you can't make those confirmation hearing about an individual's potential Page 207 conclusions unless you knew. exposures from sawing, hauling, or stacking wood in the 1 2 Q. But again, you would not extrapolate these 2 Libby forest? measurements to other parts of the forest without some 3 A. That would be correct. 3 4 form of measurement done in advance, correct? Q. Okay. A. Right. And we haven't attempted to do that. 5 MR. STANSBURY: I appreciate you looking out 5 6 Q. Okay. So I just want to make sure the 6 for me. Tom. 7 7 record's clear that you were not stating based upon this MR. LEWIS: Well --8 MR. STANSBURY: That's good. You're right. 8 paper, you believe similar exposures are occurring throughout the Lincoln County forest, correct? 9 MR. LEWIS: It doesn't have any -- he's not 9 10 A. Right. A very limited number samples, a pilot 10 going to testify about that. 11 study, preliminary data, the only thing we can say from 11 Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) And we stated earlier that this study, basically, is that if you work on contaminated your 2009 paper was not in your expert report, correct? 12 trees, you can put fibers into the air or get them on your 13 13 A. Correct. 14 14 Q. And you don't intend to offer any testimony 15 Q. Okay. And but fair to say, you stated related to that at the confirmation hearing, correct? 15 16 earlier, at the confirmation hearing, you are not going to 16 A. No. offer an opinion about any specific individual's potential 17 Q. Okay. And again so the record's clear, we exposures from having worked as a chain saw operator in looked through your report and although we did see 18 18 references where you were talking about medical findings 19 **Lincoln County, correct?** A. No. you yourself are not a medical doctor, correct? 20 20 21 21 O. Okav. That's correct. 22 MR. LEWIS: That's a double-negative, Counsel. 22 You don't intend to offer any medical You asked -- I don't think you want the answer to stand as testimony about asbestos disease, correct? 23 23

24

25

A.

Q.

No.

Okay. Nor are you a toxicologist, correct?

24

25

stated.

MR. STANSBURY: Could you repeat the last

54 (Pages 210 to 213)

was given up that road. I think we state 1.5 kilometers.

Page 212 Page 210 Q. All right. 1 A. That's correct. 1 2 A. I mean I just know that because of our work 2 Q. You do not intend to offer opinions about with the Forest Service, we had to have access to that 3 toxicity of amphiboles in Libby, correct? 4 A. Correct. map. I mean we've, we've been working with EPA. MR. LEWIS: Don't ask these questions over 5 Q. And that's the Forest Service work that you're 5 again. Please don't. They're repetitive. 6 engaged in right now that's not been completed --6 7 7 Q. (By Mr. Stansbury) Nor are you an A. Yes. epidemiologist, correct? 8 O. -- is that correct? 8 9 A. Yes. 9 A. Correct. 10 Q. You're not going to offer epidemiological 10 Q. All right. Do you know where that map can be found? opinions, correct? 11 11 A. That's correct. 12 A. I don't know what you mean. I have it, the 12 Forest Service has it. EPA has it. I don't know if 13 Q. Okay. they've released the map. 14 MR. STANSBURY: Pass the witness. 14 Q. Okay. 15 MR. LEWIS: Okay. Did we get -- what you 15 A. I just don't know. I'm just being honest with referred to as the "Amandus study", was that marked? 16 16 MR. STANSBURY: I believe it was. you, I don't know. 17 17 18 Q. Okay. 18 MR. LEWIS: Is that 7? A. I mean it isn't in a publication because we 19 MS. ROHRHOFER: Yeah, Exhibit 7. 19 don't, we don't know if we have the right to put that in 20 MR. LEWIS: Okay, thanks. Let me check. I 20 there. 21 probably don't have any questions. 21 22 (Pause in proceedings.) 22 Q. And you do not, is it -- I don't know if you testified about this: Do you or do you not intend to rely 23 23 BY MR. SPEAR: on that map for your testimony in this case? 24 24 A. Well, to me, it described the spread of 25 Q. I guess I want to clarify one thing, 25 Page 213 1 Dr. Spear. The EPA studies that you considered, you asbestos from the, from the mine. But I don't -- I referred to some studies by Paul Peronard. Do you recall haven't offered it as an opinion, so I just brought it up 3 that? in the case of cross-examination, so I probably wouldn't 3 4 A. Yes. 4 rely on it. Q. Are those studies that you referenced all 5 5 Q. Okay. You, in your report -publicly available? MR. LEWIS: Excuse me, Counsel. 6 6 7 A. Yes. They're on the EPA Web site, I believe. 7 Q. (By Mr. Lewis) I'll refer you to Exhibit 4. Q. Is that how you obtained them? You talk about a harvest location. 8 8 9 A. Yes. 9 A. Looking at the map? Q. Okay. And does that include the bark studies 10 Q. Yes, it's Figure 1 on page 719. 10 and the map prepared by the EPA? Is that on the Web site 11 as well? 12 Q. I want to clarify. The harvest location was 12 not on the mine site. Is that true or untrue? 13 A. That's a good question. 13 Q. Do you know when that study and that map was 14 14 A. That is true. made available to the public or -- let me finish. Let me 15 Q. Okay. Do you know where the screening, what 15 has been called the "screening plant" is located on the 16 withdraw the question. 16 Kootenai River? 17 Do you know when that EPA study, the bark study and 17 the map that you described, was issued by the EPA? 18 18 A. By the -- yes. Q. Okay. Is that at the intersection of the 19 A. My recollection is it was in 2008. 19 Q. Do you know if it was before or after your river and Rainey Creek Road? 20 20 21 report? 21 A. Yes. 22 A. Before or after this report. 22 Q. How far was the harvest location from the Q. Your expert report. 23 23 screening plant? A. My expert report. I guess I don't know the 24 A. Well, what did we say -- whatever the distance

25

25 exact timeline.

55 (Pages 214 to 216)

	Page 214		Page 216
1	Q. Okay.	1	DEPOSITION OF: TERRY M. SPEAR, Ph.D.
2	A. From Highway 37, so we add another	2	DEPOSITION DATE: JULY 29, 2009
3	Q. So less than a mile?	3	IN RE: W.R. Grace & Co, Debtor
4	A. Yes.	4	COURT REPORTER: CANDICE L. NORDHAGEN
		5	I have read my deposition and make the following
5	Q. Okay. That's all I have.		corrections or additions:
6	MR. LEWIS: I'll reserve the rest of my	6	
7	questions in time of until the confirmation hearing.	7	PAGE # LINE CORRECTION
8	DIVIND OTHER DAY	8	
9	BY MR. STANSBURY:	9	
10	Q. Just one quick clarifying point. The harvest	10	
11	location was not on the mine, but it was in the	11	
12	EPA-restricted zone, correct?	12	
13	A. Yes.	13	
14	Q. Okay.	14	
15	VIDEOGRAPHER: Anybody else on the line?	15	
16	Everybody done?	16	
17	MR. LEWIS: Are there any questions?	17	
18	MR. STANSBURY: Going once, twice. All right,	18	
19	everybody.	19 20	
20	VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. This concludes the	21	
21	videotaped deposition of Dr. Terry Spear in the matter of	22	Signed under penalty of perjury this day of
22	W.R. Grace & Company, et al., Debtors.	22	Signed under penalty of perjury this day of
23	The time is 1:37. It's July 29, 2009.	23	··
24	We're off the record.	24	
	* * * *	25	
25	* * * * *	25	Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
25		<u> </u>	Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
	Page 215		Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1	Page 215 STATE OF MONTANA)	25	Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2	Page 215 STATE OF MONTANA) : ss.	25	Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1	Page 215 STATE OF MONTANA)	25	Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2 3	Page 215 STATE OF MONTANA) : ss.	25	Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2 3 4	Page 215 STATE OF MONTANA) : ss. County of Silver Bow) I, Candice L. Nordhagen, Registered Professional Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County of Silver	25	Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 215 STATE OF MONTANA) : ss. County of Silver Bow) I, Candice L. Nordhagen, Registered Professional	25	Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 215 STATE OF MONTANA) : ss. County of Silver Bow) I, Candice L. Nordhagen, Registered Professional Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County of Silver Bow, State of Montana, do hereby certify:	25	Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 215 STATE OF MONTANA) : ss. County of Silver Bow) I, Candice L. Nordhagen, Registered Professional Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County of Silver Bow, State of Montana, do hereby certify: That the witness in the foregoing deposition, Terry	25	Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 215 STATE OF MONTANA) : ss. County of Silver Bow) I, Candice L. Nordhagen, Registered Professional Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County of Silver Bow, State of Montana, do hereby certify: That the witness in the foregoing deposition, Terry M. Spear, Ph.D., was by me first duly sworn according to	25	Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 215 STATE OF MONTANA) : ss. County of Silver Bow) I, Candice L. Nordhagen, Registered Professional Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County of Silver Bow, State of Montana, do hereby certify: That the witness in the foregoing deposition, Terry M. Spear, Ph.D., was by me first duly sworn according to law in the foregoing cause; that the deposition was then	25	Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 215 STATE OF MONTANA) : ss. County of Silver Bow) I, Candice L. Nordhagen, Registered Professional Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County of Silver Bow, State of Montana, do hereby certify: That the witness in the foregoing deposition, Terry M. Spear, Ph.D., was by me first duly sworn according to	25	Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 215 STATE OF MONTANA) : ss. County of Silver Bow) I, Candice L. Nordhagen, Registered Professional Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County of Silver Bow, State of Montana, do hereby certify: That the witness in the foregoing deposition, Terry M. Spear, Ph.D., was by me first duly sworn according to law in the foregoing cause; that the deposition was then taken before me at the time and place herein named; that the deposition was reported by me in machine shorthand and later transcribed by computer, and that the foregoing two	25	Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 215 STATE OF MONTANA) : ss. County of Silver Bow) I, Candice L. Nordhagen, Registered Professional Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County of Silver Bow, State of Montana, do hereby certify: That the witness in the foregoing deposition, Terry M. Spear, Ph.D., was by me first duly sworn according to law in the foregoing cause; that the deposition was then taken before me at the time and place herein named; that the deposition was reported by me in machine shorthand and later transcribed by computer, and that the foregoing two hundred fourteen (214) pages contain a true record of the	25	Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 215 STATE OF MONTANA) : ss. County of Silver Bow) I, Candice L. Nordhagen, Registered Professional Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County of Silver Bow, State of Montana, do hereby certify: That the witness in the foregoing deposition, Terry M. Spear, Ph.D., was by me first duly sworn according to law in the foregoing cause; that the deposition was then taken before me at the time and place herein named; that the deposition was reported by me in machine shorthand and later transcribed by computer, and that the foregoing two hundred fourteen (214) pages contain a true record of the witness, all done to the best of my skill and ability.		Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 215 STATE OF MONTANA) : ss. County of Silver Bow) I, Candice L. Nordhagen, Registered Professional Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County of Silver Bow, State of Montana, do hereby certify: That the witness in the foregoing deposition, Terry M. Spear, Ph.D., was by me first duly sworn according to law in the foregoing cause; that the deposition was then taken before me at the time and place herein named; that the deposition was reported by me in machine shorthand and later transcribed by computer, and that the foregoing two hundred fourteen (214) pages contain a true record of the witness, all done to the best of my skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and		Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 215 STATE OF MONTANA) : ss. County of Silver Bow) I, Candice L. Nordhagen, Registered Professional Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County of Silver Bow, State of Montana, do hereby certify: That the witness in the foregoing deposition, Terry M. Spear, Ph.D., was by me first duly sworn according to law in the foregoing cause; that the deposition was then taken before me at the time and place herein named; that the deposition was reported by me in machine shorthand and later transcribed by computer, and that the foregoing two hundred fourteen (214) pages contain a true record of the witness, all done to the best of my skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this day of,		Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 215 STATE OF MONTANA) : ss. County of Silver Bow) I, Candice L. Nordhagen, Registered Professional Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County of Silver Bow, State of Montana, do hereby certify: That the witness in the foregoing deposition, Terry M. Spear, Ph.D., was by me first duly sworn according to law in the foregoing cause; that the deposition was then taken before me at the time and place herein named; that the deposition was reported by me in machine shorthand and later transcribed by computer, and that the foregoing two hundred fourteen (214) pages contain a true record of the witness, all done to the best of my skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and		Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 215 STATE OF MONTANA) : ss. County of Silver Bow) I, Candice L. Nordhagen, Registered Professional Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County of Silver Bow, State of Montana, do hereby certify: That the witness in the foregoing deposition, Terry M. Spear, Ph.D., was by me first duly sworn according to law in the foregoing cause; that the deposition was then taken before me at the time and place herein named; that the deposition was reported by me in machine shorthand and later transcribed by computer, and that the foregoing two hundred fourteen (214) pages contain a true record of the witness, all done to the best of my skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this day of,		Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	STATE OF MONTANA) : ss. County of Silver Bow) I, Candice L. Nordhagen, Registered Professional Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County of Silver Bow, State of Montana, do hereby certify: That the witness in the foregoing deposition, Terry M. Spear, Ph.D., was by me first duly sworn according to law in the foregoing cause; that the deposition was then taken before me at the time and place herein named; that the deposition was reported by me in machine shorthand and later transcribed by computer, and that the foregoing two hundred fourteen (214) pages contain a true record of the witness, all done to the best of my skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this day of, 2009.		Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 215 STATE OF MONTANA) : ss. County of Silver Bow) I, Candice L. Nordhagen, Registered Professional Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County of Silver Bow, State of Montana, do hereby certify: That the witness in the foregoing deposition, Terry M. Spear, Ph.D., was by me first duly sworn according to law in the foregoing cause; that the deposition was then taken before me at the time and place herein named; that the deposition was reported by me in machine shorthand and later transcribed by computer, and that the foregoing two hundred fourteen (214) pages contain a true record of the witness, all done to the best of my skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this day of,		Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	STATE OF MONTANA) : ss. County of Silver Bow) I, Candice L. Nordhagen, Registered Professional Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County of Silver Bow, State of Montana, do hereby certify: That the witness in the foregoing deposition, Terry M. Spear, Ph.D., was by me first duly sworn according to law in the foregoing cause; that the deposition was then taken before me at the time and place herein named; that the deposition was reported by me in machine shorthand and later transcribed by computer, and that the foregoing two hundred fourteen (214) pages contain a true record of the witness, all done to the best of my skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this day of, 2009. Candice L. Nordhagen		Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	STATE OF MONTANA) : ss. County of Silver Bow) I, Candice L. Nordhagen, Registered Professional Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County of Silver Bow, State of Montana, do hereby certify: That the witness in the foregoing deposition, Terry M. Spear, Ph.D., was by me first duly sworn according to law in the foregoing cause; that the deposition was then taken before me at the time and place herein named; that the deposition was reported by me in machine shorthand and later transcribed by computer, and that the foregoing two hundred fourteen (214) pages contain a true record of the witness, all done to the best of my skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this day of, 2009. Candice L. Nordhagen Notary Public for the State of		Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	STATE OF MONTANA) : ss. County of Silver Bow) I, Candice L. Nordhagen, Registered Professional Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County of Silver Bow, State of Montana, do hereby certify: That the witness in the foregoing deposition, Terry M. Spear, Ph.D., was by me first duly sworn according to law in the foregoing cause; that the deposition was then taken before me at the time and place herein named; that the deposition was reported by me in machine shorthand and later transcribed by computer, and that the foregoing two hundred fourteen (214) pages contain a true record of the witness, all done to the best of my skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this day of, Candice L. Nordhagen Notary Public for the State of Montana residing at Butte,		Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	STATE OF MONTANA) : ss. County of Silver Bow) I, Candice L. Nordhagen, Registered Professional Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County of Silver Bow, State of Montana, do hereby certify: That the witness in the foregoing deposition, Terry M. Spear, Ph.D., was by me first duly sworn according to law in the foregoing cause; that the deposition was then taken before me at the time and place herein named; that the deposition was reported by me in machine shorthand and later transcribed by computer, and that the foregoing two hundred fourteen (214) pages contain a true record of the witness, all done to the best of my skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this day of, 2009. Candice L. Nordhagen Notary Public for the State of		Terry M. Spear, Ph.D.

July 29, 2009

A 1389 166:21 1786 179:6,639 amphibole 5:20 anticedent 138:2 2013 2021 2031 2032 2032 2034 2032 2032 2044 2032 2032 2044 2032 2044 2032 2044 2032 2044 2042 2044 2042 2044 2042 2044 2042 2044 2042 2044 2042 2044 2042 2044 2042 2044 2042 2044 2042 2044 2042 2044 204		120.0 166 21	177.6.170.6.60	1. 1. 5.20	43 4 100 00
ability 91 177:5,6 215:16 215:16 215:16 215:16 215:16 215:16 215:16 215:16 215:16 215:16 215:16 215:16 215:16 215:16 215:16 215:16 215:16 215:16 215:17 217:17:17:17:15 217:17:17:17:15 217:17:17:17:15 217:17:17:17:17:15 217:17:17:17:18 217:17:17:17:18 217:18:18:17 215:21 154:71 217:18:18:17 215:21 154:71 217:18:18:72 217:18:18:17 217:18:18:72 217:18:18:72 217:18:18:72 217:18:18:72 217:18:18:72 217:18:18:72 217:18:18:72 218:22:18:11 218:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12 218:22:12:12:12:12 218:12:12:12:12:12 218:12:12:12:12:12 218:12:12:12:12:12 218:12:12:12:12 218:12:12:12:12:12 218:12:12:12:12:12 218:12:12:12:12:12 218:12:12:12:12:12 218:12:12:12:12:12 218:12:12:12:12:12 218:12:12:12:12:12 218:12:12:12:12:12 218:12:12:12:12:12 218:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:12:12:12:12 23:12:		138:9 166:21	177:6 179:6,6,9	amphibole 5:20	antecedent 138:22
15:21 15:4 able 32:24 115:4 able 32:25 115:4 119:12 120:12 able 32:24 115:4 able 32:24 125:13 able 32:24 125:14 able 32:24 125:14 able 32:25 able 34:25 able 34:			· ·		_
able 32:24 115:4 167:2 176:7 177:3,5 167:2 176:1 177:3,5 167:2 176:1 177:3,5 167:2 176:1 177:3,5 167:2 176:1 177:3,5 167:2 176:1 177:3,5 167:2 176:1 177:3,5 167:2 176:1 177:3,5 167:2 176:1 177:3,5 167:2 176:1 177:3,5 167:2 176:1 177:3,5 167:2 176:1 177:3 189:1 199:25 140:16,20 165:18 169:2 12:3 189:1 199:2 200:1 201:6 172:2 175:19,23 186:9 21:3 2	ability 9:19 177:5,6				
167:2176771773.5					
177:7 179:18 192:1 199:25 199:25 199:25 199:25 199:25 199:25 199:25 199:25 199:25 199:25 199:25 199:25 199:25 199:25 199:25 199:25 199:25 199:25 199:27 199:25					
199:25 3ahormalities 45:15 administered 1:8 140:16.20 165:18 139:25 156:21 44:16.6 appears 148:1 164:4 164:4	167:2 176:7 177:3,5				
abnormalities 45:15 45:24 46:4,19 48:1 55:26,11 53:17 40ministration 13:2:17 absence 11:13 access 147:10 165:8 186:92 12:3 administratior 82:20 administrative 72:20 administrati					
45:24 46:4,19 48:1 52:20 53:21 54:11 52:20 53:20		•	-		
administration Siz.20 Siz.21 Siz.22 Siz.21 Siz.21 Siz.22 Si	abnormalities 45:15			1	
132:17 absence 11:13 administrative 72:20 administrative 72:21 administrative 72:20 administrative 72:21 administrative 72:20 administrative 72:20 administrative 72:21 administrative 72:20 administrative 72:21 administrative 72:20 administrative 72:21 administrative 72:21 administrative 72:22 administrative 72:20 administrative 72:	45:24 46:4,19 48:1	T		T	15
absence 11:13 access 147:10 165:8 administrative 72:20 administrator 85:17 administrat	52:20 53:21 54:11	S			
access 147:10 165:8 186:9 212:3 account 134:21 144:6 195:22.23 accrured 125:15 accumulating 134:11 acluil 134:21:11 acculating 134:11 acculating 134:11 acculating 134	132:17				
Administrator 85:17 advance 207:4 Albany 175:18 179:21 3apples 153:23 apply 137:23 46:17 applies 153:24 applies 153:23 apply 137:23 46:17 applies 153:24 applie	absence 11:13				
account 134:21 144:6 195:22.23 accrued 125:15 accumulating 134:11 accumulative 137:2: accurate 178:3 accurate 178:3 179:23 accurate 178:3 agencies 140:21 143:5 141:14 accurate 19:24:1 155:4,10,15 accurate 19:24:1 163:51 166:4 171:11:10 166:21 179:71 179:71 188:17:18:19 179:71 179:	access 147:10 165:8			_	
195:22,23 accurated 125:15 accurated 125:17 accurated 125:17 accurated 125:18 agencies 140:21 adilowed 42:11,23 allowed 42:11,23 allowed 42:11,23 allowed 42:11,23 action 118:17 127:6 action 118:17 127:6 action 18:17 127:6 action 18:17 127:6 action 18:17 127:6 action 18:17 127:6 action 19:12 121:18,21 126:22 169:9 189:12 190:1 193:1 193:7,912 193:7,912 193:7,912 193:7,912 193:7,912 193:7,912 193:7,912 193:7,912 193:7,912 193:7,912 193:7,912 193:7,912 193:17 activity 15:24 16:8 17:22 18:6,21 18:17 13:12 15:20,22 16:4,8 17:21 18:17 13:12 15:20,22 16:4,8 17:21 18:17 13:12 13:18 13:12 13:20 13:19 13:19 13:12 13:19	186:9 212:3				
accrued 125:15 accumulating 134:11 accumulative 137:2 accumulative 137:2 accumulative 137:2 accumately 124:1 affirmatively 182:17 affirmatively 182:17 affirmatively 182:17 accumately 124:1 affirmatively 182:17 accumately 124:1 affirmatively 182:17 accumately 124:1 affirmatively 182:17 accumately 124:1 affirmatively 182:17	account 134:21 144:6		1		
accumulating 134:11 affirmative 99:21 affirmative 99:21 affirmative 182:17 affixed 215:18 agencies 140:21 allowed 42:11,23 allowing 117:12 allowed 42:11,23 allowing 117:12 allowing 117:12 allowed 42:11,23 al	195:22,23				
accumulative 137:2 accuracy 153:10 accurate 178:3 agencies 140:21 fffixed 215:18 agencies 140:21 la35: agencies 140:21 la45: agencies 140:21 la55:4,10,15 activites 24:24 25:6 agencies 24:24 25:6 25:7,8,9,77:17,18 80:12 121:18,21 lo36:21 lo4:11 lo34: lo36:					
accumulative 137:2 accurate 178:3	accumulating 134:11				
accurate 178:3 179:23 accurately 124:1 155:4,10,15 action 118:17 127:6 action 99:2,3 activities 24:24 25:6 25:7,8,9 77:17,18 80:12 121:18,21 126:22 169:9 189:12 190:1 193:1 193:7,9,12 199:17 activity 15:24 16:8 17:22 18:6,21 83:20 90:9 94:4 121:17 190:4 191:5,11 192:2 activity-based 124:25 125:4 activity 15:24 163:11 168:11 170:1 174:20 184:19 172:1 122:23 adding 203:23 addition 107:12 activity 17:22 adding 203:23 addition 107:12 activity 17:22 adding 203:23 addition 107:12 activity 17:23 adding 203:23 adding 203:23 adding 203:23 adding 203:23 adding 203:23 adllowing 117:12 133:12 132:21 133:12 135:21 133:12 135:21 136:8 137:19 136:23 17:15 136:24 160:1 155:24 160:1 160:21 133:12 135:20 154:24 160:1 160:21 133:12 135:20 176:22 17:12 176:22 177:12 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 1	accumulative 137:2				
T79:23 accurately 124:1 143:5 agencies 140:21 143:5 already-expanded 136:8 137:19 136:25 188:19; 21 148:57 143:8 168:5 136:8 137:19 136:25 188:19; 21 148:57 143:8 168:5 136:8 137:19 146:13 149:20 148:13 149:20 146:13 149:20 148:25 188:19; 21 148:57 143:8 168:5 136:8 137:19 146:23 170:22 188:24 148:24 160:1 alternatives 106:11 146:23 170:22 176:24 177:5 actions 99:2,3 activities 24:24 25:6 25:7,89 77:17,18 80:12 121:18,21 126:22 169:9 129:17 171:8 189:7 agreed 71:10 149:52 4 155:28 171:18 193:7,9,12 199:17 activity 15:24 16:8 179:21 18:6,21 183:2 amends 103:15 78:18 179:22 18:6,21 83:20 181:12 15:20,22 179:41 179:2 activity-based 124:25 181:17 15:6,9 22:4 activity-based 124:25 125:4 199:29 91:19 92:19 203:5 205:2 add 53:13 151:18 214:2 203:5 205:2 add 53:13 151:18 214:2 223 addition 107:12 adding 203:23 addition 107:12 171:17 179:17 128:29:17 173:23 201:19 201:19 21:19 201:19 21:17 177:17 201:19 21:17 178:18 179:4 201:19 179:18 179:18 179:18 179:18 179:18 179:18 179:18 179:18 179:18 179:18 179:18 179:18 179:18 179:18 179:18 179:19 179:19 23:8 179:17 179:2 201:19 179:19 23:8 201:19 179:19 23:8 201:19 179:19 23:8 201:19 179:19 23:19 201:19 179:19	accuracy 153:10				
accurately 124:1 155:4,10,15 act 97:23 98:1 action 118:17 127:6 actions 99:2,3 activities 24:24 25:6 25:7,8,9 77:17,18 80:12 121:18,21 193:7,9,12 199:17 activity 15:24 16:8 179:21 88:12 189:12 190:4 191:5,11 192:2 activity-based 124:25 125:4 actual 10:8 61:7 135:24 163:11 168:11 170:1 168:11 170:1 174:20 184:19 175:24 160:11 184:20 184:19:20 175:42 160:11 184:113:10 154:24 160:11 186:23 170:22 175:42 160:11 185:24 160:11 175:12 185:16 187:8 175:21 177:5 188:16 187:8 176:12,15 177:5 188:16 187:8 176:12,15 177:5 188:16 187:8 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:40,68,13,16,19 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12	accurate 178:3		-		
action 18:17 127:6 action 19:23 action 18:17 127:6 activities 24:24 25:6 25:7,8,9 77:17,18 80:12 12:18,21 126:22 169:9 189:12 190:1 193:1 193:7,9,12 199:17 activity 15:24 16:8 air 13:12 15:20,22 190:4 191:5,11 190:4 191:5,11 190:2 125:4 16:4,11 10:2 10:2 10:2 10:2 10:2 10:3 10:12 179:7 180:22 108:11 126:13 132:18 133:18 130:18,20 131:16 13:14 132:11 138:15 139:13,20 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 15:16,23 15:18 15:16,23 13:12 13:12 13:12 13:12 13:12 13:13 13:12 13:13 13:13 13:14 13:11 13:13 13:12 13:13 13:14 13:11 13:13 13:14 13:1	179:23				1
act 97:23 98:1 acted 98:4 action 118:17 127:6 actions 99:2,3 activities 24:24 25:6 25:7.8,9 77:17,18 80:12 121:18,21 126:22 169:9 189:12 190:11 193:1 193:7,9,12 199:17 activity 15:24 16:8 17:22 18:6,21 83:20 90:9 94:4 121:17 190:4 191:5,11 192:2 activity-based 124:25 125:4 activity	accurately 124:1				1
acted 98:4 action 118:17 127:6 actions 99:2,3 activities 24:24 25:6 25:7,8,9 77:17,18 80:12 121:18,21 126:22 169:9 189:12 190:1 193:1 193:7,9,12 199:17 activity 15:24 16:8 17:22 18:6,21 83:20 90:9 94:4 121:17 190:4 191:5,11 192:2 activity-based 124:25 125:4 activity-based 124:25 12	155:4,10,15				
action 18:17 127:6 actions 99:2,3 activities 24:24 25:6 25:7,8,9 77:17,18 80:12 121:18,21 126:22 169:9 189:12 190:1 193:1 193:7,9,12 199:17 activity 15:24 16:8 17:22 18:6,21 83:20 90:9 94:4 121:17 190:4 191:5,11 192:2 activity-based 124:25 125:4 activity	act 97:23 98:1	· ·			
actions 99:2,3 activities 24:24 25:6 25:7,8,9 77:17,18 80:12 121:18,21 126:22 169:9 189:12 190:1 193:1 193:7,9,12 199:17 activity 15:24 16:8 17:22 18:6,21 83:20 90:9 94:4 121:17 190:4 191:5,11 192:2 activity-based 124:25 125:4 actual 10:8 61:7 135:24 163:11 10:22 103:21 104:1 114:20 115:4,13 116:21 119:17,21 126:22 130:18,20 131:16 131:24 132:1 138:15 139:13,20 149:5,24 155:2,8 191:17 204:5 205:2 205:6 210:16 Amandus's 140:6 179:7,17 180:22 179:7 180:22 188:16 187:8 132:8 133:3 140:24 132:4 132:11 138:15 139:13,20 149:5,24 155:2,8 191:17 204:5 205:2 205:6 210:16 Amandus's 140:6 179:7,17 186:37 187:12 189:11 190:9 192:5 173:19 204:2 171:25 172:9 18:17 21:5,6,9 22:4 16:4,8 17:21 76:15 106:23 110:12 130:18,20 131:16 131:24 132:11 132:4 132:11 132:8 133:3 140:24 131:24 132:11 131:17 176:22 177:12 166:3 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 166:3 176:2 177:12 169:21 175:7 178:4 179:7 180:22 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 166:3 176:2 177:12 169:21 175:7 178:4 179:7 180:22 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 166:3 19 165:1 166:5 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 166:3 19 165:1 166:5 176:22 177:12 186:37 187:12 189:11 190:9 192:5 171:25 172:9 189:12 190:1 190:1 189:11 126:13 132:8 133:3 140:24 132:4 132:1 132:4 132:1 132:4 132:1 132:4 132:1 132:4 132:1 132:4 132:1 132:4 132:1 132:4 132:1 132:4 132:1 132:4 132:1 130:18,20 131:16 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 166:3 176:2,2 177:12 179:7 180:22 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 176:22 177:12 178:1,15,19,21,24 178:1,15,19,21,24 179:7 180:22 178:1,15,16,23 13:13 132:8 133:3 140:24 178:8 132:4 132:1 132:18 13:21 151:166:3 132:4 132:1 132:4 132:1 132:13:13:17 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 166:3 19 166:5 176:22 177:12 186:3,7 187:12 189:11 190:9 192:5 171:25 172:9 180:17 10:4 180:10 172:1 180:11 126:13 132:4 132:1 132:4 132:1 130:18,20 13:16 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 166:4 176:22 177:12 181:17,1,5,19,21,24 181:17 184:20 178:1,5,19,21,24 181:17 184:20 179:7,17 186:3,7 187:12 189:11 190:9 192:5 121:23 178:5 121:23 178:5 121:23 178:5 121:23 178:5 121:23 178:5 121:23 178:5 121:23 178:5 121:23 178:5 121:23 178:5 121:23 178:5 121:23 178:1 121:23 178:1 121:23 178:5 121:23 178:5 12		O			
activities 24:24 25:6 25:7,8,9 77:17,18 80:12 121:18,21 126:22 169:9 189:12 190:1 193:1 193:7,9,12 199:17 activity 15:24 16:8 17:22 18:6,21 83:20 90:9 94:4 121:17 190:4 191:5,11 192:2 activity-based 124:25 125:4 actual 10:8 61:7 135:24 163:11 1168:11 170:1 1170:1 114:20 115:4,13 1168:11 170:1 174:20 184:19 203:5 205:2 add 53:13 151:18 214:2 adding 203:23 addition 107:12 104:11 134:9 138:2 130:18,20 131:16 131:24 132:11 138:15 139:13,20 144:5,6 153:20 149:5,24 155:2,8 173:19 204:5 205:2 176:4,8 17:21 505:2 178:19 204:2 178:10 131:24 132:11 138:15 139:13,20 149:5,24 155:2,8 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 166:1 166:1 176:22 177:12 166:22 177:12 169:21 175:7 178:4 179:7,17 178:40 179:7,17 178:40 179:7,17 178:40 179:7,17 178:40 179:7,17 178:40 179:7,17 178:40 179:7,17 178:40 179:7,17 178:40 179:7,17 178:40 179:7,17 178:40 179:7,17 178:40 179:7,17 178:40 179:7,17 178:40 179:7,17 178:40 179:7,17 178:40 179:7,17 178:40 179:7,17 178:40 179:7,17 178:40 179:7,17 178:40 179:7,17 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 178:40 179:7,17 188:177:12 188:17 178:40 179:7,17 188:177:12 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 178:40 179:7,17 188:17 192:1 179:7,17 188:17 192:1 179:7,17 188:177:12 188:17 192:1 179:7,17 188:177:12 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 188:17:21 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 186:3 13:4 132:11 188:17 184:20 179:7,17 186:3 179:12 171:25 172:29 186:3,14,20 189:11 190:9 192:5 173:19 204:2 179:7,17 180:22 177:12 171:25 172:29 180:3 179:7,17 180:3 13:24 13:1 181:17 184:20 181:17 184:20 185:16 187:8 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:2 177:12 180:12 177:12 180:12 177:12 180:13 13:24 132:11 181:17 184:20 180:17 179:7,17 180:22 177:12 180:13 13:24 132:11 180:1	action 118:17 127:6				
25:7,8,9 77:17,18 80:12 121:18,21 126:22 169:9 189:12 190:1 193:1 193:7,9,12 199:17 activity 15:24 16:8 17:22 18:6,21 83:20 90:9 94:4 121:17 190:4 191:5,11 192:2 activity-based 124:25 125:4 actual 10:8 61:7 135:24 163:11 174:20 184:19 203:5 205:2 add 53:13 151:18 214:2 adding 203:23 addition 107:12 141:5,6 153:20 131:24 132:11 138:15 139:13,20 149:5,24 155:2,8 191:17 204:5 205:2 149:5,24 155:2,8 191:17 204:5 205:2 149:5,24 155:2,8 191:17 204:5 205:2 149:5,24 155:2,8 191:17 204:5 205:2 149:5,24 155:2,8 191:17 204:5 205:2 149:5,24 155:2,8 191:17 204:5 205:2 149:5,24 155:2,8 191:17 204:5 205:2 149:5,24 155:2,8 191:17 204:5 205:2 191:17 204:5 205:2 1138:15 139:13,20 149:5,24 155:2,8 191:17 204:5 205:2 1138:15 139:13,20 149:5,24 155:2,8 191:17 204:5 205:2 177:12 166:4,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:20 177:12 181:17 184:20 178:1 152:14 157:2 169:21 175:7 178:4 181:17 184:20 186:3,7 187:12 186:3,7 187:12 189:11 190:9 192:5 176:22 177:12 186:3,7 187:12 178:1,15,0,21,24 188:17 178:10 179:7,17 189:17 204:5 205:2 177:19 204:2 177:25 172:9 186:3,7 187:12 179:4 179:21 179:4 19:17 78:4 189:7 4HERA 17:6 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:20 177:12 189:17 190:4 191:17 178:1,15,19,21,24 189:17 190:4 191:17 179:1,7 184:20 186:3,7 187:1 189:17 190:4 191:17 181:17 204:2 205:6 210:16 205:6 210:16 205:2 10:16 206:3,7 18:1 207:17:2 1 206:19:19 206					
80:12 121:18,21 126:22 169:9 189:12 190:1 193:1 193:7,9,12 199:17 activity 15:24 16:8 17:22 18:6,21 83:20 90:9 94:4 121:17 190:4 191:5,11 192:2 activity-based 124:25 125:4 actual 10:8 61:7 135:24 163:11 168:11 170:1 174:20 184:19 203:5 205:2 add 53:13 151:18 214:2 adding 203:23 addition 107:12 171:8 189:7 agreed 71:10 149:5,24 155:2,8 191:17 204:5 205:2 205:6 210:16 Amandus's 140:6 179:7,17 205:6 210:16 Amandus's 140:6 179:7,17 169:21 175:7 178:4 176:22 177:12 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:4,6,8,13,16,19 176:22 177:12 178:1,15,19,21,24 179:7,17 analyze 177:8,10 analyzed 170:21 178:1,15,19,21,24 179:7,17 analyze 177:8,10 analyzed 170:21 178:1,15,19,21,24 179:7,17 analyze 177:8,10 analyzed 170:21 178:1,15,19,21,24 181:17 184:20 186:3,7 187:12 189:11 190:9 192:5 176:12 179:17:3 189:12 176:22 177:12 189:11 190:9 192:5 178:1,15,19,21,24 179:7,17 189:21 175:7 178:4 181:17 184:20 189:11 190:9 192:5 178:1,15,19,21,24 179:7,17 189:21 178:1,15,19,21,24 179:7,17 189:21 179:11 179:204:5 205:2 205:6 210:16 Amandus's 140:6 179:7,17 analyze 177:8,10 analyzed 170:21 178:1,15,19,21,24 179:7,17 analyze 177:8,10 analyzed 170:21 178:1,15,19,21,24 179:7,17 analyze 177:8,10 180:3,7 187:12 179:204:5 205:2 173:19 204:2 206:90:16:4,8 17:21 16:5 169:21 175:7 178:4 181:17 184:20 186:3,7 187:12 189:11 190:9 192:5 178:1,15,19,21,24 189:1.154:20 186:3,7 187:12 189:11 190:9 192:5 178:1,15,19,21,24 179:7,17 analyze 177:8,10 analyzed 170:21 179:7,17 analyze 177:8,10 analyzed 170:21 179:7,17 analyze 177:8,10 analyzed 170:21 179:7,17 analyze 177:8,10 180:3,7 187:12 189:11 190:9 192:5 16:4,8 17:21 16:4,8 17:21 76:15 Anderian 30:0,11 17:25 172:9 17:125 172:9 17:125 172:9 17:125 172:9 17:125 172:9 17:125 172:9 17:125 172:9 17:125 172:9 17:125 172:9 17:12 17:25 172:9 17:12 17:25 172:9 17:12 17:25 172:9 17:12 17:25 172:9 17:12 17:25 172:9 17:12 17:25 172:9 17:12 17:25 172:9 17:12 17:25 172:9 17:12 17:25 172:9 17:12 17:25 172:9 17:12 17:25 172:9	activities 24:24 25:6				
126:22 169:9 189:12 190:1 193:1 193:7,9,12 199:17 activity 15:24 16:8 17:22 18:6,21 83:20 90:9 94:4 121:17 190:4 191:5,11 192:2 16:4,8 17:21 18:17 192:2 16:4,8 17:21 18:17 18:17 21:5,6,9 22:4 176:15 80:9 90:8,18 90:22 91:19 92:19 203:5 205:2 204:2 103:21 104:1 168:11 170:1 174:20 184:19 203:5 205:2 205:6 210:16 205:1 169:21 175:7 178:4 218:17 184:20 205:6 210:16 205:6 210:16 205:6 210:16 205:6 210:16 205:6 210:16 205:6 210:16 205:6 210:16 205:1 169:21 175:7 178:4 218:17 184:20 206:19,19 206:1	25:7,8,9 77:17,18	**************************************			1
189:12 190:1 193:1 agreement 98:12 191:17 204:5 205:2 176:22 177:12 169:21 175:7 178:4 193:7,9,12 199:17 activity 15:24 16:8 aim 79:21 aimed 30:15 78:18 17:22 18:6,21 83:20 179:21 18:17 179:7,17 186:3,7 187:12 190:4 191:5,11 192:2 16:4,8 17:21 18:17 18:17 21:5,6,9 22:4 16:4,8 17:21 76:15 173:19 204:2 179:7,17 180:21 175:7 178:4 activity-based 124:25 125:4 76:15 80:9 90:8,18 90:22 91:19 92:19 103:21 119:17 121:23 178:5 121:23 178:5 121:23 178:5 121:23 178:5 151:8,15 152:18 actual 10:8 61:7 93:21 94:8,11,13 102:2 103:21 104:1 114:20 115:4,13 116:2 119:17,21 131:17 131:17 165:20,21 170:4 166:4 162:17 174:20 184:19 120:22 20 121:24 122:23 121:24 122:23 121:24 122:23 121:24 122:23 131:17 131:17 186:0,7 7:13 169:21 175:7 178:4 189:11 190:9 192:5 206:19,19 189:11 190:9 192:5 206:19,19 189:11 190:9 192:5 180:19 19:15 173:19 204:2 177:25 172:9 171:25 172:9 171:25 172:9 171:25 172:9 171:25 172:9 171:25 172:9 171:25 172:9 171:25 172:9 171:25				_	
AHERA 173:6 activity 15:24 16:8 aim 79:21 aimed 30:15 78:18 air 13:12 15:20,22 16:4,8 17:21 18:17 190:4 191:5,11 192:2 activity-based 124:25 125:4 actual 10:8 61:7 135:24 163:11 168:11 170:1 174:20 184:19 203:5 205:2 add 53:13 151:18 214:2 adding 203:23 addition 107:12 activity 15:24 16:8 179:17 128:20 178:1,15,19,21,24 181:17 184:20 186:3,7 187:12 186:3,7 187:12 189:11 190:9 192:5 189:11 190:9 192:5 189:11 190:9 192:5 206:19,19 areas 32:7 72:13 92:1 171:25 172:9 analyzed 170:21 189:11 190:9 192:5 206:19,19 areas 32:7 72:13 92:1 171:25 172:9 analyzing 27:22 16:4,8 17:21 76:15 80:9 90:18,22 93:21 103:21 119:17 ambiguity 147:16 ambiguous 138:21 American 30:10,11 131:17 answer 9:19 23:8 153:1,15 154:3 160:4 162:17 165:20,21 170:4 188:20 189:15 arguably 109:16 argumentative 152:5 argumentati			-		
activity 15:24 16:8 17:22 18:6,21 83:20 90:9 94:4 121:17 190:4 191:5,11 192:2 activity-based 124:25 125:4 actual 10:8 61:7 135:24 163:11 168:11 170:1 174:20 184:19 203:5 205:2 add 53:13 151:18 214:2 adding 203:23 addition 107:12 activity 15:24 16:8 aim 79:21 aim 49:21 aim 49:21 aim 79:21 aim 49:21 aim 79:21 aim 49:21 aim 49:21 154:25 155:25 173:19 204:2 ambient 15:20,22 16:4,8 17:21 18:17 186:4,8 17:21 18:17 186:4,8 17:21 18:17 186:4,8 17:21 16:15 80:9 90:8,18 90:22 91:19 92:19 93:21 94:8,11,13 102:2 103:21 104:1 114:20 115:4,13 116:2 119:17,21 203:5 205:2 add 53:13 151:18 214:2 adding 203:23 addition 107:12 alm 79:21 aim 49:21 aim 49:21 154:25 155:25 173:19 204:2 ambient 15:20,22 16:4,8 17:21 76:15 80:9 90:18,22 93:21 103:21 119:17 ambiguity 147:16 ambiguous 138:21 Annals 31:5 77:13 andyzed 170:21 analyzed 170:21 an					
aimed 30:15 78:18 air 13:12 15:20,22 16:4,8 17:21 18:17 192:2 16:4,8 17:21 18:17 192:2 16:4,8 17:21 18:17 192:2 16:4,8 17:21 18:17 18:17 21:5,6,9 22:4 76:15 80:9 90:8,18 125:4 163:11 10:8 61:7 135:24 163:11 10:2 103:21 104:1 168:11 170:1 174:20 184:19 203:5 205:2 add 53:13 151:18 214:2 adding 203:23 addition 107:12 alwer 107:12 aimed 30:15 78:18 air 13:12 15:20,22 16:4,8 17:21 76:15 15:20,22 16:4,8 17:21 76:15 173:19 204:2 ambient 15:20,22 177:25 172:9 analyzed 170:21 206:19,19 areas 32:7 72:13 92:1 171:25 172:9 analyzing 27:22 140:23 150:11 103:21 119:17 203:21 119:17 203:21 119:17 21 131:17 203:5,6 176:14 203:21 104:1 174:20 184:19 203:5 205:2 add 53:13 151:18 214:2 213:1,6 127:11,12 203:5,6 176:14 203:21					
air 13:12 15:20,22 air 13:12 15:20,22 ambient 15:20,22 analyzed 170:21 206:19,19 190:4 191:5,11 192:2 16:4,8 17:21 18:17 18:17 21:5,6,9 22:4 16:4,8 17:21 76:15 analyzed 170:21 206:19,19 activity-based 124:25 76:15 80:9 90:8,18 80:9 90:18,22 93:21 121:23 178:5 151:8,15 152:18 125:4 90:22 91:19 92:19 103:21 119:17 Andrij 75:4 153:1,15 154:3 actual 10:8 61:7 93:21 94:8,11,13 ambiguous 138:21 Analyzed 170:21 140:23 150:11 135:24 163:11 102:2 103:21 104:1 ambiguous 138:21 Analyzing 27:22 140:23 150:11 4 20:2 17:15:4,13 103:21 119:17 analyzed 170:21 150:11 4 20:2 184:19 103:21 119:17 Andrij 75:4 153:1,15 154:3 4 3:11 170:1 114:20 115:4,13 131:17 4nalyzing 27:22 160:4 162:17 4 3:15:4 103:21 104:1 ambiguous 138:21 Annals 31:5 77:13 165:20,21 170:4 4 3:17:17:17:21 131:17 25:3 26:18 30:22 188:20 189:15 203:5 205:2 122:14 122:23 123:1,6 127:11,12 129:12 135:4 42:22 48:13,14,20 49:6 53:11 54:24				,	
190:4 191:5,11 192:2 activity-based 124:25 125:4 actual 10:8 61:7 135:24 163:11 171:20 184:19 171:25 172:9 18:17 21:5,6,9 22:4 203:5 205:2 adding 203:23 addition 107:12 16:4,8 17:21 18:17 18:17 21:5,6,9 22:4 16:4,8 17:21 76:15 80:9 90:18,22 93:21 103:21 119:17 80:9 90:18,22 93:21 103:21 119:17 102:2 103:21 104:1 103:21 119:17 102:2 103:21 104:1 114:20 115:4,13 116:4,8 17:21 76:15 80:9 90:18,22 93:21 121:23 178:5 121:23 178:5 121:23 178:5 Andrij 75:4 and/or 142:4 Annals 31:5 77:13 answer 9:19 23:8 25:3 26:18 30:22 31:25 33:16 36:2,11 42:22 48:13,14,20 49:6 53:11 54:24 argumentative 152:5 argumentative 152:5 argumentative 152:5 argumentative 152:5 arising 75:20 114:1 argumentative 152:5	17:22 18:6,21 83:20				
192:2 activity-based 124:25 125:4 actual 10:8 61:7 135:24 163:11 168:11 170:1 174:20 184:19 203:5 205:2 adding 203:23 addition 107:12 18:17 21:5,6,9 22:4 76:15 80:9 90:8,18 80:9 90:18,22 93:21 103:21 119:17 80:9 90:18,22 93:21 103:21 119:17 103:21 119:17 103:21 119:17 103:21 119:17 103:21 119:17 103:21 119:17 103:21 104:1 114:20 115:4,13 116:2 119:17,21 129:12 135:4 129:12 135:4 129:12 135:4 129:12 135:4 129:12 135:4 120:21 76:15 121:23 178:5 140:23 150:11 151:8,15 152:18 166:4,8 17:21 76:15 80:9 90:18,22 93:21 103:21 119:17 131:17 131:17 131:17 131:17 131:17 131:17 131:17 25:3 26:18 30:22 31:25 33:16 36:2,11 42:22 48:13,14,20 49:6 53:11 54:24 49:6 53:11 54:24 49:6 53:11 54:24 49:6 53:11 54:24 49:6 53:11 54:24 58:20 189:15 201:19 201:1	90:9 94:4 121:17				· ·
activity-based 124:25 76:15 80:9 90:8,18 80:9 90:18,22 93:21 121:23 178:5 151:8,15 152:18 125:4 90:22 91:19 92:19 103:21 119:17 Andrij 75:4 153:1,15 154:3 actual 10:8 61:7 135:24 163:11 102:2 103:21 104:1 ambiguity 147:16 amd/or 142:4 160:4 162:17 168:11 170:1 114:20 115:4,13 116:2 119:17,21 American 30:10,11 answer 9:19 23:8 180:19 186:9,14 203:5 205:2 121:24 122:23 amount 123:5,6 31:25 33:16 36:2,11 arguably 109:16 214:2 127:14,17 128:20 156:10 174:12 49:6 53:11 54:24 arisen 82:17 adding 203:23 128:22,23 129:13 178:18 179:4 58:21 95:18 97:18 arising 75:20 114:1 addition 107:12 129:17 173:23 201:19 123:23 126:2 152:7 arose 148:14		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		
125:4 90:22 91:19 92:19 103:21 119:17 Andrij 75:4 153:1,15 154:3 actual 10:8 61:7 135:24 163:11 102:2 103:21 104:1 ambiguity 147:16 Andor 142:4 160:4 162:17 168:11 170:1 114:20 115:4,13 116:2 119:17,21 American 30:10,11 answer 9:19 23:8 180:19 186:9,14 125:3 26:18 30:22 121:24 122:23 amount 123:5,6 31:25 33:16 36:2,11 arguably 109:16 203:5 205:2 123:1,6 127:11,12 129:12 135:4 42:22 48:13,14,20 argumentative 152:5 214:2 127:14,17 128:20 156:10 174:12 49:6 53:11 54:24 arising 75:20 114:1 adding 203:23 129:17 173:23 201:19 123:23 126:2 152:7 arose 148:14 207:23 208:2 11 208:21 12:22 208:21 114:1			-		
actual 10:8 61:7 135:24 163:11 168:11 170:1 174:20 184:19 203:5 205:2 add 53:13 151:18 214:2 adding 203:23 addition 107:12 93:21 94:8,11,13 102:2 103:21 104:1 114:20 115:4,13 116:2 119:17,21 123:1,6 127:11,12 128:20 128:22,23 129:13 129:17 173:23 201:19 ambiguity 147:16 ambiguous 138:21 Annals 31:5 77:13 160:4 162:17 165:20,21 170:4 165:20,21 170:4 188:20 189:15 188:20 189:15 188:20 189:15 188:20 189:15 189:19 23:8 180:19 186:9,14 188:20 189:15 188:20 189:15 189:19 23:8 180:19 186:9,14 188:20 189:15 188:20 189:15 189:19 23:8 180:19 186:9,14 188:20 189:15 189:19 23:8 180:19 186:9,14 188:20 189:15 189:19 23:8 180:19 186:9,14 188:20 189:15 189:19 23:8 180:19 186:9,14 188:20 189:15 189:19 23:8 180:19 186:9,14 188:20 189:15 189:19 23:8 180:19 186:9,14 188:20 189:15 189:19 23:8 180:19 186:9,14 188:20 189:15 189:19 23:8 180:19 186:9,14 189:19 189:19 1	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
actual 10.8 of 1.7 135:24 163:11 102:2 103:21 104:1 ambiguous 138:21 Annals 31:5 77:13 165:20,21 170:4 168:11 170:1 114:20 115:4,13 116:2 119:17,21 131:17 25:3 26:18 30:22 188:20 189:15 203:5 205:2 121:24 122:23 amount 123:5,6 31:25 33:16 36:2,11 42:22 48:13,14,20 arguably 109:16 214:2 127:14,17 128:20 156:10 174:12 49:6 53:11 54:24 arising 75:20 114:1 203:5 205:2 128:22,23 129:13 178:18 179:4 58:21 95:18 97:18 arising 75:20 114:1 214:2 129:17 173:23 201:19 123:23 126:2 152:7 arose 148:14					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
114:20 115:4,13 174:20 184:19 203:5 205:2 add 53:13 151:18 214:2 adding 203:23 addition 107:12 114:20 115:4,13 116:2 119:17,21 121:24 122:23 123:1,6 127:11,12 129:17 173:23 129:17 173:23 129:17 173:23 120:19 American 30:10,11 131:17 25:3 26:18 30:22 31:25 33:16 36:2,11 42:22 48:13,14,20 49:6 53:11 54:24 49:6 53:11 54:24 49:6 53:11 54:24 49:6 53:11 54:24 58:21 95:18 97:18 arising 75:20 114:1					
116:2 119:17,21					
121:24 122:23 amount 123:5,6 31:25 33:16 36:2,11 arguably 109:16 add 53:13 151:18 123:1,6 127:11,12 129:12 135:4 42:22 48:13,14,20 argumentative 152:5 adding 203:23 128:22,23 129:13 178:18 179:4 129:17 173:23 201:19 123:23 126:2 152:7 arose 148:14 arose 148:1		,			
add 53:13 151:18 214:2 adding 203:23 addition 107:12 123:1,6 127:11,12 129:12 135:4 156:10 174:12 174:21 174:25 176:14 129:12 135:4 129:12 135:4 156:10 174:12 178:18 179:4		-			
214:2	203:5 205:2				
adding 203:23		-			U
addition 107:12					
174.25 176.14	_				0
additional 137:11 1/4:25 1/6:14 amounts 64:25 207:23 208:2,11 arrangement 11:12	addition 107:12				
	additional 137:11	1/4:25 1/6:14	amounts 64:25	207:23 208:2,11	arrangement 11:12
		l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

W.R. GRACE & CO.

TERRY M. SPEAR, Ph.D.

July 29, 2009

Page 2

artfully 163:6 article 5:19,20 28:19 44:7 59:3 74:13,16
75:9,11 76:22 78:11 94:1 140:8
articles 29:9,22,25 30:4 31:4,13,15 58:24,25 60:3
113:11 118:14 article's 75:14 Asa 180:3,12
asbestos 2:19 7:7 12:19,21,23 13:1,24
14:4,7,9,10,14,15 14:16 15:6,9,19 16:3 17:20,21 18:6
18:16 21:21 23:11 27:5,15,17 28:3,4 28:10,20,25 29:1,8
29:14 30:5 31:9,17 31:19 32:5,9 33:4,5
34:3,3,8,11,14,17 34:23 35:13,15,21 35:22 36:1 37:3,4,6
37:9,10,21 38:5,7 38:14,15,16 45:5 46:9 50:15,16 54:12
59:1 61:4 75:11 76:9,15,21 77:7,18 78:12,16 79:23 80:2
80:7 93:5,14 94:21 95:6 96:1,8 100:4
101:25 102:3 109:12,25 111:13 112:2,18,23 113:2
113:13,16 114:4,20 115:3,8,15,23 116:1 118:4,22,25 119:4,8
113:3,8,13,23 110:1 118:4,22,25 119:4,8 119:16,20 120:7,22 121:12,14,19 122:3 122:4,9,13,19 123:5
123:6,12 124:13,17 125:10 126:17
127:5,14 128:15,24 129:3,10,12,13,16 129:17 130:7
134:12,24 137:6 138:4,5 148:9,18 155:10,15 157:11
157:13 158:5,8,13 159:10,14,19 160:9 160:23 163:11,14

165:17,19 166:3 167:3 168:10,15,18 168:22 169:2,7,11 169:16,20,25 170:22,24 172:2 174:21,23,25 180:10 181:10,24 182:4 188:15,22,24 189:13,23 190:2,6,8 191:8 195:14,15,25 196:2,11,12,14 200:2 203:2,5,20 204:11,17,23 205:3 205:12,22 209:23 213:1 asbestosis 35:7
asbestos-containing
14:18 29:6
asbestos-contamin
126:12 asbestos-related 26:9 26:11 32:25 35:5 59:23 ash 79:25
aside 89:10 161:17
asked 36:8 42:16 65:9 69:15 70:10 76:17 83:3 122:16 162:21 185:6 207:23 208:16 asking 27:8 34:5 128:14
asks 51:8 aspect 123:3 151:13
aspects 16:24 30:24 32:9 70:12,13 123:2 assess 156:15 191:8 assessing 156:18
assessment 68:7
133:25
assist 193:18
assistant 4:23 202:9 associated 14:16
34:23 54:11 113:1 133:8 146:3 160:13 169:9 176:17 195:18
Association 30:11,12
assume 36:8 136:11
186:2 206:23,24
assumed 185:19

123:20
assuming 138:11
assumptions 39:24
153:14
assure 13:16
Atkinson's 118:11
120:1
atmosphere 91:25
92:1,4 93:15 205:22
atmospheric 90:13
91:5,8,11
ATSDR 51:16,25
95:10
ATSDR's 51:18
attempt 154:6 174:7
187:10 190:18
196:19
attempted 207:5
attic 14:21,25 15:1,3
17:16,24 18:8,10
121:24 159:13
160:16,20 161:1
162:3
attics 17:16
attorney 2:5,13,22
3:6,16 4:6,15 69:13
attribute 181:21,22
attribute 181.21,22
audible 9:9
auditing 68:5
authored 22:15
authoritative 111:11
authors 75:3 85:18
131:16 199:10
automobile 92:19
93:9
available 22:17 39:5
112:12 113:14
153:17 211:6,15
Avenue 1:22 4:8 6:20
average 47:23 144:10
144:19 151:5 165:9
201:11,23 202:4
averaged 47:25
averages 201:13
avoid 9:14
awarded 11:6
aware 18:4,13 23:15
23:17 34:7,21 39:5
46:7 52:14 57:16,20
57:24 58:1,5 79:16
82:12,16 93:7 96:14

```
123:11 124:12,15
  128:10,12 129:11
  131:1 132:10 134:1
  155:3,9,14 170:7,17
awhile 44:8 45:20
A-L-A-N 7:11
a.m 1:25
         В
B 3:5 5:14 52:8
BA 67:16
bachelor's 10:20
back 7:10 11:11.14
  11:15,17 28:21 31:7
  33:7 38:14 40:13
  49:10,20 59:7 124:5
  127:12 139:8
  154:18 163:16
  171:14 172:11
  173:8 175:15
  177:22 187:23
  188:20 194:23
  197:8 205:1,6
background 10:18,19
  26:17 88:21 108:10
BAILOR 2:21
bankruptcy 1:1 8:9
bargain 194:11
bark 23:23 75:11,12
  165:25 166:3,3,11
  166:17,21 167:10
  167:11,20,22
  172:13,17,20
  173:22,24 174:5,12
  175:7,24 177:2,15
  177:18.24 178:12
  179:4,5,19 180:14
  180:24 181:5,20
  182:21,23 183:13
  183:14,18,25 184:4
  185:9 188:6 195:15
  196:6 199:19,22
  200:2 211:10,17
barks 174:21,23
barrier 115:9
based 27:23 35:18
  37:25 39:12 40:24
  41:3 51:7 58:15
  60:19 61:2 62:22
 83:7,20 85:22 89:8
  94:5,15 126:22
```

102:6 111:10

```
136:16 138:18
  142:24 145:5 171:6
  177:4 185:15
  205:23 207:7
bases 167:21
basic 60:10 89:18
basically 11:17 15:15
  16:21 21:20 40:22
  54:5 65:8 76:20
  79:13 82:25 86:12
  118:1 133:12
  159:24 166:18,19
  167:25 173:7
  183:16 186:8,13
  187:1 193:16
  198:20 203:21,23
  207:12
basis 30:9 43:5,8
  48:24 59:25 60:1,5
  84:23 91:13,15
  118:9,10 119:23
  200:8
batch 171:16
Baylor 7:6,6
bearing 20:17
began 18:25 19:10
  125:21 167:20
beginning 153:8
begins 129:10
begun 70:25
behalf 18:24 75:23
  76:1,9 81:6 85:9
behaved 116:2
  156:21
believe 11:6,13 12:8
  15:14 16:15 20:4,24
  21:24 22:1 25:3
  26:14 30:16 39:23
  42:20,25 46:6 47:19
  50:14 52:2,23 53:5
  53:20 55:16,18 56:8
  57:3,23 60:4,7
  68:25 69:3,3 70:3,8
  70:10,14 71:16,25
  73:12 75:21 76:7
  80:3 83:24 84:9
  86:22 87:1,24 88:4
  92:25 93:11 94:19
  94:24 95:14 97:23
  98:1 100:21 101:2
  102:6 104:7 105:16
```

assumes 123:15,18

105:18 128:23

July 29, 2009

				1 490
129:1 133:18	breathing 21:12,15	carcinogenic 102:2	central 31:12 100:11	126:25
143:12,21 144:5	21:23 83:21 103:25	card 56:25 57:4,5	certain 46:12 61:20	citations 129:5
145:23 146:14	195:8,12 200:22	58:12	136:9,16 152:23	cite 95:6 111:22
151:11 157:19	Brian 2:4 7:1 8:8	carrying 18:22	156:10 178:4	114:25 115:20
158:10 159:8 162:5	brief 66:19 197:3	case 1:7 4:23 6:17	183:10 184:11	185:6
162:23 163:17	briefly 12:10 48:18	20:18 23:1,5 36:21	189:12 190:1	cited 93:24 128:3,4
170:15 184:24	49:5,7 70:6	60:19,23 63:20 64:1	certainly 12:25 29:6	140:9
187:7,19 193:8,14	Brienne 78:7	75:16 76:1,6 81:12	31:14 32:3 36:19	cities 159:17
197:8 198:5 199:7	broad 26:24	81:24 82:14 83:10	55:1 60:8 63:12	citing 102:5 103:18
200:10 204:5 207:8	broadening 29:1	86:1 87:25 105:10	72:5 86:23 90:25	126:8 148:24
210:17 211:7	broken 173:9,9	105:15 158:7 160:8	91:7 107:18 108:6	Civil 48:17
benefit 9:15	203:10	175:13 182:16	121:13 122:23	CLAIMAINTS 2:11
Bernard 2:21 7:6	brought 162:15	192:3 205:12	123:1 129:15 140:9	claimant 65:6,23
best 76:11 118:23	213:2	206:12 212:24	145:12,14 146:13	82:11
154:15 177:19,20	brush 193:20 195:18	213:3	148:18 150:23	claimants 2:19 7:4,7
194:1 215:16	195:19	cases 17:17 39:18	157:2 161:10 174:7	64:13,19,25 65:16
bet 66:12	Building 4:17	60:20 68:10 86:24	175:12 179:18	81:7 82:12
better 92:15	buildings 115:15	133:8 147:13	182:12,15	claimant's 65:21
beyond 14:5 15:9	126:11,18	Casualty 4:12 7:16	certify 215:7	clarification 89:15
112:11 185:11	bulk 119:10 173:25	7:19	chain 191:11 194:8,9	117:13
bias 152:21,24	174:11 176:15,15	categories 19:5 45:21	194:11,13,15	clarified 155:8
big 61:9 177:15	177:9 190:19 200:1	category 54:7	200:25 205:25	clarify 26:23 27:11
194:10	bunch 194:1	cause 37:6,21 40:19	206:5,6,19 207:18	28:8 92:16 210:25
bit 12:4 19:22 75:10	burn 79:23	59:23 60:5,19 61:2	208:9	213:12
83:2 100:25 156:14	burned 78:16	61:12,22 80:14	chain-sawer 193:18	clarifying 214:10
163:5	burning 78:6 80:2	82:21,24 83:5,13	193:19,25	class 12:10,17,18,22
Black 41:21 42:13	Butte 1:23 6:2,21	84:2,21 115:14	chain-sawing 201:18	12:25 151:15,17,22
43:7 47:5,9 48:4	215:23	137:24 144:1	change 196:24	152:15
50:13 56:21,21,24	buy 189:19	215:11	changed 95:20 116:2	classes 11:10,15
56:25 57:6,16,20	bystander 116:8,10	caused 54:2 62:2	156:19	13:21,21
58:15	116:14,15,18,19,24	112:18 162:18,19	changing 145:9	classification 184:1
block 106:12,12	117:3,4,8	causer 36:23	Chapter 1:5	classifying 152:18
blown 18:16,17		causes 61:16	characterize 108:3	clean 9:10 99:12
128:24 183:11	C	causing 35:22 37:9	155:15 192:15	162:25
blows 127:19	C 4:14 103:19	38:5 138:5	characterizes 155:10	clear 9:16 12:5 19:2
board 146:18	calculated 144:11	caveat 113:5 151:18	characterizing	22:13 24:12 25:9
botanist 183:22,23	call 16:8 69:20,23	cc 47:24 103:22	156:13	41:9 45:6 48:8
bottom 150:8	120:23	134:15 135:13	check 210:20	49:24 74:12 75:10
bound 120:2	called 7:23 166:7	144:10,19 145:3	Cherin 4:7	84:5 96:11 103:15
boundaries 15:16	173:6 206:12	146:10,24 147:13	choice 149:8	107:15 116:20
Bove 4:16	213:16	147:16 148:3	chop 198:2,18	119:22 126:7
Bow 215:3,7	calling 171:22	149:11,22,23	chopped 198:4	135:12 140:22
bowl 90:9,10 91:13	cancer 35:2 36:4,17	172:23 202:7,10,16	Chris 127:5 128:21	153:22 163:23
93:2 94:5	37:2,5,7,10 102:1	202:22 205:10	Christopher 126:3,6	187:15,22 196:18
Box 2:15	Candi 6:22	206:1	chronology 69:6	202:2 206:9 207:7
Boy 21:5	Candice 6:7 215:5,21	ceiling 115:12	chrysotile 50:16	209:17
Brad 58:15	216:4	Cellarosi 4:5 7:15,15	159:6 188:21	clearance 180:20
branches 194:20	capable 94:12	cement 158:23 159:2	Chtd 2:23	clearing 25:11
break 66:15,16	capacity 192:5	center 3:18 169:15	circle 2:24 186:3	193:19
129:20,21 196:23	Caplin 2:23	centimeter 103:17,23	circumstances	clearly 62:18 102:4
breaks 45:17	captures 155:4	176:2 178:13 203:8	191:23	126:10 128:16,16
breathes 135:10	car 162:16	203:8	citation 102:3 126:23	132:7
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

July 29, 2009

				rage 4
172.11	0 44 0 10 7 7	4 4 70 01	200 15 214 7	101 2 207 12
cleavage 173:11	Committee 2:19 7:7	concentrate 78:21	209:15 214:7	191:3 207:12
clinic 56:25 57:4,5	common 95:6,8	concentration 185:10	conflict 73:19 74:4	contamination 15:17
58:12	118:25 134:23	186:1 201:21	conflicts 86:10	115:6,8 122:1
clinical 60:10	143:15	206:22	confused 67:8	127:15 188:9
clinics 60:11	communities 17:6	concentrations 45:4	confusing 28:17	206:14
close 50:23 178:20	community 90:7	201:14	conjunction 122:24	contending 170:15
clothes 18:22 207:14	94:21 95:3 100:14	concern 80:1,4,6,17	connection 68:21	content 109:21
clothing 21:7 31:1	100:17,22 102:4,7	83:1 84:3	75:16 76:5 101:25	118:25
cloud 91:4	104:4 108:19	concerned 13:2 27:12	Connolly 4:16	contents 75:12
coach 23:7 26:15	111:10 112:17	74:3 90:24 116:16	connotations 116:14	contesting 138:7
33:15 48:19 139:5,6	114:1 116:7 161:16	122:22	consider 28:14 98:8	context 53:16
coaching 26:20,22	161:18 162:12	concerning 32:5	106:11 138:6	continue 29:24 50:20
48:22 49:2 123:21	community's 109:12	63:19	143:22 157:3	73:16
COBRE 19:1,10	companies 10:17	concerns 139:18	consideration 107:18	Continued 3:1 4:1
159:23	28:4 63:14 68:4,12	concluded 129:1	considered 31:6	continues 101:16
code 97:10,15,17	68:17 97:23 98:1	concludes 196:25	60:15 86:2,3 118:5	contributing 92:18
cohort 132:21 151:6	company 96:13,22	214:20	118:22 150:12	control 31:3 72:19,21
cohorts 31:9 35:25	97:2,9,13 99:19	Conclusion 187:23	152:14 153:12	114:10,11 175:16
collect 27:9 62:16	100:15 102:12	199:8	211:1	177:13 184:17,19
179:9 186:13,16	140:23 142:13	conclusions 27:22	considering 110:14	controlled 76:21
192:10	214:22	161:15 171:8	133:24	controlling 62:17
collected 119:7	company's 97:10,15	185:13,15,23 207:1	considers 120:1	controls 72:20,20
127:11,12 140:18	compare 173:18	conditions 89:16,23	consistently 134:20	114:12
140:21,25 142:1,4,7	174:7 190:18,20	90:5,14 91:6,8	constituted 108:20	conversation 69:18
142:10,14 143:2	204:1,8	95:16,24 96:18	constitutes 63:23	conversations 47:9
144:11 166:5,6	compared 37:21	101:14 102:22	187:7	56:21 58:9,15 73:11
167:14 179:5 201:5	62:22 91:25 92:5,7	108:10 113:25	constraints 153:17	73:12
collecting 13:17	136:5 137:2 169:8	114:2 117:22	constructed 25:22	conversion 146:17,19
176:11	191:1,7	155:16	constructing 25:21	147:2,23 148:1
Columbia 3:18	compares 123:5	conduct 26:1 28:6,9	construction 25:18	153:14
column 165:15	148:2	97:11,15,17 98:9,11	25:19	convert 147:20
167:13 199:8	comparison 92:12	99:5,6,7,8,12,16	consultant 75:15	149:10
203:16,19 204:4	173:20	100:7,21 101:21	76:13	converting 146:10
206:3,5	compensate 153:5	102:12,21,25 103:4	consultation 68:3,9	147:16 149:23
columns 203:11,12	154:13	103:5 104:7,14,17	consulting 14:10,12	cooperated 106:16
combination 40:20	compensated 85:1,22	104:20,23 105:1,3,3	contact 7:10	copies 66:15 128:10
203:22	compensation 86:5	105:6,14,22 106:2,6		128:11
combining 153:13	completed 23:2 212:6	107:4,4,7,9,11,13	contacting 69:9	copper 29:5
come 43:22 95:22	completely 9:20	107:19,22,25	contain 31:8 121:23	copy 84:13 87:14
98:12 181:9 186:17	compliance 68:5	108:12,24 109:17	215:15	core 167:14,19,23
comes 40:22 48:3	complicated 127:20	156:7,15 174:13	contained 64:4	correct 8:10,17 17:17
200:14	comply 72:9	conducted 26:10 27:5	119:21 120:3 121:1	19:20,21 21:25
comfortable 89:22	component 62:8	127:22 197:15	132:25 159:6 175:8	22:15,18,19 23:16
99:6 186:22	composite 22:8	198:12	containing 115:15	23:20 24:1,8,24
coming 11:11 125:5	composite 22:3	conducting 100:4	118:4,22 129:10	27:23,24 30:15,16
126:21 181:25	30:20 36:10 51:4	170:19	contaminants 13:11	30:19 32:13,14,16
195:14,15	58:18 99:23 139:3	Conference 30:12	185:20	32:17,19,20,25 33:1
comment 37:23	comprehensive 28:7	confidence 144:1	contaminate 115:5	34:8,11,12,15,18,19
commented 71:22	28:9,15 149:20	confirmation 51:3	contaminated 78:6	34:23,24,25 35:5,6
commercial 126:11	187:10	59:14 64:10 87:21	78:16 79:23 83:19	35:7,9 36:6,14
126:18	computer 215:14	89:8 130:14 193:4	122:14 162:17	38:10 39:10,14,16
commission 215:23	concede 63:18	207:16 208:7,25	186:4 188:5 189:5	39:19 40:7,11,25
	33110000 03.10	207.10 200.7,23	100.1100.5107.5	57.17 10.7,11,23

July 29, 2009

41 4 14 42 0 25	100 1 0 00 104 67	210 0 0 11 12 212 0	10.17.10.6.10	G 4: 75.2
41:4,14 43:9,25	133:1,3,20 134:6,7	210:8,9,11,12 212:8	course 12:17 13:6,10	Curtis 75:3
44:16,17,18,20,23	134:9,23,25 135:5,8	214:12	13:14,15,16 14:3	curves 151:7
45:7,12,15,18,21,25	135:16,21,25 136:2	corrected 190:18	32:24 61:11 98:9,10	cut 53:10 198:7,8,18 199:1
46:5,6,19,22,23	136:6,13,17,20,24	CORRECTION	105:14 108:24	
47:3,11,14,18,19	137:4,8,9,13,16	216:7	152:2 180:17 193:7	cutoff 179:12
50:18 51:13,16,20	138:12,19 140:2	corrections 216:5	courses 11:19,22,24	CV 66:6,7 67:2,7,16
52:1,2,4,6,9,12,20	141:9,16 142:1,4,7	correctly 42:6 68:2	11:24,25 12:6	67:25
52:25 53:1,21 54:15	142:11,14,17,21,25	68:13 75:7 77:11	coursework 13:22	D
54:16,19,20 55:3,9	143:3,5 144:13,19	90:6,11 96:11 100:18 103:9 106:9	court 1:1,21 6:20,22	$\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ 5:1
55:12 56:18,22	145:3,5,13 146:7,11		6:25 9:15 33:7,10	Dallas 3:10
57:17 58:3,7,8,16	146:14,21,24 147:3	106:14 108:22	42:21 48:24 49:22	Damage 7:9
59:17,18,20,21 61:3	147:7,10,13,17,23	112:4 113:19	65:25 77:3 82:14	dangers 113:15
61:8 62:9,14,23,24	148:3,14 149:3,7	114:23 115:18	109:25 124:9 139:9	data 27:9 61:25 62:5
63:7,11 64:10 65:13	150:25 152:3,19,23	118:6 126:9,15	139:10 140:22	62:14 77:9 82:18
67:17,20,23 68:19	153:24 154:10,14	131:19 141:3	208:4 216:4	132:25 135:23
71:11,24 72:3,11	154:21 155:20,25	150:13 151:9,10	Courts 48:23	141:25 142:24
73:23 74:6 75:12,16	156:3,7,11,17,25	153:18 165:16,22	cover 12:24 16:24	147:20,21 149:5,15
75:23 76:10,15	157:6,9,18,20 158:2	167:17 172:17	30:24 31:2	147.20,21 149.5,13
77:14,19 78:9,13,25	158:5,8,12 159:7,14	176:18 182:25	covered 13:15 167:21	150:11,24 153:4,17
79:17,20 80:7,9,12	160:10,11,21,25	188:1,10,12 197:19	co-author 5:18	154:5,14,16 155:24
80:15,16,18 81:14	161:5,8,13 162:9,20	198:14 199:9,13	co-counsel 6:15	161:15 172:9
81:24 82:5,11 83:5	163:3,8,12,14,19,20	correlated 132:17,21	create 18:7 49:13	173:18,19 179:13
83:8,10 84:21,24	164:2,5,20 165:1,5	174:2	created 146:20	184:9 190:20,25
85:2,10,14,15,19,23	165:11,25 166:13	correlation 129:12	Creek 164:19 184:21	191:6,7,18,22
86:2,25 88:6,10,23	166:22,25 167:1,3,4	corridors 15:11	197:15 200:13 213:20	191.0,7,18,22
89:1,5,11,17,20	167:8 172:3,6,12,14	188:3 189:3		204:2 205:7,8
90:14 93:3 94:1,2	172:23 173:5,15,21	Council 29:12	crevices 182:23	204.2 203.7,8
94:16,22,24 95:7,12 95:13,17 96:1,5,8	174:17,23 175:5,13 175:14,16,19,20,21	counsel 2:1 6:6,24 26:15 49:16 74:20	183:15,17 criminal 68:22 69:16	date 102:18 127:15
96:15,20,24 97:2,11	175:14,16,19,20,21	92:6 116:13 117:12	75:16 76:13,14	216:2
97:15 98:6,16,18,20	177:3 178:1,13,16	123:24 139:7	73:10 70:13,14 Crispen 77:10	dated 66:7
99:3,9,13,22 100:23	178:19,23 179:13	207:22 213:6	criteria 64:4	day 47:25 152:2
101:3,5,11,18,21	179:20 180:5,8,14	count 22:9 103:13	critical 62:8	165:11 215:18
102:7,10,11,13,14	180:20 181:5,10,14	135:14 148:17	cross-examination	216:22
102:23 103:23	181:17 182:8	176:12 177:5	213:3	days 103:13
104:4 105:6,10,12	184:22 185:17,23	204:21,23 206:1	crow 164:16	DC 2:25 3:20 4:9
105:15,17,20	185:24 187:18	counted 134:2,5	cubic 103:16,17,22	dead 198:7
106:23 107:19	188:17 189:13,18	135:5	147:5 148:16 176:2	deal 12:18 88:8,9
109:14,18,22	189:24 190:3,7,11	counting 88:4,5	203:8	95:2 104:14,17
110:13,17 111:12	190:14,20,23 191:1	135:13 138:9 140:1	cumulative 136:19	125:20 149:15
111:23 112:7,9,10	191:9,19,25 192:21	205:16	136:24 137:16,19	dealing 28:4 120:19
112:13,14,18,23	193:1,4,10 194:21	country 157:16	138:10 151:5	147:17 149:19
113:2,7,22 114:2,17	194:24 195:2,5,6,8	158:12,16 188:7,14	191:24 192:24	156:6 190:22
115:21,24 116:7	195:10,16 196:3,6,8	188:20 189:22	current 15:18 30:5	dealt 120:20
117:2,16 119:10,13	196:11,15 199:5,18	County 21:2 169:21	31:20 93:16 110:17	death 31:16 35:24
120:14 121:15	199:19,20 200:6,23	185:1 189:10 192:5	110:20 134:15	41:15
122:5,10 125:14,19	201:1 202:10,13,16	199:18 206:19	145:15,15,17	debris 195:4
125:22 126:18	203:2,13,17,20,24	207:9,19 208:10	currently 8:14 17:8	Debtor 2:3 7:24
128:17,25 129:17	206:2,11 207:4,9,19	215:3,6	121:22 160:13	216:3
129:18 130:8,11,12	208:10,16,23 209:3	couple 9:6 41:16	162:25	Debtors 1:9 6:15,18
131:2,5 132:1,2,5	209:12,13,15,20,21	56:20 140:13	currents 115:5	214:22
132:11,18,22,25	209:23,25 210:1,3,4	144:17 164:15,16	Curriculum 5:16,17	Debtors-in-Possess
132.11,10,22,23	207.23,23 210.1,3,4	177.17 107.13,10	Carriculum 5.10,17	ZONOID III I UBBUBA
		•	:	•

July 29, 2009

				1 490
6:16	177:25 178:18,23	difficult 116:14	61:2,12,13,16,20,22	128:18
decades 108:20	180:7,13	148:17 186:10	62:2 80:15,18 82:4	doing 14:8,17 15:15
deceptive 124:3	detection 178:15	diffuse 59:8,10	82:16,21,25 83:1,5	19:4 20:9 29:4 69:4
decide 28:24	determine 15:16	direction 74:9	83:13 84:2,21 85:10	73:23 78:21 79:14
decision 96:23	19:17 62:21 75:11	directions 26:3 91:6	109:12 137:23,24	79:16 81:18,20,21
decompose 165:18	78:15 79:22 81:19	91:10 187:6	138:5 209:23	84:17,18 91:9 93:18
decrease 152:19	81:21 83:18,19	directly 114:5 117:5	diseases 34:22,23	117:5 119:12
decreasing 152:22	121:19 123:12	174:2	35:5,12 59:23	122:12 123:22
deeper 183:13	124:13 125:5 132:3	director 57:3	dispersal 23:11	124:25 139:22
defendants 68:11,16	136:16 138:5,10	disclose 80:22 81:2,5	188:22	154:15 160:14
defining 13:17 27:2	166:16 170:22	81:9,17 85:16 86:15	dispersed 15:21,22	167:20 176:12,14
degree 8:12 10:20,25	188:8 192:12	disclosed 74:5 86:4	165:19	176:14 180:22
Delaware 1:2 4:19	determining 111:12	105:20 106:2	dispersion 15:8,12,13	183:25 191:11
department 10:7,9	136:15 151:21	disclosing 85:8,11	15:19 72:22	195:10 198:21
10:10,11 24:4,5	181:24 192:14	86:10	dispute 142:17,20	Doll 29:21
depend 179:3	develop 12:13 34:8	disclosure 74:8 87:2	disputes 147:9	dotcom 7:12
dependent 132:24	37:5 82:4 191:24	discontinued 168:4	disrupted 123:7	double 208:12
depending 52:21	199:21	discuss 44:5 75:9	distance 184:11	double-negative
176:10	developed 33:3,5	79:8 89:14,15 94:20	197:17 213:24	207:22
deposited 165:21	34:11,14 156:9	118:15	distances 114:21	doubts 200:12
174:25 182:21	developing 50:17	discussed 26:8,11	117:6	downtown 103:13
188:16	62:8	27:6 31:16 51:11,12	distant 115:5	Dr 6:14 8:16 27:4
depositing 165:24	development 68:5	55:24 56:9 70:9	distinct 50:10 119:12	41:21 42:13 43:7,15
deposition 1:17 6:5	diagnose 32:24	71:7 79:9,11 135:18	121:9 173:17	43:18 45:11 47:5,9
6:14,19 8:16 66:2	diagnosis 37:24	154:25 166:24	distinction 33:3,14	48:4 50:13 55:5,16
66:21 67:3 74:23	dialogue 73:16,17	195:24	33:22	56:21,21,24,25 57:6
77:4,25 87:11 101:3	diameter 205:17,18	discusses 56:14 89:18	distinctions 160:19	57:16,20 58:15 66:5
130:2 131:10 197:1	died 135:25 136:2	108:2 112:16	distribution 64:1	66:6,21 103:19
197:5 214:21 215:9	difference 36:16	113:25	district 1:2 69:13	104:2 130:2,5,17
215:11,13 216:1,2,5	38:23 139:1	discussing 69:15	disturbed 90:9 94:4	134:9 138:15,23
depositions 36:18	differences 38:24	88:23 102:22	190:4	139:13,20 140:6
146:1 200:11	39:2,6 40:14 170:8	115:23	divide 143:18 193:16	154:20,25 155:2,3
deposits 169:6 170:1	different 11:24 12:14	discussion 12:22 43:7	divided 201:20	163:24 170:22
170:2 171:2 180:23	13:16 16:22,24	48:4 50:24 67:14	doctor 8:10 30:22	197:1,5 211:1
depth 182:22	30:24 32:8 34:3,22	111:8,19 145:24	31:23 32:2 40:18	214:21
derive 136:10 137:3,8	35:14,24,25 37:4	153:8 182:19	50:21 57:6,12,13	draw 37:24 161:15
derived 123:4 143:7	43:13 50:20 52:22	discussions 102:16 disease 32:9,25 33:4	82:22 209:20	185:13
describe 12:10 55:17 98:23 118:23 176:7	60:13 88:9 93:21 110:15 121:13	,	doctors 40:22 42:15 43:3	drawing 171:7 drive 136:6
described 211:18	126:21 143:20	33:6 34:8,11,15 36:6,13,25 37:16,17	doctor's 57:12	drive 130.0 driveways 125:6
212:25	120:21 143:20	37:20,22 38:6,10,13	document 66:2 67:3	dropped 187:2
describing 16:1,10	161:12 176:13,16	40:14,15,20 41:2,8	68:4 74:20,23 77:4	dropped 187.2 drops 202:12
17:2 92:12	177:14,15,18,18	41:12,16,22 42:1,3	77:25 87:11 104:18	dry 101:16 102:20
description 5:15	179:6,8,11,22	42:4 43:8,20 44:3,6	104:21,24 105:2	103:1,13 140:19
102:17 141:24	182:10 193:17	44:11 45:1,3 46:8	107:5,8,12,23 108:1	147:9,12
designed 191:14	199:2 204:16	46:13,15,16,22	107.5,8,12,23 108.1	Drysdale 2:23
designing 13:10	differentiate 175:3	47:11,18 48:10 50:1	115:16 117:24	ductwork 79:25
detail 75:10	195:13 196:19	50:9,18,25 53:24	131:10,22 165:14	due 127:15 134:14
detect 176:7,9 177:3	differentiated 173:4	54:2,14,18,22 55:2	167:6	150:10,19 186:23
178:4 179:18	195:25	55:15,17,19,22 56:3	documented 115:7	duly 7:24 215:10
detected 160:4	differently 35:12	56:6,13,18 58:10	documents 125:16,18	durable 165:17
170:23 175:19,23	156:14	59:4 60:6,14,19,19	125:19 127:14	duration 137:15

W.R. GRACE & CO.

TERRY M. SPEAR, Ph.D.

July 29, 2009

Page 7

191:23
dust 15:23,25 16:4,9
18:7,14 21:20 72:19
91:4 95:6,25 110:1
120:23 121:1,2,3,23
122:23 140:16,20
181:1,4,8,9 182:1
dusty 103:11
dying 138:4
D.C 2:8
\mathbf{E}
E 5:1,14
Earl 101:2
earlier 17:15 19:6
25 0 41 0 17 42 17

25:8 41:9,17 42:17 58:13.16 83:3 102:22 122:16 135:18 148:7,25 153:24 155:20 160:16 166:13 175:2 180:17 190:17 191:17 195:25 198:18 207:16 208:7 209:11 early 44:20 46:12 125:4 127:24 183:24 easiest 183:5 **easily** 186:9 easy 15:17 99:16 Eckert 4:7 education 59:19 educational 10:18,19 educator 14:6 **effect** 135:4 139:24 140:3 151:5 **effects** 55:17 112:22 113:1 effort 99:11 152:1 154:13 186:5 eight 101:16 184:16 201:15,17,20,22 eightv-six 53:2 eight-hour 47:25 201:23 either 38:25 44:23 45:20 59:17 85:15 85:17 93:14 99:20 105:5 133:24 137:23 140:24

165:3 180:1 182:10 electron 171:11 **Elementary** 180:4,13 elevated 46:3 **Eleven 53:2** elicited 122:17 Ellis 2:6 7:2 **Elm** 3:8,9 **embedded** 183:13 **employed** 151:8,14 152:12 **employees** 24:9,11 151:16 employment 10:17 **enable** 171:25 endangered 108:18 109:2,4,6,8 **ended** 181:4 **Enforcement** 141:12 engage 189:12 **engaged** 192:25 212:6 engages 190:1 engineering 72:20 **English** 208:14 enjoyable 194:13 ensure 10:1 entails 12:11,12 enterprise 97:14 entirely 178:2 185:24 entirety 52:24 87:20 envelope 50:20 **Envirnomental** 115:16 environment 74:15 165:18 environmental 54:1 54:2 67:19,22 78:8 114:25 141:25 **EPA** 73:3,5 93:17,18 95:10 115:20 118:11 119:25 124:16 125:14,16 125:18,19,24 126:1 127:10 128:22 129:5,6 162:23 164:24,25 166:16 180:20 185:4,5 186:25 187:1.9 211:1,7,11,17,18 212:4.13

187:7 **EPA-restricted** 197:16 198:13 199:5 214:12 epidemiologic 60:12 60:16,24 62:6,17 epidemiological 29:15,17 60:23 62:8 83:8 134:1 191:18 210:10 epidemiologist 59:16 135:19 210:8 epidemiology 59:20 60:4 equal 118:4 201:4 equipment 72:21 era 149:21 **erosion** 169:6 **essentially** 26:5 110:8 176:15 establish 64:17 121:25 122:24 established 113:13 134:23 201:1 establishing 60:5 61:15 84:19 131:25 192:20 **estimate** 22:2,5 143:14 151:6 192:24 estimated 103:12 estimates 131:16 150:11 151:7 152:19 153:11 estimating 143:8 153:12 estimation 146:14 estimators 153:13 et 1:7 6:18 214:22 **evaluate** 24:18,19 25:16 65:9,12 76:20 93:18 109:17 **evaluated** 64:6 78:14 111:17 evaluating 14:15 15:16 107:19 124:17 134:20 137:10 evaluation 77:7 133:25

everybody 214:16,19 everybody's 139:17 evidence 15:14 123:16,19,21 exact 22:11 137:18 211:25 exactly 70:5 180:15 183:10 examination 5:4,5,6 8:1 116:17,18 119:9 examinations 11:18 62:19 **examine** 51:24 93:5 118:25 122:9 **examined** 7:25 51:22 75:11 78:11 examining 101:13 **example** 12:18 13:12 27:21 82:2 100:20 103:5 121:3 135:24 157:22 158:1 159:4 160:6,7 161:24 169:14 179:5 exams 61:20 exchange 49:21 **exclude** 173:19 **excluded** 138:16 139:14 excluding 139:21 exclusion 140:4 excuse 92:5 101:8 107:6 122:8 141:24 144:9 157:13 197:10 213:6 executives 102:5 exercise 81:21 exhaust 92:20 93:9 exhibit 65:25 66:1,3 66:6,24 67:2,2,4,6 74:22,24 77:3,5,7 78:1,4,5,24 79:8 80:5,20,21,21,25,25 81:1,13 83:13,14 84:7 87:8,9,12,16 88:25 89:1,4 102:3 102:5 103:13 104:1 131:11,14,14 163:17 190:16 197:8 210:19 213:7 exhibits 63:13 95:7,8 158:22 159:2 existed 95:16 170:1,2

existence 192:21 **exists** 141:9 expanded 157:23 161:9,13,20,22,24 162:1.2.3.5.9.10 expanding 157:15 158:2,12 159:17,25 160:8,10 161:4 162:7 expansion 162:18 expect 41:23 54:9 135:15 204:9 206:20 experience 32:21 68:1,8 119:7 155:5 155:11 expert 5:21 40:2 50:24 63:3 68:8.15 68:18 71:11 81:3 87:5,16 93:24 103:18 104:1 148:25 166:24 209:12 211:23.24 **expertise** 40:3 94:16 132:8 133:3 **expires** 215:24 **explain** 50:6 103:15 177:19,20 179:2 183:2 exploratory 184:14 **expose** 114:4 **exposed** 31:9 34:7,10 34:14 35:14,25 37:3 37:4 40:16 47:3 50:15 76:9 80:2 82:12 94:21 131:15 143:18 151:24 158:5,8,13 159:9,10 159:14 160:9 161:7 161:19 163:6 189:12 190:2 **exposure** 13:17 14:4 14:16 17:6,25 19:17 20:11 24:7 30:18 31:17 32:21 34:2.2 34:23 35:13 37:20 38:4 45:7,12,21,25 46:4,9 47:23 48:10 50:1,9 52:4 54:2,7 59:23 60:5,18 61:1 61:16,22,25 62:1,14 62:17,22 64:6 65:12

EPA's 94:6 185:7

eventually 106:20,22

134:12

July 29, 2009

65.10.01.00.76.16	44-07-10-41-14	F-11 24-22 146-2	122.12.10.22.124.2	106.22 107.17
65:12,21,23 76:16	extent 27:12 41:14	fall 34:22 146:3	133:13,19,22 134:2	186:22 187:17
78:21 80:6,9 81:19	43:5 48:13 88:15 89:20 91:24 138:15	fallen 115:14 194:20	134:5,12,13,14,15	199:16 201:17
81:22 82:15,17 83:4	139:13,20 147:1	falling 195:1	134:18,20,21,24	202:19,20 209:19
90:25 101:25	*	falls 2:16 133:3 familiar 9:3 59:7	135:3,5,10,11,13,14	fine 89:12 98:25 99:10 114:18
112:23 113:1,13	159:16,17 179:15		135:15 136:9	
116:7,8,10,25 117:3	181:19 188:8 191:21	131:21 132:14	137:12,12 138:9,16	129:22
122:2 126:13,20		143:7,11 155:19	139:14,21,21 140:2	finish 211:15 fire 25:18,19,20,21
131:16 132:4,21,24	extrapolate 187:17	156:16 157:3	140:4 144:19 145:3	* * *
133:4,9,14 135:16	199:16 201:15 207:2	158:19,21,25	146:10 147:13,16	25:21 fires 24:22
135:20 136:5,17,18	extrapolating 186:22	168:14,24	148:2,18 149:23 150:1 160:4 165:17	firewood 5:19 19:25
136:19,20,22,23,24	201:16	familiarity 156:10 families 100:17		
137:2,7,11,13,16			165:19,25 166:11	76:24 77:20 78:6,13
138:5,6,8,9,10	eyes 73:19	family 39:2,7 100:14	167:23 172:13,16	80:2,7,12 82:15,17
140:10,15 141:2	e-mail 7:9,10	100:22 108:19	172:22,25 173:4,8	83:16 191:3 197:14
142:23 144:19	F	fan 102:20	173:13,19 174:23	198:11
145:15 149:20	f 103:22	far 25:2 26:12 45:19	174:25 175:3,19,23	firewood-harvesting
150:11,19 151:6,20	face 69:25,25	128:21 164:13	176:1,12 177:2,24	77:8 199:11
151:21 152:19	face-to-face 70:7	166:19 186:14	178:4,12,13 179:16	firm 81:20,20
153:10,12,25 154:3	facilities 145:22	188:16,16 213:22	180:7,13 182:21,22	first 7:24 9:8 13:25
154:5,23 155:5,10	facility 44:17 45:7,12	farther 186:21	183:10,12 184:13	18:16 28:6,9,19,24
155:16,24 160:20	89:16 94:22 99:12	fascinating 186:19	188:15 196:1 202:6	48:10 49:13 50:1
160:25 161:10,13	121:4 122:10,12	fast-acting 35:23	202:7,10,16,22,23	69:4,9,17,24 70:7
162:9,19 163:11	140:23 143:15	fatal 42:1,5 51:1 55:3	202:23 203:2,13,16	73:1 111:10 114:20
191:9,18,22,23,24	155:5 157:23 158:8	113:14	203:20 204:16,17	118:3 150:10 153:8
191:25 192:12,15	166:8 181:9	fatality 47:17 56:17	204:21,23,24	156:15 172:19
192:24 205:1,25	fact 19:21 39:12	Fate 5:20 78:5	205:10,11,16,19,21	180:19 203:9,12
206:11 exposures 18:7 22:21	47:22 73:20 110:14	FCR 3:3,13 4:3 7:9 7:14	206:1,2 207:13	215:10 fit 12:14 135:21
23:19 31:3 33:4,6	152:1 158:8 160:2	federal 48:17,23	fiber-per-cc 191:6 fibrosis 35:9,23 36:12	fits 147:20
34:15 41:24 50:17	179:3 192:7 198:22	140:21 141:14	37:13,14,15	five 45:21 103:12
61:7,8,12 65:6,9,15	factor 136:11 139:24	143:5	field 68:6 107:14,17	133:15,15 167:13
75:20 77:7,18 78:12	151:15	federally 118:17	Fifteen 101:12	179:6
78:14,19,25 79:20	factored 133:14	feel 27:13 28:8 81:16	Fifteenth 2:7	flagged 151:12
80:11 82:20,25	factors 153:11	186:22	fight 24:22	flat 121:13
83:13 84:19,20 86:6	facts 123:18,21	feet 18:22 91:6	figure 28:18 183:9	flies 164:17
86:24 95:3 104:4	failed 72:9	felled 198:6	197:13 213:10	floating 204:11
114:1 131:25	failure 99:18	fellowship 58:6	figures 187:23	flow 89:19,19
132:18 135:7	fair 9:11,16,17 10:1,2	felt 206:13	fill 52:1	focus 12:25 31:12
137:20 143:8,15	16:2,9 17:2 39:21	female 33:24	filter 140:20,24	38:12 47:8 61:7
147:3,6,9,12 153:14	70:21 80:3 85:12	fertilizer 157:22	144:11 177:7	62:13 68:15 79:20
153:23 159:19	88:11 95:1 101:1	fiber 36:22 115:3	final 23:2	focused 23:21 93:8
161:25 162:11	102:17 103:7	121:12,14 144:10	finalized 23:13	189:2
163:2 174:3,10,20	107:16 108:9,16	146:23 149:10,22	find 54:9 93:19 127:1	focuses 45:24 131:25
180:18 188:15	109:10 120:15	172:20 176:9 177:7	140:6 166:19 171:2	focusing 205:7
191:1,8 192:6,21	127:3 142:23 146:2	188:8 203:5 205:17	174:14 185:25	folder 76:23
195:14 206:20	154:23 160:18	fibers 5:20 75:2 78:5	186:1 188:14	foliage 195:20
207:8,18 208:9	161:16,18 164:11	79:22 83:20,22	finding 46:7 51:15	follow 138:1
209:1	165:9 174:1 178:10	103:17,22 111:12	findings 20:13,21	followed 39:24,25
exposure-response	192:15 193:5	111:13,14 112:13	24:3 37:24 45:23	46:13 69:21 140:1
151:7	199:15 205:23	114:20 115:8,8,12	46:2 138:18 140:6	following 6:10
extend 100:13	207:15 208:6	115:14,23 116:1	142:20 160:1	181:12 202:2 216:5
extended 11:16	fairly 155:24 201:9	119:4 120:4 124:17	175:10 184:25	follows 7:25 49:23
	•			

July 29, 2009

115:1,2 124:10	40:6,10 45:21	40:13,24 41:10,18	109:14 133:8	153:23
139:11 208:5	fourteen 215:15	78:17 79:24,24	156:21 157:18	guesstimates 150:12
follow-up 43:25	fourth 17:19	112:11 151:16	158:2 175:4 196:15	151:4
44:14,22 63:22	fragments 173:11	157:13,14,15	214:22 216:3	Guidance 115:16
foot 103:16 147:5	frame 103:1 133:11	163:16 171:14	Grace's 63:6 99:18	guidelines 86:9
148:16	free 27:13 28:8	180:16 184:1,12,12	100:21 101:20	Gunter 170:12 171:4
forces 143:18	friable 120:1	185:4,7 201:20	103:5 104:7,8,14,17	171:7,22
foregoing 215:9,11	front 74:17 76:22	goes 90:24 149:21	104:19,22,25	Gunter's 170:22
215:14	77:23	188:24	105:22 106:2,6,11	Guiter 5 17 0.22
forest 15:9 19:16,18	full 7:10 150:10	going 7:20 9:6,22	107:4,7,11,22,25	Н
20:8,11,16,24 21:23	function 31:18 42:2	11:10 12:13 23:6	156:6,15 168:23	H 5:14
22:12 23:13,21,24	52:12,15 55:18	26:15 28:6 33:12,15	gradients 185:10	half 186:1
23:25 24:7,9,11,14	96:23	40:21 48:16,21 49:4	graduate 13:19,21	hamsters 106:1
24:14,18,22 25:5,15	functions 90:8 91:13	66:14 67:11,12,12	gram 172:16,20	hand 25:24,25
25:20 73:23 77:17	93:2	84:2 87:10 94:9	175:24 177:2,24	215:17
82:13 86:6 166:8,21	funded 19:1,6,24	97:16 98:14 100:10	178:12 179:17,19	handed 78:4
171:17 184:25	funding 19:10,11	103:8 105:7 114:19	grant 19:1,6 84:12	handing 66:5 67:6
185:23 186:2	20:6,8	120:2 123:14 124:1	85:17 159:23	74:20 78:3 131:13
187:17 189:12,15	20.0,0	126:8 136:23	160:13	handling 191:15
200:10 202:23	G	149:14 152:4,13	great 2:16 66:13	happen 48:6
206:19 207:3,9	Gabriella 4:5 7:15	153:8 156:5 161:5	180:10	happened 156:24
209:2 212:3,5,13	gardening 125:5	164:12 166:17	greater 37:9 38:5	168:12
forestry 24:3,4 82:15	gardens 162:6	169:1 171:6,8	45:2 60:22 118:5	happens 184:12
82:17 166:13,14	garment 21:19	176:16 177:22	173:8,10 203:16	191:15
forests 24:18 70:23	gather 96:23	178:6,6 179:3,8	204:3,13	happy 28:8
189:10	general 34:20 40:19	183:15,22 185:9,10	green 195:19,20	hard 43:22 146:17
form 28:11 32:10	68:3 117:15,20	187:3,25 197:8	gross 108:20	Harlan 130:20
33:13 37:10,25	133:5,8 140:24	201:20 204:21,23	ground 122:5 195:2,4	harmful 113:13
43:12 48:11 49:1	185:1 187:17	205:6,19 207:16	grounds 28:12	Harrison 1:22 6:20
58:20 71:20 99:8	generally 23:3,10	208:3,7,17,19,23	group 52:21 88:16	Hart 75:3 77:9 78:6
123:14 148:6 152:6	25:25 27:15 32:18	209:10 210:10	177:13	86:13
207:4	109:11 118:5 191:4	214:18	groups 13:18 143:18	Hart's 84:11
formal 26:17,25	204:9	good 8:3,4 34:5 66:15	143:20	harvest 197:14,17,21
former 197:15	generated 158:20	129:20 186:12	growth 24:20	197:23 198:12,17
forming 58:22	Getman 75:6	192:19 209:8	guess 9:2 14:4 16:19	213:8,12,22 214:10
forms 23:1 35:4 37:6	getting 72:7 116:24	211:13	32:6 40:4 42:16	harvesting 5:19
37:21 38:6 110:15	180:19 193:19	Gotcha 71:6	43:13 44:14 46:25	19:25 20:2 76:24
formulating 125:9	give 30:2 60:22	Government 68:19	48:2 50:5 54:25	77:20 80:7,12 82:5
forth 11:16,17 194:24	137:12 149:12	68:24 69:10 71:11	57:10 60:13 63:8	83:16 84:7 190:17
forthcoming 150:24	185:10,22	71:18 72:25 74:1,4	65:10,18,22 76:2	197:14 199:4
Forty-eight 142:6	given 49:8 60:25 65:9	74:5,10 75:16 76:13	84:2 90:20 91:11	hate 120:21
forward 23:6	127:11 136:9	81:3 86:20 131:8	92:15 114:16	haul 198:19
found 40:11 53:20	139:22 149:9,19	Governmental 30:12	117:10 118:23	hauled 162:14 188:4
72:14 83:22 109:25	151:14 153:4	Grace 1:7 6:18 7:2	126:1 136:14 148:7	189:4
162:23 166:11	165:11 166:3 171:2	8:9 63:13 72:9,14	150:9 156:22	hauling 209:1
169:20 170:4	174:5,11 177:25	75:19 76:14 96:12	164:15 167:13	hazard 28:3 68:7
171:15 185:8 187:1	191:24 198:20	96:19 98:4,9,16	169:22 180:25	103:25 118:6
190:14 201:19	199:22 200:1	99:3 100:13,15	182:2 184:18	120:10 137:8
206:7 212:11	213:25	101:4,14,15,24	187:13,24 189:2	hazards 72:16 97:10
foundation 64:15	gives 97:5	101:4,14,13,24	190:8 192:1,11	97:14 111:19 125:9
149:13 159:3	giving 51:23	102.3,0,9,13 103.11	210:25 211:24	156:21
four 17:25 18:4 19:5	go 9:6 18:6 32:6	105.20 105.9	guesstimate 151:12	head 9:10 10:7
1001 17.23 10.4 17.3	50 7.0 10.0 32.0	100.10 100.10	guessimate 131.12	110uu 7.10 10./
	•	•	•	•
11 ~		07.50		106 101 0000

July 29, 2009

i				
182:17 193:15 histo	ories 32:22	hygienist's 62:2,4,13	211:10	56:2,6,17 105:6
	ory 109:11	62:25	included 52:3 128:7	129:2
	1:25	hypothesis 174:24	193:9	information 7:10
	1.23	hypothesis 174.24 hypothetical 36:9	includes 17:23	29:12,14 42:15 47:5
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	an 75:4	nypoincucai 30.7	including 59:1	52:3 61:14,17,21
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	e 14:24 18:12	I	106:12 135:3 206:2	62:1 65:10,11 81:17
II	:7,22 121:8 122:4	idea 29:25 144:6	incorrectly 48:15	88:21 96:24 97:6,8
	9:13	192:14	119:10	132:4 136:4 191:22
	es 14:19,20	identification 66:3	increase 127:14	informed 43:19
	:17,24 18:6	67:4 74:24 77:5	135:4 152:19	44:25 56:21 58:10
II	1:23 122:18	78:1 87:12 131:11	increases 134:19	73:22,25 84:16
,	6:11,18 160:14	identified 38:19 43:7	increasing 138:17	102:2 105:5 110:11
	est 212:16	83:13 127:4 156:6	152:22	informing 72:15 97:9
		196:5	indicate 126:10	97:13 99:20 100:2
II	estly 9:20	identifies 174:22		
1 -	97:25 165:12	identify 38:3 41:19	indicates 199:3	informs 23:3,4,10
1 -	efully 9:14	44:2 54:7 88:13	indicating 103:25	24:6 32:5 56:12
l -	4:11,19		150:18	59:3 87:24 93:1
	zontally 103:2	180:23 182:7 188:22	individual 22:9 65:6	127:4,16
1 -	oital 58:2 169:15		65:20 82:11 192:25	inhalant 110:1
	oitals 58:2	identifying 150:1	individually 99:1	inhibit 9:19
0	71:16 85:5	II 140:24 141:24,24	individuals 33:3,5,23	initial 19:24 20:24
	8:25 201:10	142:15	46:3 51:22 52:20	125:3 137:11
	ly 85:4	III 141:23 144:10,23	73:2,5 75:18 76:9	167:15
	rs 115:13 141:1	illness 68:10	82:4 85:9 110:12	initially 73:13
1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1:15,17,20,22	illustrates 160:7	144:6 192:4	injury 7:14 68:10
	e 122:6 127:19	ill-advised 190:18	individual's 207:17	75:19 86:24
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	an 15:24 17:22	imagine 183:14	208:8,25	inside 90:24 167:25
	:21 74:15 83:24	impact 23:24 24:2	indoor 78:13 127:11	199:4
<u> </u>	:9 94:4	47:21 55:1 138:17	127:12,14,17	insofar 134:5
	dred 8:24 53:2	151:19 152:17,17	128:22,23	instance 147:19
	5:15	152:22 184:9	industrial 8:13 10:11	instances 149:1
	dreds 113:11	201:16	11:1,2 29:12 30:11	Institute 131:4
164:19 165:7		impacts 23:18 46:8	30:12,15 40:25 41:3	instrument 13:14
	4:16	impinger 140:18	41:6 42:12 60:16	insulation 14:21 15:1
"	ene 8:13 10:11	implies 48:12	61:5,6,10,11,24	15:2,2,3 17:16,24
	:1,2 29:13 30:11	imply 30:14	62:2,4,7,11,13,18	18:8,10 121:24
	:12,15 31:5 60:17	importance 202:19	62:21,25 68:6 72:2	126:11 159:3,3,13
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	:6 72:2,10 77:14	important 31:7 46:2	72:9 90:21,23 96:4	160:16,20 161:1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	:4,12 100:11	57:11 60:16 61:15	96:12 100:11	162:3
*	7:14,17 108:21	97:1 200:15 202:22	107:14,17 108:21	insurance 63:4,7,9,14
	9:3 113:12,17	imprecision 147:22	109:3 113:6,12,16	63:19,23 68:11,17
	7:16,20 134:24	impression 49:13	113:17 117:15,20	intend 42:8 51:2
*	3:16	improper 27:3 48:15	118:24 120:17	59:13 64:9 65:2,5
	enist 40:25 41:3	49:1,16 58:18,19	121:4 122:7,9,12,21	65:20 87:20 88:14
I	:6 42:12 61:5,6	99:24 100:21	131:18 133:21	89:7 130:13 192:3
114:2,11,15 117:22 61:	:24 62:7,11 90:23	101:21 103:5 139:2	134:23 135:20	193:3 209:14,22
118:2 149:16,25	8:24 120:17	139:2	143:16	210:2 212:23
150:2 154:13	2:21 133:21	inactions 99:3	industry 68:4,11,17	intended 26:16 194:4
historically 17:9	5:20	inadequate 104:9	inferred 128:23	intensity 161:12
86:16 95:23,24,25 hygi e	enists 61:10,11	include 32:15 35:7	inform 22:20 48:24	interest 73:19 74:4
	:18,21 90:21	128:9 137:11	53:23 54:13,17,21	86:10
	3:6,16 122:7,9	172:25 173:11,13	54:22 55:14,20,21	interested 69:15
	•			

W.R. GRACE & CO.

TERRY M. SPEAR, Ph.D.

July 29, 2009

Page 11

113:15 186:17
interfere 33:16 92:6
intermittently 151:17 International 74:14
International 74:14
interpretation
152:16
interrupt 53:10
114:7 116:16
intersection 164:19
184:21 213:19
interstitial 37:16,20
37:22 38:6
introduce 6:24 8:5
inversions 91:16
involve 91:5 198:24
involved 14:15 17:10
17:11 20:6 44:3
47:11,17 56:17
80:12 82:14,15
86:23 90:25 120:13
120:22 121:22
147:21 157:6
involving 13:10
16:17 36:17 68:8
76:14 86:24 97:14
155:6
in-house 17:11
in-town 145:22
irrespective 203:20
irresponsibility 98:8
irresponsible 99:12
irresponsibly 98:1,5
issue 47:20 69:5
110:24 118:15
125:11 146:10
151:12
issued 19:7 211:18
issues 9:7 28:5 29:7
30:15,19 43:1 50:25
51:2 63:4,19 64:10
68:6 98:23
item 17:20 127:4
IV 144:9
J
J

J Jackson 200:13 James 75:6 78:7 Jankovic 131:17 Jeffrey 4:14 7:18 JFK 6:17 Jim 177:19 JKF 1:7

job 61:9 93:18 117:6 122:13 151:14.17 151:22 152:12,14 191:1,2 194:1,18 **iobs** 19:18 **John** 4:24 6:23 **John's** 58:2,2 169:15 **Jointly** 1:8 journal 30:11,13 60:2 74:14 85:14,16 86:5 131:18 journals 81:2,5,9,17 85:15 170:6 **Julie** 75:3 77:9 78:6 84:11 86:13 **July** 1:24 6:2,19 214:23 216:2 **June** 5:17 67:7 jurisdiction 145:23

K

146:4

Kate 7:13 KATHLEEN 3:15 keep 9:10,15 48:16 72:6 84:16 99:12 205:16 **keeping** 73:13 **Kelly** 77:10 Key 153:11 **kicked** 17:21 kicking 15:25 16:4 195:4 kilometers 197:18 213:25 **kind** 18:15 24:16,21 29:9 32:6 40:23 44:15 57:5,12 74:15 88:12,13,15 96:18 117:10 136:14 159:22 166:16 168:18 177:22 184:2 190:13,25 203:21 205:21 **Kirkland** 2:6 7:1 knew 83:19 86:19,21 86:23 87:1 101:14 101:24 109:5 199:19 206:22 207:1 know 8:20 9:7,25

13:15 14:3 22:5

23:10 26:16 30:25 33:14.18 34:20 40:18 42:10,10,22 42:23 43:2 44:4,8 44:12 46:11 47:12 47:22 49:11 50:23 53:15 54:5,24 56:9 56:19 57:7,9 63:8 63:10,14 65:17,23 69:21 70:14 73:21 78:14 83:21 84:1 86:18,21 87:3 89:18 91:4,6,8,9,19 92:23 93:18,19 95:9,19,20 97:18 98:10 99:11 99:15,21 100:3,9 101:2 102:24 105:3 107:13 109:9 114:3 116:6 120:18,21,23 126:2,9 127:20 128:4 130:22 132:4 133:7,23 134:22 137:23 139:17 144:3,20 145:8 146:17 148:22 150:14 152:15,24 154:18 158:22 159:1,1 161:14 162:10 166:16 168:9,10 169:15,18 171:3,5,10 174:8 178:2,5,9 179:3,23 183:8,20 184:2,4,13 184:15 185:25 186:12 187:13,25 188:18,22 191:14 192:18 193:13 194:17 195:18,20 199:20 200:15 202:2,23 203:10 204:25 205:12,15 206:17,25 211:14 211:17,20,24 212:2 212:10,12,13,16,17 212:20,22 213:15 **knowing** 49:14 166:2 knowingly 108:18 109:2,4,5,8 **knowledge** 28:3 39:8

125:15 128:14 182:9 known 96:19 98:16 99:19 100:4 109:18 110:1 114:20 knows 96:22 99:20 Koocanusa 26:5 185:9 Kootenai 213:17 Kovacich 2:14 **Kris** 69:11,12 76:19 79:3,6,9,11 84:13 109:7 L L 2:12 6:7 215:5,21 216:4 **lab** 111:18 173:7 176:10 179:7 lack 64:15 72:15,19 72:21 150:10,19 **lacking** 72:14 Lake 26:5 185:9 language 189:2 **larch** 166:9 large 102:20 142:24 143:1 147:17 192:9 **larger** 171:3 183:11 late 11:14 31:7 70:25 205:8 laterally 115:4 law 2:5,13,22 3:6,7 3:16 4:6,15 7:11 81:20,20 215:11 lawns 162:6 lawsuits 75:19 lawyer 42:23 49:17 lav 183:2 lead 35:24 83:1 126:13 **leaks** 162:16,18,19 163:7 learn 99:22 156:23 learning 113:15 leave 11:13 leaves 50:4 leaving 72:6 **led** 40:23 **left** 196:22 199:8 **left-hand** 165:15 **legal** 116:14 **length** 133:13,19

134:2,25 136:18,19 136:23 173:9.14 203:10,14,15,15,16 203:20 **let's** 12:5,9 14:11,12 17:6 23:23,24 26:2 27:11 28:18,18 32:6 34:13 36:2 37:12,13 38:12 40:13 47:8 64:17 66:16,24 83:2 88:2 89:21 94:18 96:3 104:6 124:5,6 124:23 129:21 135:13 137:12 158:24 169:15 173:18 200:16 204:10 level 46:4 61:15 83:23 84:1,1,2 118:17 129:16,17 134:16,16 136:9,9 137:20 176:6 178:19 181:7 185:20 191:9,11,11 199:23 levels 30:19 45:7,12 47:24 59:22 60:5,18 61:2,12 62:22 83:4 115:6 118:4 120:22 121:1,1 126:20 134:18 136:17,22 199:19 **Lewis** 2:12,14 5:5 7:3 7:3 22:25 25:1 26:15,22 28:11 30:20 31:22 32:10 33:7,12 36:7 48:11 48:21 49:7,15,21 51:4 53:9 58:17 63:18 64:15 66:14 85:11,13 87:9,13 92:10 97:16 99:23 101:5,7 110:19 111:1,4,13,15 116:13,23 117:12 123:14,24 129:20 138:20,25 139:6 149:12 152:4 194:7 194:10 197:10.12 207:22 208:2,13,16 209:7,9 210:5,15,18 210:20 213:6,7

109:15,23 112:22

105:4,5,7,24,25

106:3 108:7,9

July 29, 2009

214:6,17	163:14,18,19 164:2	litigation 68:10	122:14 123:1 126:3	lung 35:2 36:4,17
liability 68:10 116:15	165:19 168:22	little 19:21 75:10	128:22 132:13	37:2,5,7,9 40:19
Libby 2:11 5:20 7:3	169:15 170:8,10	100:25 115:20	134:12 144:17	50:20 54:11 101:25
14:17 15:6,10,10	176:23 178:11	116:4,15 153:16	147:25 150:8	L-A-W 7:12
17:6 19:4 22:15,21	180:4 188:4,13,16	156:14 163:5	171:14 183:4,5,9,10	L-A-W 1.12
22:22 23:10,11,19	189:4 190:14 192:9	183:15	183:13 184:1	
23:25 24:7 27:9,14	196:1,4 197:16	live 88:20 198:7,8	191:15 200:16	M 1:17 5:3 6:1,5 7:22
33:4,6 34:2,10,11	198:12,13 209:2	LLC 4:7	looked 29:22 30:4	77:10 215:10 216:1
34:18 35:13,15,18	210:3	LLP 2:6 3:17 4:16	45:19 52:23 55:7	216:25
35:22 36:19,21,25	liberate 83:20	LO 152:13	57:10,18 92:11,16	machine 215:13
37:3,9,20 38:5,14	liberated 83:22,23	load 16:25 124:2	92:21 93:12,13,16	machines 15:23
38:15,16 39:9,14,22	lies 90:7	loading 16:7,14,16,18	132:16,20 133:18	madam 65:25 77:3
40:5,9,11,16,22	likelihood 37:9 38:5	16:19,20 17:1,23	143:9 158:22	139:9
41:2,8,13,16,24	limit 145:15 198:21	locales 188:9	165:24 171:3,5,13	magnification 183:12
42:3,13 43:3,8,20	limitations 150:24	located 103:2 169:12	175:7 196:4 209:18	204:13
45:1,3 46:9 47:1,3	154:13,24	169:13 182:1,22	looking 15:8 52:22	main 12:3 72:23
47:11,18 48:9 50:1	limited 120:21 206:7	213:16	54:10 75:1 77:16	79:21
50:11,25 51:19	207:10	location 143:8,13,14	78:18,24,24 89:13	maintenance 24:17
53:24 54:2,15,18,22	limiting 110:23 111:5	143:19,25 144:12	95:22 103:8 110:14	25:10
55:2,15,23 56:3,7	111:7	164:4,6,10,13,18,22	120:22 121:1 123:3	major 208:14
56:13,18 57:1 58:10	limits 145:11 176:9	165:3,6,7,10 167:8	126:20 129:8	majority 39:9
58:23 59:1,4 64:13	Lincoln 21:2 169:21	167:9 172:14,19	133:12 134:13	making 62:15 99:11
64:18,25 65:6,16	185:1 189:10 192:5	175:15,21 176:5,5	136:9 137:18 138:8	154:12 196:18
70:20,23 71:24	199:18 206:18	175.13,21 176.3,3	144:21,25 151:14	male 33:23
70.20,23 71.24 72:16 73:20 75:2	207:9,19 208:10	178:10,16,22,22	160:16 163:17	man 48:20
76:1,10 77:8 78:5	line 7:5,8 25:18,19,21	178.10,10,22,22	165:15 166:25	manager 103:11
79:15 81:6,25 82:4	48:17 150:10	197:13,21 213:8,12	167:6 172:11,18,19	mandated 118:17
82:11,12,20 83:25	175:21 214:15	213:22 214:11	174:12 175:15	manifest 35:12
84:17,18,21 85:10	216:7	locations 60:20	183:25 195:20	manifestation 50:11
86:24 89:23 90:7,14	lines 16:17,18 102:25	103:21 143:20	203:5 204:16 206:3	manifested 40:15
91:16,25 92:4,19	166:17 167:13	144:3,7 167:7,15	206:4 209:5 213:9	manifesting 41:22
93:15 98:6 100:13	187:3	180:17 188:4 189:4	looks 92:17 102:15	manner 9:9 92:4,7
100:17,22 101:14	list 17:20 68:16	197:17	172:11	manpower 186:25
102:16 103:10,13	126:23 193:12	Lockey 43:14,17	loose 117:10 120:4	manufacturing
102:10 103:10,13	listed 85:18 95:9	44:15,19 46:10	loosely 184:13	157:23
106:10 108:11,20	118:12 128:21	51:12 110:13	LOs 151:4	map 163:18 185:8
109:21 111:13,14	literally 193:10	Lockey/Rohs 44:19	lost 74:2	211:11,14,18 212:4
112:2,13 114:15	literature 27:16,18	Lodge 4:16	lot 12:23 18:5 31:15	212:10,14,24 213:9
117:18,22 118:4	27:19,25 28:2,10,25	logging 192:5,9 200:5	91:15 98:15 144:4	March 115:17
119:21 120:1,6,13	29:2,15,17 30:6	200:13	156:6 166:17 170:5	mark 65:24,25 74:21
120:25 122:19	32:3,4 34:21 35:19	logic 181:12	171:1 183:15	77:2 87:7 129:14
123:9 124:16 125:1	36:20 38:1,3,4	long 10:12 33:8 36:22	lots 202:23	marked 66:2,5 67:3,6
125:1,4,10,21	39:12 41:20 42:13	38:21 88:2 114:21	loud 100:10	67:10 74:23 77:4,25
129:10 130:7	43:3,6,11 47:9	134:13,13 135:10	Lovick's 101:3	78:3,4 87:11 131:10
133:11 138:18	50:13 60:2 61:3,5	136:12 183:10	low 39:13 119:22,22	131:13 210:16
139:24 155:5,11,16	83:8 92:3,12,14,16	192:1 201:6	lower 45:3,25 134:18	market 108:14
156:10,16,21,25	92:21 93:13 96:5,7	longer 14:9 101:1	137:20 138:11	Maryland 4:12 7:16
157:5 158:20	96:12 100:3,12	134:2,5,25 135:14	177:12	7:18
159:10,14,19,20	112:12 113:5,7,13	141:8 160:5 173:5	lowest 46:4 84:1	Marysville 44:17
160:8,12 161:16,18	113:17,18 119:5	look 14:11 29:7 30:18	176:6,9	45:7,12 47:2 158:7
161:19,25 162:12	120:5 134:24 149:6	44:8 47:14 87:4	lumber 82:5	master's 10:25 67:19
162:14,20 163:3,6	154:18 155:4	94:18 104:6 122:8	lunch 129:25	material 39:14 72:22
,,,,,,,				
Mardhagan Cour	t Poportina	ON@Prognan not		106 101 2002

July 29, 2009

109:5 114:5 115:10	149:10 153:5 183:3	membrane 140:20	205:20	170:2
117:5 119:10 120:2	183:4 191:14 201:3	144:11	microscopy 171:11	mineralogical 109:21
120:3 126:13	meant 91:22 163:10	memoranda 128:3,7	mid 76:3,8	170:8
162:14 177:9,9	163:11,25	128:11,17,22	middle 110:4 150:9	mineralogist 38:25
193:21	measure 152:25	memorandum 126:4	178:11	39:3 112:7
materials 14:18 29:7	measured 148:24	126:6 127:6	midget 140:18	mineralogy 40:3
72:6,16 89:19 91:1	205:4	memorandums	mile 214:3	112:13 170:5
113:14 115:15	measurement 24:18	127:25	miles 164:15,16	Miners 5:22 131:15
118:2 128:7	25:13 78:21 133:4	memory 76:11	186:1,23	mines 188:21
matrix 148:2,5 149:9	135:16 137:13	memos 63:13	mill 70:20 95:16	mining 68:4 71:23
149:22	142:10 207:4	men 101:16	101:16 102:20	89:16 94:22 98:5
matter 32:5 81:10	measurements 95:11	mention 55:5 96:10	103:1 140:17,19	155:11,16 156:11
200:9 214:21	95:12 103:20	106:25	144:18,25 146:2,7	156:16,25 170:9,23
McDonald 110:12	119:13 133:9	mentioned 14:2	147:10,12	172:1 181:9 196:1
154:20	140:15 144:18	19:15 25:8 32:12	Millers 131:15	196:15
McDonald's 155:3,8	147:21 148:2,21,23	41:8,12,15 43:18	milliliter 202:6	mining/milling 175:5
McLean 69:11,12,25	174:11,11 191:5,6,6	51:12 56:20 58:16	millimeter 177:7	Minnesota 11:1,3,5
70:7 71:19 72:25	193:6 195:8,10,13	74:3 93:8 98:25	milling 71:23 89:16	11:11,14,16 67:23
73:8,16,22 76:20	200:1 203:6 204:2	113:6 155:20	94:22 98:6 155:11	minutes 141:1 196:22
79:3,6,9,12 84:14	205:2,3 207:3	166:13 200:4	155:17 156:11,17	198:25,25
109:8	measures 203:12,16	merely 174:22	170:23 171:19	misinterpret 27:12
mean 12:25 13:12	203:19	MESA 140:21,22	172:1 181:9 196:15	misleading 139:2
26:24 27:2,19 28:14	measuring 149:22	141:8,11,19 142:4	million 103:16 147:4	misnomer 168:18
28:17 30:3,23,24	media 122:22,25	mesothelioma 34:25	148:15 172:16,22	Mississippi 34:14
31:2,14 32:4 33:14	125:7 174:4,5,15,15	36:4,17,23 37:1,12	175:23 176:1,19,24	50:16
33:22 42:11 43:22	190:5 206:22	55:12	177:1,24 178:11,12	Missoula 10:22 69:13
50:6 54:5 63:8,12	medical 31:23 32:2,3	met 130:24,25	178:16 179:16,19	misstate 41:14
65:1,2,8 71:7 72:5	32:13 34:21 35:19	meter 115:12	mind 28:2 43:22	misstates 110:21
78:17 83:18 91:4,7	36:19 37:23,24 38:1	meters 115:7 method 18:19 134:15	46:11 82:3 97:2 101:21 102:9 103:3	123:15 mistaken 152:1
91:16 92:6,16 94:9 95:8,19 97:18 98:10	38:3 40:18 41:5		205:16	172:12
99:2,6 109:4 116:17	42:11,13 43:2,6 50:13,21,24 51:18	140:1 143:21,22 148:18 149:23	mine 15:9,24 16:5,21	misunderstood 13:9
117:3,4,8 120:21	51:25 58:14 60:2	150:3 166:16,18	17:21,22 18:17	mix 40:6
121:21 122:11	61:19,20,25 62:19	171:25 176:11	19:18 26:3,4,5 44:9	mixed 46:11 204:15
128:8 134:11	64:3 99:8 104:9	178:3 199:21	70:20 75:20 84:11	204:17
146:17 148:22	109:12 111:9	methods 30:19	95:16 117:23 125:1	mixture 38:16
149:12 154:3 160:3	112:17 113:5,12	Meyer 78:7	133:10,10 140:17	moment 124:6
161:14 163:23	209:19,20,22	microbiology 10:20	141:12,22 145:20	136:12 138:8
169:13,14,24 172:4	medication 9:18	67:17	145:21 146:3 155:6	200:16
173:22 177:19,22	medicine 31:19 32:18	micrograph 183:11	163:21 164:5,7,8,11	Monokote 159:1
179:5,22 181:13	58:6,7 96:12 113:18	micrometers 133:13	164:13 165:19	Monokote-3 159:4,9
182:4 183:8 184:1,3	131:18	133:15,17,22 134:6	166:6,10,18,20	Montana 1:23 2:16
184:11 186:24	Meeker 39:23 40:1	173:8 205:18	167:15 169:9	6:2,8,21 8:15,15
189:15 191:4	110:24 111:22	microns 133:15,19	170:17 171:20,21	10:3,4,13,21 11:8
195:19 197:24	171:2	134:2,25 135:3,14	171:22,23 180:12	11:11,16,19 13:23
198:5 201:21 202:3	meet 69:24 72:24	138:16 139:22	180:16 185:9,11,18	14:2 19:1,7,11 33:4
202:15 203:4,12	73:7	140:5 173:1,5,14,20	185:21 186:2,7,14	33:6 34:18 40:11
204:3,3,9 212:2,4	meeting 69:22,25	203:13,16 204:4	186:21,23 187:4,12	73:2 75:2 77:8
212:12,19	70:7 73:1	206:2	188:17 206:13,14	109:25 122:15
meaning 100:3	Mellott 4:7	Microns/micromet	206:17,20 213:1,13	140:19 142:11
202:19	members 100:14,22	133:16	214:11	143:3 197:16
means 103:15 111:18	102:4 108:19,19	microscope 183:14	mineral 39:1,7 170:1	198:13 215:1,7,23
				<u> </u>

W.R. GRACE & CO.

TERRY M. SPEAR, Ph.D.

July 29, 2009

Page 14

215.22	notural 100,10 160,6
215:23 morbidity 47:13	natural 108:10 169:6 naturally 168:15,19
131:14 132:11,14	169:2,7,11,16,20
137:23 139:23	170:11,24 172:2
Morbidity/Mortality	170.11,24 172.2
5:22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
MORGAN 4:23	196:2,10,12,13 nature 49:8 161:4
	193:4
morning 8:3,4 26:9 26:12 27:6	
mortality 31:8,16	near 167:22 188:6,21 189:17 197:15,16
43:25 44:8,9 47:14	198:13 199:12
60:15 62:22 131:14	
132:10,20,20	nearby 200:13 necessarily 96:17
135:24,24 136:5,10	102:21 111:11
137:3,25 138:3,12	143:19 152:21
137.3,23 136.3,12	
mountain 90:7	necessary 81:16 need 26:6 66:14
181:14,21,25	132:4 144:20
move 49:15 88:20	153:12 164:25
91:1 94:9 115:4,8	173:19
130:5 144:4 152:18	needed 115:11
153:7 167:12	needles 184:13
186:21 187:23	Needless 178:21
190:16 193:20	needs 49:17,17
moved 152:2 169:25	negative 168:3
movement 15:23	208:12
mover 194:3	neighborhoods
moving 91:3,4 101:24	159:25
144:6 146:9 167:5	Nemours 4:17
186:14 187:22	net 152:17
mppcf 103:15 142:9	never 58:5 79:11
146:10 147:16	82:14 130:24,25
148:2,11,21,24	149:14
149:3,10,21,23	new 67:12 144:18,25
mppcf's 149:16	175:18 176:20
MSHA 140:21,22	177:2 188:20
141:18,21 142:4	nineteen 197:11
145:7,9,17,19,22	NIOSH 29:11 43:25
MSHA's 146:4,7	106:10,17,20
Myron 75:6	118:14 120:1 131:1
M-E-S-A 141:8	131:4 138:16
	139:14,21 140:21
N	140:22 142:7
n 5:1 201:3	nodding 9:9 182:17
name 8:7,8 38:21	non 205:12
88:19 92:13 141:9	non-malignant 35:5
166:7	36:5,13
named 215:12	Noonan 75:4

```
naturally 168:15,19
  169:2,7,11,16,20
  170:11,24 172:2
  175:3 181:10.11
  196:2,10,12,13
 nature 49:8 161:4
  193:4
 ear 167:22 188:6,21
  189:17 197:15,16
  198:13 199:12
 earby 200:13
 ecessarily 96:17
  102:21 111:11
  143:19 152:21
 necessary 81:16
 eed 26:6 66:14
  132:4 144:20
  153:12 164:25
  173:19
 needed 115:11
 needles 184:13
 Needless 178:21
 needs 49:17,17
 negative 168:3
  208:12
 neighborhoods
  159:25
 Nemours 4:17
 net 152:17
 never 58:5 79:11
  82:14 130:24,25
  149:14
 new 67:12 144:18,25
  175:18 176:20
  177:2 188:20
 ineteen 197:11
 NIOSH 29:11 43:25
  106:10,17,20
  118:14 120:1 131:1
  131:4 138:16
  139:14,21 140:21
  140:22 142:7
 nodding 9:9 182:17
 on 205:12
 on-malignant 35:5
  36:5,13
 Noonan 75:4
Nordhagen 1:21 4:24
  6:7,20,22,23 215:5
  215:21 216:4
normally 41:23
```

north 4:18 164:4.10 **northeast** 26:3 164:1 200:14 **notarial** 215:18,24 **Notary** 6:8 215:6,22 **noted** 6:7 notice 6:4 **noticed** 176:4 notified 71:8 **notify** 79:13 November 125:22 nowadays 143:17 148:10 **number** 8:21 30:3 53:14,16,22 87:9 128:2 138:10 142:24 143:1 145:14 151:8,14 171:3,7 172:25 178:4 187:16 201:5 201:6 206:6,7,8 207:10 numbered 88:6 numbers 22:11 147:17 numerous 158:11 **NW** 2:7.24 **N.W** 3:19 4:8 0 object 22:25 28:11 33:12 48:11 49:1 53:9 58:19 97:16 111:4 123:14 124:4 138:20 152:4,6 **objection** 25:1 26:25 30:20 31:22 32:10 36:7 48:25,25 49:3 49:5,8,12 51:4 58:17 64:15 85:11 99:23 101:5 110:19 111:15 **objections** 23:7 48:17 49:19 64:13,16,18 64:21 observation 182:20 observing 94:12 obstruct 106:12,12 **obtained** 103:21

34:7 40:21 71:10 93:16 97:5 110:20 114:14 122:13 154:3,4 185:25 202:22 occasions 56:20 occupational 19:17 20:11 23:14,22 31:5 58:7 77:14 96:11 113:18 131:5 191:8 occur 70:2 77:17 78:12 161:25 163:2 191:1 199:17,22 occurred 60:20 153:24 158:16 159:20 162:12,16 163:2 164:18 170:10 188:15 195:14 199:4 occurring 16:20 41:22 84:21 168:15 168:19 169:2,7,11 169:16,20 170:24 172:2 174:20 175:3 181:10,12 196:2,11 196:12,14 207:8 occurs 42:4 47:20 50:25 54:14 55:22 181:13 offer 42:9,11 51:2 61:10 63:19 64:9 65:2,5,20 85:22 86:5 87:20,25 88:14 89:7 130:13,16 174:9 192:3 193:3 207:17 208:8,19,23 208:24 209:14,22 210:2,10 offered 72:1 213:2 offering 64:12 84:20 208:3 Off-the-record 67:14 **oh** 11:23 13:8 15:3 17:13 47:6 53:6 66:11,25 74:3 79:11 91:18 101:8 110:6 145:2 150:18 160:15 181:3 194:12 200:9 Ohio 44:17 47:2 158:7 okay 7:6 8:14 9:3,18

9:22 10:3,12,18,24 11:7 12:2,9 13:3,22 14:11 15:6,19 16:3 16:8,12 17:1,5,13 17:19 18:3,15,20,23 19:19,23 20:1,5,5 20:16 21:4,12,15,22 22:17,20,23 23:3 24:11 25:12,14,17 27:11,21,25 28:16 28:22,24 29:19,24 30:5 31:4,18 32:6 32:15 33:12,25 36:2 36:13,24 37:12,19 38:2,9,12 39:4,9,16 39:21 40:5,5,9,13 40:23 41:7 42:8,16 43:11,24 44:5,13,13 44:19 45:10 46:21 46:24 47:13 48:5 50:4,22 51:10,22 52:14,18 53:23 54:4 54:13,17 55:1,5,20 56:1,16,20 57:5,11 57:15,20,23,23 58:1 58:5,9,13 59:6,13 59:16 61:1,10 62:7 62:25 63:3,21,25 64:3,12,24 65:5,19 66:9,23,25 67:25 68:15 69:17,24 70:4 70:6,22 71:4,10,22 72:13,24 73:7,10,15 74:12 75:6,9,14,22 76:5,12,18 77:2,16 77:22 78:4,11,18,23 79:2,5,19 80:5,9,14 81:5,16 82:2,7,10 82:16,19 83:2,7,12 83:23 84:4,10,18 85:1,7,13,21,25 86:4,9,15,19 87:4 87:19,23 88:2,5,5 88:12,19 89:3,10,13 89:21 90:1,3,13,16 90:21 91:3,12,21,23 92:3,10,24 93:8,13 93:23 94:1,3,15,18 95:1,5,15,21,21 96:3,17 97:7,23 99:2,5,11 100:8,20 100:25 101:13,24

narrow 23:22 83:2

National 29:12 131:4

narrower 23:22

91:2

obviously 23:12 31:5

211:8

obtaining 32:21

W.R. GRACE & CO.

TERRY M. SPEAR, Ph.D.

July 29, 2009

Page 15

102:15 103:3,7 104:6,13,16 105:5 105:11,18 106:5,25 107:3,6,10,16,21,24 108:8,13,16 109:10 109:10,20 110:6,16 111:15,22 112:6,15 112:21 113:9,21,24 114:14,19 116:5,5,9 116:9,12,22 117:9 117:21 118:3,24 119:12,15,23 120:9 120:12,19 121:7,12 121:18 122:7,16 124:5,20,23 125:8 125:18,24 126:17 126:23 127:3,8,21 128:6,13,21 129:2 129:15,19 130:5,17 130:20,24 131:13 131:21,24 132:7,13 132:16 133:5,17 134:4,22 135:2,7,12 135:18,23 136:8 137:10 138:7,14 140:4,11,13 141:8 141:23 142:16,23 143:2,7,10,12,22,25 144:5,9,17 145:2 146:2,6,9 147:1,6 147:15,25 148:20 149:19 150:3,6,8,23 151:25 152:7,13,17 152:21 153:7,22 154:17 155:2,19 156:2,5 157:2,5,8 157:17 158:1,4,10
126:23 127:3,8,21
132:16 133:5,17
135:18,23 136:8
140:4,11,13 141:8
143:2,7,10,12,22,25
146:2,6,9 147:1,6
140-10 150-3 6 8 23
151:25 152:7,15,17 152:21 153:7,22 154:17 155:2 19
156:2,5 157:2,5,8
158:19 159:4,12,16
160:6,18 162:4 163:5,16 164:4,8,10
164:22 165:9,14 166:12,23 167:2,5
168:14 169:19,23 171:18 172:8,11
173:3,13,16 174:6 175:2,12,15 177:8
178:10,10 179:25 180:3,17,22 182:3
185:15,22 187:5,13 187:21 189:9,17,20
190:6,13,16 191:13 191:16 192:3,14,20

```
193:3,6,9 194:19
 195:12,24,24 196:5
 196:10,18,21 197:8
  198:2,10,16 199:3,7
 199:15,21,25 200:4
 200:17,21 201:6,16
 202:9,18 203:4,11
 204:1,14,19 205:14
 207:6,15,21 209:4
 209:17,25 210:13
 210:15,20 211:10
 212:15,18 213:5,11
 213:15,19 214:1,5
 214:14,20
old 67:2,2,11,12
 205:7
once 7:20 73:9
  101:13 107:21
 112:15 113:21
 130:10 154:12
 194:19 200:5
 214:18
ones 12:3 43:21
  112:16 125:19,25
 129:9 171:13,13,15
 186:17
one's 100:25
ongoing 15:12,15
 30:9 71:2,5 123:8
 124:24 126:13
onset 44:5 48:9 49:25
on-site 68:7
open 98:23 165:8
operable 162:24
operating 89:23
 95:17
operation 70:19
 71:23 72:3 98:6
 114:15,16 143:25
 144:12 155:12,17
 156:11,17,25 157:5
 158:2 165:20
 168:23 170:9,24
 171:19 172:2 175:5
 192:10 196:2,15
 201:22
operations 89:19
  102:16 103:12
 117:22 143:8,13,14
 159:17 192:9
operator 200:25
```

202:9 205:25 206:5

```
206:6 207:18 208:9
opine 42:24,25 59:14
 59:20
opinion 22:21 24:6
  33:2 35:11,17,20,21
  36:3,4,5,16,24 37:1
 37:2,8,11,19,25,25
 38:4,9 40:14,21
 42:17,18,19,20 43:6
 43:8,12 45:1 47:17
 47:21 48:9 49:25
 51:8 54:14,18,22
 55:2,20,22 56:6,17
 59:22,25 60:1 61:1
 62:1 63:6,16,22
 64:3,21,24 65:1,3,5
 65:10 71:19 72:5,8
 82:3,20,23 83:12
 84:23 87:19 90:13
 91:14 93:1 94:5
 98:4,14 109:7
  119:15,19,20,24
  127:4,16 128:17
  129:3 133:9,12,21
 134:21 169:1,4,5,19
  174:9,20 184:9
  187:9 189:21 193:3
 202:18,21 207:17
 208:8 213:2
opinions 20:17 23:1,3
 23:3,4 37:13 40:24
 41:1,2,7 42:8 43:19
 51:2,7 53:24 55:15
 56:22 58:10,14,22
 59:3,6,10 60:18
 61:10 64:9,12 72:1
 81:23 83:4 85:22
 86:6 87:20,25 88:14
 89:7 98:15 112:11
 125:9 130:14,16
  133:6 156:9,12,13
 156:19,23 192:4
 210:2,11
opportunity 46:25
opposed 9:9 33:5
 35:13 37:3,10 38:6
  110:17 166:4
  170:10,24 172:2
  175:4 176:5 177:13
 181:10 195:15
  196:2
Orange 4:18
```

```
origin 114:22
originally 70:11
  192:8 196:14
Orr 3:15 7:13,13
Orrick 3:17
OSHA 29:10 145:9
 145:17,18,20,23
OSHA's 134:15
Outdoor 90:16
outlier 134:4 150:6
outside 26:4 33:6
  34:2 35:15 41:24
 91:1,3 133:10
  159:20 160:4,7,8,12
  163:3,6,19 166:6
  189:10
oven 78:13
overall 151:6
overbroad 33:17
owned 157:17 158:2
O.M 44:17 157:22
         P
page 5:2,15 10:1 68:2
 99:17 101:10,11
  129:7 136:16
  140:14 141:24
  144:9 146:9 147:25
  150:9 153:7 163:17
  163:18,23,23
  165:14,14 167:5,5
  167:13 182:18
  187:22.22.24 197:9
  198:10 199:7
  200:20 213:10
 216:7
pages 88:3 215:15
paid 64:25 71:13
 81:19 84:5,8
pain 44:3 46:22
 47:10
painful 41:24 42:5
 51:1 54:19 56:3
paper 20:14 51:17
 52:15,16,19 53:23
  53:25 54:13,17,21
 55:6,6,11,14,14,18
  55:21,25 56:1,5,10
```

order 132:3 136:6

ore 39:14 157:6

162:13

156:15 173:18,20

```
56:12,16 73:20
 77:16,23 78:23 79:2
  79:5,9,19 80:5,20
  80:21,21,25 81:1,2
  81:13 82:2,3 84:4
  86:1,2,7 89:4,8
  92:22 127:2 130:18
  131:2,24 132:7,25
  133:2,6,7,18 134:4
  140:6,14 142:1
  144:1 155:20,25,25
  156:2,3 163:16,24
  167:2 168:8 171:23
  171:24 175:6 185:3
  185:17,18 186:18
  187:15,18 193:8,14
  200:4 205:24
  206:10 207:8
  209:12
papers 19:20 55:16
  74:6,9 80:22 86:11
  86:13 132:13,14,24
  133:2 155:3,9,14
  170:12 182:13,14
  185:5
paragraph 88:19,25
  89:1,13,13,22 90:6
  94:18,19,19 95:5
  96:3,4 99:18 100:8
  100:9,9,25 101:10
  101:15,24 102:15
  102:17 103:7 104:3
  104:6,7,13,16,19,22
  104:25 105:18,25
  106:5,8 107:3,6,6
  107:10,21,24 108:2
  108:3,16 109:10,11
  109:20,20 110:3,5
  110:16,20 111:9,23
  112:15,16,21,25
  113:4,9,24,24 114:6
  114:19 116:5,9,25
  117:14,15,21 118:3
  126:4,7 129:8 130:5
  150:10 151:3,25
  152:8 165:15
  182:18 199:8
paragraphs 88:6,8,14
  88:16,21,22 94:20
  95:2
```

paraphrase 124:2

152:5

July 29, 2009

paraphrasing 41:13
Pardon 13:5
part 10:16 13:25 19:8
19:9 24:20 48:3
60:7,8,11,19,21,21
61:9 62:15 72:4,23
73:14 83:7,9 84:23
85:22 93:4,5 94:7
94:24 97:1,10,14
103:3 105:16
109:24 117:24
121:4 126:19 131:7
131:15 157:5
166:12,13,15,20,21
168:8,23 191:18
196:15 199:2
partially 198:6
participating 69:16
participation 68:9
particle 12:1
particles 103:16
147:5 148:16
particular 12:19
13:24 44:6 85:25
93:6 100:4 175:6
183:20 185:3 192:2
201:22
particularly 83:25
107:17 144:2
146:15 163:1
168:21,22
,
particulate 91:24
particulates 92:19
parts 60:9 140:13
207:3
Pascagoula 34:13
Pass 210:14
passage 115:9
pathway 17:3,5 54:7
pathways 14:16 15:6
15:7 16:3 17:25
18:3 52:4 81:19,22
patient 32:22
patients 32:25 41:21
47:1 58:11 60:12
Patricia 43:13
Patty's 29:12
Paul 125:3,21 211:2
pause 23:14 70:16
81:12 86:14 103:2
114:13 119:8 128:2
170:6 178:7 182:5

200:15 210:22 paying 71:15 PBZ 21:10 200:22 PC 2:14 PCM 133:12 176:12 202:3 204:6,7,7,12 204:18,19 205:2,10 205:15,17 PD 3:3 peak 170:16 peaks 171:12,16,18 172:4 175:8 pediatrician 57:21 pediatrics 58:3 peer-reviewed 140:8 142:16,19 156:3 Peipens 43:14,17 51:13,17 52:15,19 53:4,23,25 54:17,21 PEL 145:7,9,17,17,18 146:6,7 147:10,21 penalty 216:22 pending 33:10 124:7 Pennsylvania 4:8 people 16:4 24:13 34:7,10,13 40:15 46:13,14 47:2 53:2 53:7,17 62:5 80:1 94:21 95:22 102:10 109:6,8 114:5 135:25 138:4 160:7 161:7,17,19 162:6 163:6 165:3 180:18
187:2 189:11 193:17,20 194:23 195:17 percent 39:13,18 46:15,15,17 52:19
52:25 54:8 95:6,25 118:15,19,21 125:11 171:16 percentage 46:12 54:8 118:21
percentages 52:22 95:9,19 118:1 119:22 perform 25:6 193:24 performed 18:24 27:15,16 103:20 110:8,11 190:4 performing 27:9 period 31:20 101:21

127:10,11 148:13
198:21,24 199:1
periods 140:25
153:13
perjury 216:22
permission 164:24,25
Peronard 125:3,21 126:20 211:2
person 35:13,14 37:3
37:4 52:1 57:12
69:9 135:10,15
137:24 152:25
159:9,12 165:10
177:20 190:1 193:18 201:18,22
personal 7:13 21:6,9
21:12,15,22 68:9
72:20 75:19 83:21
86:24 119:7 140:25
195:8,12 200:22
personally 92:2
121:18 134:8,10
person's 65:12 pertain 104:19
pertain 104.19 pertained 70:14,15
pertaining 13:18
14:8,10,17 29:8
33:19 45:4 58:25
63:15 72:6 73:20
128:19 133:9 148:8
pertains 105:2 108:6
108:8,12 Porusing 104:18 21
Perusing 104:18,21 104:24 105:2 107:5
107:8,12,23 108:1,6
112:20 117:24
philosophy 138:3
phone 69:17 73:11,12
Ph.D 1:17 5:3 6:1,5
7:22 11:2,4 67:22 215:10 216:1,25
PI 3:13 4:3
picture 163:18
pictures 183:5,6,7,9
piece 38:3 191:12
pilot 207:10
pine 175:18 177:2
184:13
pinpoint 43:22
pinpoint 43:22 place 3:8 6:6 49:13
pinpoint 43:22

1 1 100 T 1
plaintiff 76:1
plaintiffs 68:11,16 75:23 86:17
plan 106:20
planning 71:7
plant 61:14 90:24
91:1,4 105:8 159:25
160:4,8 161:4
213:16,23
planted 166:10
plants 60:14 157:16
157:17 158:4,12
plausible 168:3
please 8:5 9:25 27:13
28:8 41:18 65:25
66:1,11 74:22 77:3 87:8 89:15 97:12
103:14 106:9 108:5
124:8 130:6 139:8
153:7 210:6
pleura 35:9
pleural 37:16,18
38:10,12 40:13,15
41:2,8,12,16,22
42:3,4 43:8,20 44:3
44:5,11 45:1,14,24
46:4,8,15,15,18,22
47:11,18 48:9 50:1
52:20 53:20,24 54:14,18,22 55:2,15
54:14,18,22 55:2,15
55:19,22 56:2,6,18
58:10 59:4,8,10
plots 24:19
point 9:24 12:18
85:12 86:4 114:21
115:5,7 130:19
138:13,15 139:13 156:22 174:1
179:12 184:15
185:21 192:19,23
203:1 214:10
pointed 53:25
pointing 45:2 137:1
points 16:22 88:9,9
135:23 137:22
138:12 139:23
policies 63:7,9
pollutant 91:24
pollutants 90:9 94:3
pollution 102:3
pop 162:7
population 45:17

46:19 48:2 52:24
54:6 61:21 132:17 154:20
population-based
54:10
portion 110:2
portions 50:20
pose 83:24
position 193:19 195:1
possibility 188:3
possible 102:2 188:14
189:9,22
post 125:19,24
144:18 145:1
149:15
potassium 39:3
potent 36:23
potential 17:5 19:17
22:21 23:19 24:6
52:4 54:1 77:17
78:11,19,24 79:20
80:4 84:20 93:9
95:2 97:9,14 104:3 112:18 114:1 116:7
116:10 123:12
124:13 127:5
124.13 127.3
174:10 192:21
206:11 207:17
208:8,25
potentially 61:22
80:1,14 161:12
practice 48:23
113:16 134:3
143:15
pre 148:25 205:7
precision 153:13
predecessor 96:13
100:15
predict 200:1
predicted 201:11
predicting 199:21
predominant 39:22
preliminary 77:9
159:21,24 160:2 192:10,16,17
207:11
preparation 179:4
prepared 211:11
presence 174:22
present 4:22 48:13
182:8 205:3,11
1

W.R. GRACE & CO.

TERRY M. SPEAR, Ph.D.

July 29, 2009

Page 17

presented 70:8
199:11
preserve 48:18
pressures 91:10
presumably 82:10
191:21
presume 9:23
pretty 88:2 155:23
178:20 206:7
prevalence 45:14
46:18 54:11
prevalent 12:21
preventing 61:7
80:17
previous 36:18 45:4
120:25 171:4
177:23
previously 75:23
81:6 85:9,23 120:13
120:20
pre-1969 147:3
primarily 11:24
14:25 15:1,8 90:23
140:19 145:20
primary 133:3
181:16
principles 100:11,13
117:15,20
prior 48:13,14 110:1
111:21 120:5
137:24 140:17
146:20 151:5
153:24
private 68:11,17
probably 8:23,25
-
22:10 26:2,3 28:21
29:3 54:16,25 66:14
91:7 127:24 164:15
170:13 198:25
210:21 213:3
problem 124:1
problems 93:20
148:14
procedure 48:18 64:1
procedures 76:21
proceeding 8:9
proceedings 6:10
-
70:9 210:22
process 9:3 160:10
173:6 194:24
processed 90:2
162:13

processing 165:20	108:10
188:7	providing
produce 128:10	public 6:8
129:17	90:25 1
produced 20:20	211:15
128:11 175:9,12	publicati
182:15	71:9 73
product 14:23 63:23	86:2 21
products 158:20,21	publicati
158:24 159:2	29:11 3
professional 6:7	31:8 43
58:14 68:1 215:5	55:8 73
professor 10:7	82:1 95
program 12:13 51:19	publicly 2
68:4 99:8 104:9	publish 2
167:16	168:6
progress 50:19	published
progresses 41:15	20:7,14
progressing 50:14	23:12 4
progression 41:25	51:15,1
50:9 56:9,13	70:25 7
progressive 35:23	75:2 77
41:15,25 42:5 50:5	81:14 8
50:7 51:1 54:23	89:4 92
56:7	113:12
project 121:22 projects 14:13 26:17	125:25 131:17
prolong 115:13	151.17
promised 53:9	163:24
pronoun 138:22	pulmona:
pronounce 38:21,22	32:18 3
propensity 119:4,16	37:13,1
120:6 129:3	46:13 4
proper 102:12 152:6	55:17 5
190:19	pulmono
properties 114:4	pump 152
property 7:9 164:8	pun 194:4
proportion 152:12	purport 1
proposed 106:10,22	purporti
proposing 192:8	purports
protect 100:12,16	purpose
protecting 30:25	183:8
protection 11:25 12:7	purposes
12:10,13,16 13:1	172:9
14:3 21:8 115:1,16	pursuant
protective 72:21	put 15:3 2
165:13	53:16 8
provide 31:10 62:5	89:10 9
65:10 68:3 71:17	103:4 1
provided 19:12 29:13	212:20
71:19 110:23	putting 1
provides 61:21 97:8	P.O 2:15

100.10
108:10 providing 12:12 68:8
providing 12:12 68:8 public 6:8 22:18
90:25 112:12 200:9
211:15 215:6,22
publication 43:14
71:9 73:18 75:15
86:2 212:19
publications 22:14
29:11 30:8,10,15
31:8 43:15,18,19,23
55:8 73:25 80:23
82:1 95:9 127:9
publicly 211:6
publish 27:10 79:14
168:6
published 19:20 20:3
20:7,14 22:14,16
23:12 44:20,22
51:15,18 55:9,11
70:25 71:8 73:20
75:2 77:13 78:7
81:14 85:8 88:22
89:4 92:22,23 100:5
113:12 118:10
125:25 126:1
131:17 149:6 155:4
155:9,22 156:3
163:24 168:8
pulmonary 31:18,24
32:18 35:23 36:12
37:13,14,14 42:1
46:13 48:1 52:11,14
55:17 57:16 58:6
pulmonologist 57:8,9
pump 152:25
pump 132:23 pun 194:4
pun 194:4 purport 185:16
purport 183:16 purporting 187:14
purports 48:14
purpose 111:25
183:8
purposes 28:25 63:23
172:9
pursuant 6:4
put 15:3 23:23 44:15
53:16 86:12 87:10
89:10 92:17 99:7
103:4 164:8 207:13
212:20
putting 161:17
D O 2.15

```
Q
qualification 151:11
qualifications 32:8
 50:23
qualified 31:23 42:25
qualifies 43:3 59:19
quantification
  123:17 154:1
quantifications
 135:21
quantify 134:18
quartile 45:25 46:5
quartiles 45:18
question 9:24 14:1
 23:8 25:4 26:19,24
 26:25 27:3 28:8,11
  30:21 32:1,11 33:8
  33:8,10,13,16,19
  34:1 36:3,8,9,9 39:4
  42:22 45:11 48:11
  48:14 49:1,6,9,21
  49:24 51:5 54:25
  58:19,20 63:14
  95:19 97:19,19,21
  99:24 100:1 104:16
  111:2 122:16 123:1
  123:15,18 124:7,11
  126:2 129:14
  136:12 138:21,21
  138:22,24,25 139:1
  139:9,12,16 152:4,5
  152:6,6 153:23
  167:12 171:10
  178:7 180:25 184:4
  185:3 186:13 208:1
  208:6,20 211:13,16
questionable 153:10
questioning 27:7
  124:3
questionnaire 51:23
  52:1,3
questions 9:7,19,23
  33:19,21 34:6 49:18
  49:18 124:2 140:10
  147:2 177:23 210:5
 210:21 214:7,17
quick 20:1 38:13
  41:18 51:11 193:12
  196:23 214:10
quicker 41:22 42:4
 47:20 48:3 50:25
quickly 41:17 48:7
```

54:15 55:22 quintiles 45:18 quite 8:20 91:2 102:4 172:13 189:9,22 quote 127:25 quoted 68:2 100:10 108:17 quotes 106:13 quoting 101:2

R **R** 77:10 radiographic 132:16 radiology 32:16 58:6 rail 16:17,18 175:21 176:23 railcars 163:7,11 railroad 162:16,17 162:19 163:1 188:2 189:16,17 **Rainey** 164:19 184:21 197:15 213:20 raise 27:13 **raises** 188:2 randomly 186:5 range 9:1 22:6 180:10 ranging 141:1 rate 55:19 61:20 71:15 85:4 rates 31:16 54:6 55:17 60:14,15 61:13 137:23,24 ratio 148:1 153:14 reach 62:1 156:23 184:11 reached 23:2 192:23 reaching 27:22 128:17 156:9,12,13 156:23 read 14:11 29:9,10 29:17 32:3 33:7,10 42:12 44:7 49:20,22 50:13 52:8 58:24,25 63:12 68:1,2,13 75:7 77:11 90:6,11 93:20,21 100:10,10 100:18 102:24 103:8,9 106:8,9,14 108:4,16,17,22

110:19 111:25

July 29, 2009

				rage 10
112.4 10 112.0 10	01.0 106.0 100.17	117.05 147.0 104.5	56.10.14.60.6.7	146.14
112:4,19 113:9,19	91:8 106:8 108:17	117:25 147:2 184:5	56:10,14 69:6,7	146:14
114:19,23 115:18	112:1 113:10 124:9	related 13:24 14:7,14	70:15,18 72:4 73:3	requiring 118:6
118:6 124:7,9 126:8	129:24 130:3	22:15 27:5,15 28:19	76:2,3 84:15 120:25	research 14:8,12,13
126:9,15,25 127:1	139:10 163:23	42:11 58:22 67:25	170:4	14:13,15,17 17:10
128:19 131:19	187:15 197:2,6	70:19 88:8 121:16	REMEMBERED 6:4	17:11,12 18:23,25
133:7 139:8,10	200:10 208:4,18	127:17 136:4 177:9	removal 115:10	19:3 26:8,9,11,17
141:3 150:13 151:9	214:24 215:15	177:11 209:15	118:22 122:13	26:17,17 27:1,2,5,8
151:10 153:8,18	records 61:19	relates 14:3 36:4,5	remove 21:20	27:14,16,18 74:14
165:16,22 167:17	record's 202:2 206:9	88:25 89:1 90:13	repeat 45:11 46:1	79:14,17 81:18,21
172:17 182:25	207:7 209:17	105:19 107:8,13	51:9 207:25	82:23,24 84:4,5,12
187:25,25 188:10	recycle 94:9	108:24 117:15	repeatable 176:10	85:23 121:22
192:18 197:19	recycled 90:10 94:4	118:1 126:7 174:17	repetitive 210:6	156:20
198:14 199:9,13	reduced 203:1	relating 107:13	rephrase 9:25 26:10	researches 171:4
208:4 216:5	reentrainment	relationship 74:15	29:25 41:1 51:17	researching 29:1
readers 52:8	115:14	122:25 123:4,9	159:18 161:23	reserve 214:6
readily 94:10 113:14	refer 16:16 17:14	release 115:6 122:18	163:5 173:17 187:8	reservoir 126:12
reading 37:25 38:2	213:7	122:22 124:17	196:13	reservoirs 5:18 75:1
41:20 43:2 50:12	reference 89:3 110:6	127:5 128:15,19	report 5:21 40:2	residency 58:6
61:5 110:4 118:3	111:16	199:16,22	60:23 71:20,22	residents 103:10
125:16 150:15	referenced 126:4	released 119:4,6,16	73:10 87:5,17,24	126:14
170:5	140:9 211:5	119:21 120:3,7	88:2,13 89:3,5	residing 215:23
real 20:1 41:18 51:11	references 88:4,5	121:13,14,19 123:6	93:24 98:15 102:1	resources 186:24
205:18	209:19	129:3,13 160:9	103:18 104:1	respect 32:8 37:1
realized 168:2	referencing 125:20	165:19 166:3 167:3	116:25 128:3,4	38:10 39:4 80:25
really 14:5 31:21	126:3	168:23 170:9 175:4	134:24 135:2	85:25 98:5 100:21
37:11 66:25 69:3	referred 36:12	196:14 212:14	148:25 154:9 155:9	112:12 125:24
71:5 78:20 98:15	210:16 211:2	releases 76:14 120:9	156:5 166:24,25	154:25 163:1 192:6
151:15 177:4,8	referring 14:20 18:18	123:12,18 124:13	173:7 179:13	respectively 202:13
179:25 188:18,23	31:16 40:10 45:8	127:17 169:8,8	209:12,18 211:21	respirable 115:12
reason 9:24 29:4	74:18 126:5 152:8	189:23 200:1	211:22,23,24 213:5	respirators 12:14,15
134:13 142:17,20	169:24 170:12	relevant 57:13 81:23	reported 47:7,23	respiratory 11:25
143:12 148:15	185:5	82:1 107:18 132:8	95:23 103:10	12:7,9,13,16 13:1
158:10 179:16	refers 27:1 50:8	151:11	171:24 172:6,7	14:3
205:21	112:21,25 113:4	reliability 134:17	175:9 179:19	responding 9:8
reasonable 149:10,23	183:5	reliable 123:11	182:12,14 187:1	response 106:11
150:3 153:5 154:12	reflect 87:19 95:15	124:12 143:22,23	205:5,9 215:13	122:17
recall 29:19,22 69:1,9	reflected 141:2	143:23	reporter 6:8,22,25	responsible 97:2,10
70:2,5 75:22 145:7	reflects 141:25	reliably 129:16	9:15 33:7,11 49:22	97:15,24 99:7,9
145:8 168:12 211:2	refocus 124:6	179:13	65:25 77:3 124:9	145:20
receiving 86:5	regard 27:8 150:6	reliance 128:7	139:9,10 140:22	rest 110:20 214:6
recess 66:19 129:25	regarding 36:19,24	relied 125:11,13,15	208:4 215:6 216:4	restate 111:1 123:25
197:3	47:17 55:12 64:24	128:16,18 148:20	reporting 1:21 6:20	123:25
recognize 131:22	70:23 122:17 125:9	rely 40:1,3 84:25	139:24	restricted 26:4 165:1
199:10	130:7 133:6	125:8 185:2 212:23	reports 45:6,11,14	184:20
recognized 153:11	regardless 156:20	213:4	60:19 83:10 93:20	restrictive 200:5
recollection 50:2	Registered 6:7 215:5	remain 91:25 93:15	93:21,23 155:15	result 128:24 188:2
211:19	regulating 145:20	remained 93:14	represent 8:8 199:12	resulted 188:15
reconstruction	regulatory 68:6	remains 115:4	representative 13:17	results 23:13,16,17
140:10	relate 88:14,22 89:22	remediation 118:6	62:16 135:9 184:25	27:10 51:18 52:15
record 6:13 8:6 9:10	104:22,25 105:22	remember 22:10	185:17 186:6,16	125:8 126:10 154:1
9:16 26:23 48:19,22	106:2,5 107:3,7,10	28:22,23 30:3 45:19	187:8,11,12	167:6 168:7,9
49:16,22 66:18,22	107:22,24 117:21	52:17 53:22 55:24	requires 24:15	171:12 173:7
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1	
1				

W.R. GRACE & CO.

TERRY M. SPEAR, Ph.D.

July 29, 2009

176:10 198:11 200:23 201:19 resume 57:10,19 196:24 retained 68:18,23 75:18 76:5 81:3,12	185:12 187:13 188:25 190:10,10 191:25 194:22	177:25 178:25 179:4,14 184:10,17	206:5,6,19 207:18 208:9	60:14 61:13 94:8,11 147:20
200:23 201:19 resume 57:10,19 196:24 retained 68:18,23 75:18 76:5 81:3,12	188:25 190:10,10 191:25 194:22	179:4,14 184:10,17		
resume 57:10,19 196:24 retained 68:18,23 75:18 76:5 81:3,12	191:25 194:22		208:9	147:70
196:24 retained 68:18,23 75:18 76:5 81:3,12			1 4 202 25	
retained 68:18,23 75:18 76:5 81:3,12		185:17 186:6,9	sawdust 202:25	seek 28:24
75:18 76:5 81:3,12	195:21 196:18	187:10,11 188:2	sawed 193:20	seeks 187:18
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	202:1,4,7,8,17,24	198:11 201:7,13,14	sawing 191:2 192:12	seen 35:25 59:9 60:11
	203:3,23 204:7,22	202:3 203:4 204:3	193:10 209:1	64:16 65:22 82:18
81:14 86:1 189:23	206:9,15,15,24	sampled 165:4	saws 194:11	148:5 159:2 161:14
retention 81:10	207:5,10 209:8	samples 13:13,17	saying 9:10 17:14	182:9 200:11
revealed 182:20	212:1,6,10,20	20:21,23 21:4 22:2	36:20 37:24 42:12	seldom 148:7
review 28:9,19 30:8	214:18	22:4,6,7,8,10 24:5,6	47:4 61:19 82:23	select 186:5,6
	risk 50:17 122:1	27:22,22 62:16	92:7 152:11 171:8	SEM 182:20
52:16 58:15 60:2	133:24 134:11,20	83:21 119:7 121:24	186:20 188:13,18	send 73:19 84:13
61:2 83:8 86:9	138:5 158:5	122:23 127:11,13	188:23 189:1	101:16 179:6
	risks 102:3,7,10	140:16,18,20,24	191:10 204:24	sending 157:6
reviewed 29:20 30:1	112:18,19	141:25 142:3,6,9,14	says 94:3 142:15	sense 123:16 136:13
43:11 63:25 64:18 ri	iver 162:15 170:4	142:25 143:1	151:3 192:17	201:24 204:18,25
65:15,18 92:3	213:17,20	144:11 145:5	scale 192:9	sensitivities 179:11
130:17 155:25 r e	oad 15:23 163:21	146:20 153:13,15	scans 182:10	sensitivity 134:14,17
reviewing 27:19	164:12,15,20	154:4 166:5,6 167:8	scenario 206:10	176:5,6,8,13,16,19
reviews 27:25 28:2	184:21 186:1	167:9,10,11,14,19	scenarios 199:12	176:22 177:12
Rich 3:5,7 7:8,8	197:15 200:13,13	167:22,22,23 168:6	205:24	178:1,16,19,22,24
richterite 38:20 39:5	206:14,17 213:20	168:13 170:21	Schnetter 101:4	179:7,11,17,21
39:6,25 112:2	213:25	171:4,5,7,11,17,24	School 178:11 180:4	sent 171:10 189:22
riebeckite 38:21 re	oadways 186:14	173:22,23,24,25	science 10:25 78:8	sentence 101:15
right 9:6 11:20 12:5 R	ROHRHOFER 4:23	174:4,5 175:7	scientific 60:2 74:14	104:8 114:20 118:4
21:13 26:3 44:10	66:25 208:14	176:11 179:6,6,8,22	174:24	118:9,10 150:9
46:17,17 47:4,15	210:19	182:10 184:16,18	scientifically 140:7	151:2 153:9 165:16
52:10 53:3,18,19 R	Rohs 43:14,16 44:13	184:19,24,25	Scott 44:17 157:22	sentences 187:24
65:11 72:8,18 74:16	44:14,15,22,25 45:9	186:13,16 187:3,16	screened 52:20,24	September 215:24
80:17 88:3 90:16	45:11 46:10 47:10	187:19 190:19,23	screening 51:16	series 19:20 21:5
93:24 100:6 102:19	47:13,21 48:8 49:25	197:22 201:5,6,9,12	58:12 213:15,16,23	118:14
103:1 105:9 106:22	51:12	206:7,7 207:10	seal 215:18,24	serious 103:25
	role 14:5 57:2 61:6	sampling 11:25 12:8	Seamans 4:7	serve 71:10
121:9 122:6 123:8,9	61:11 62:3,4,13	13:7,8,9,10,15	searching 100:3	Service 19:16 20:8,11
124:22 125:1 128:1	63:1	17:12 20:25 21:6,6	second 26:13 34:1	20:17,25 21:24
	coom 204:11	21:7,9,16,16,18,19	59:7 68:2 114:8	22:13 23:13,21 24:9
	coot 167:24 168:4	21:23,23 27:23	154:19 165:15	24:11,14,14 25:5
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ope 194:6	30:19 31:2 61:18	203:15	166:8,22 200:10
	ough 183:15	68:6 78:22 91:9	sections 156:6	212:3,5,13
	oughly 54:8	93:21 124:25 125:4	see 26:2 45:24 74:19	services 71:13,17
	Rules 48:17	146:16 166:11,17	88:2 103:18 110:21	set 215:17
	runs 57:3,5 101:10	166:21 167:15,20	110:24 147:25	settle 91:20 105:15
	R-I-C-H 7:11	187:2,9 190:19	150:10,22 166:24	115:12
164:6,23,25 165:2,4	X-1-C-11 7.11	193:7	167:6,7 171:6 177:7	settled 16:9 105:9
165:7 167:13 168:1	S	samplings 13:3	178:5,6 180:10	121:3,3 122:10
	S 2:21 5:14	140:25	183:9,12 184:17	settlement 64:25
	afety 10:10 29:12	sanitation 31:1 70:15	185:8 198:21	settlements 65:3
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	68:5 131:5 141:12	70:17 72:21 76:21		
174:1,3,16,18	141:22		204:12,16,17,19,24	settling 115:14
177:17 178:8,9,18		saw 29:21 46:11,12	205:17,19,20,21	seven 184:18 197:10
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ample 164:23 166:3	158:23 191:12	206:20 209:18	197:11
181:23 182:6,15	168:7 172:14,18,19	194:8,9,13,15,20	seeing 41:21,25 45:3	severe 35:22 40:19
184:6,8,15,20	176:14,15,19 177:6	200:25 205:25	47:25 48:6 58:11	shared 109:7

July 29, 2009

shed 90:8 183:18	108:22 112:4	125:10 126:21	130:17 134:9	standing 198:6
shlepped 194:14	113:19 114:2,23	123.10 120.21 127:1,5,15,15,18	138:23 163:24	standing 198.0 standpoint 48:3
shepped 194.14 short 134:12,21	115:18 118:7	127.1,3,13,13,18	197:1,5 210:24	60:17 91:2 92:20
135:10 194:3	126:15 130:8	128.14,13,24 129.4	211:1 214:21	192:11
shorten 49:17	131:19 141:3 142:4	167:22 168:6,7,11	215:10 216:1,25	Stansbury 2:4 5:4,6
shorter 133:22	142:5 144:15 145:3	168:12 169:16	-	7:1,1,17,20 8:2,8
137:12 138:16	146:9 147:3 148:3	193:6 195:16,17	species 183:21 184:7 184:10 186:16	23:6,15 25:3 26:20
139:14,22 140:5	150:13,21 151:9	196:8	specific 41:7 42:18	27:4 28:16 31:25
173:4 206:2	150.13,21 131.9	soils 119:6 125:6	43:23 44:11 56:12	32:12 33:20 36:11
shorthand 215:13	154:10 156:11	126:24 128:20	59:6 64:13 71:17	48:16 49:4,12,20
short-term 140:25	162:22 164:2,11,20	somebody 24:7 99:20	88:14 93:14 109:14	50:4 51:10 53:12
201:9	165:22 167:17	135:13 191:24	117:18 130:13	58:21 63:21 64:17
show 137:20	182:25 188:10	somebody's 99:7	137:6 152:22	65:24 66:4,16,23
show 137.20 showed 95:6,25	197:25 198:14	somewhat 90:8 91:13	157:0 132:22	67:1,5,15 74:21,25
showers 31:1	197.23 198.14	93:2 158:21	208:8	77:2,6 78:2 85:12
showers 31.1 showing 61:20 97:17	sit 43:23 56:15	sooner 41:23	specifically 28:25	85:14 87:7,15 92:9
163:21	site 15:9,20,25 16:5	sophisticated 112:1	29:22 41:21 47:8	92:13 97:20 99:25
shown 36:22 112:2	17:21,22 18:17 26:4	sorry 13:8 24:2 29:16	56:14 62:14 77:20	101:8,10 110:23
shut 166:10	133:10,11 164:7	29:23 41:11 74:2	96:7 113:25 125:13	111:3,6,8,14,20
side 200:14	180:12 185:4,6,7,8	129:7 130:21 132:9	126:24 131:25	116:22,24 117:14
siding 122:13,14	191:1,2 211:7,11	138:1 142:18	120.24 131.23	123:20 124:5,19
Signed 216:22	213:13	sort 122:20 126:21		129:21 130:4
	sites 166:6 188:7	186:25	specifics 56:10 spectrum 180:11	131:12 138:23
Significant 115:6 silicates 165:17		sound 53:3,18	_	
Silver 215:3,6	sitting 56:1,5,11 57:15 59:2 65:19	1	speculating 193:13	139:4,8,16,19 149:14 152:7
similar 70:16 112:16		sounds 53:19 198:16 source 18:9 92:17	speeds 91:9 spelled 102:4	163:22 164:1 194:9
143:18,20 188:6	82:19 123:4,10,10 124:11 128:6	111:11 114:21	spelling 26:6	194:12,19 196:22
199:17 207:8	129:11 172:8	115:7,20 123:11		194.12,19 190.22
similarly 112:21	179:25 184:8	124:12 126:12	spend 170:5 198:23 spent 152:12	208:12,21,22 209:5
116:9 159:12 186:4	179:25 184:8	181:16 192:12,15	Spokane 159:21,25	208.12,21,22 209.3
195:24	situation 51:8 122:21	sources 18:11,13 20:6	160:8	210:17 214:9,18
simply 15:13 134:14	156:19	129:2 181:20	spot 183:11	start 14:13 37:13
206:12	six 184:19	south 186:23	Sprayed 115:15	134:19 170:20
simulate 25:9 62:5	skill 215:16	spaces 115:5	spread 212:25	180:16 183:12
simulated 24:23 25:5	Slovak 2:14	speak 9:13 32:8	spring 12:6,7 69:1	186:20 189:20
25:10,13,15,18	slower 145:9	96:23 102:21 116:6	square 176:2 177:7	191:15
191:5	small 12:1 48:25	116:6,10 129:9	178:13 203:7	started 69:4 150:20
simulation 19:25	151:5,7	134:5 180:1 185:22	ss 215:2	166:19 192:8
198:12	smelter 29:5	speaking 9:14 17:15	St 58:2,2 169:15	starting 140:14
simulations 5:19 26:1	smoke 92:20 93:11	19:6 43:3 50:12	stack 79:24 103:1	185:18
77:8 190:17 197:14	smooth 182:24	113:21 114:3	193:21 198:19	state 6:8 48:18 49:5
199:11	sodium 39:2	126:24 160:16	stackers 194:5,16	82:23 101:15 102:1
sir 26:23 42:6 48:20	sodium/potassium	168:21	202:12	105:19,24,25 106:1
68:13 75:7 76:23,25	170:16 171:16	speaks 101:20 102:22	stacking 209:1	113:22 140:19
77:11,14,23 78:9	175:8	102:25 104:3,7	stage 192:20	142:10 143:3
82:7 83:17 87:5,17	soil 118:4,25 119:4,7	102.23 104.3,7	stage 192.20 stagnant 94:8,13	213:25 215:1,7,22
89:1,17 90:11 94:18	119:16,22 120:7,10	110:16 111:9	stagnant 94.8,13 stand 25:16 141:11	stated 9:22 28:13
94:23 97:21 100:1	120:11,12,19,24,24	113:24 114:7,10	141:21 207:23	36:5 64:13 112:6
100:18,23 101:18	120:11,12,19,24,24	Spear 1:17 5:3,18,21	standard 113:16	175:2 201:8 207:15
101:22 102:23	120.23 121.9,13,20	6:1,5,15 7:22 8:7,16		207:24 208:6
101.22 102.23	122:23,25 123:5,7	27:4 66:5,6,21 75:3	standards 31:3 72:2	207.24 208.0
105.25 104.4,11	123:13 124:14,18	77:10 78:7 130:2,5	72:5,10 108:21	statement 48:22
100.17 107.17	123.13 127.17,10	/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	72.3,10 100.21	564tcment 70.22
			•	<u> </u>
Nordhagan Cour	t Poporting	ON@Brognan not	-	106 101 2003

July 29, 2009

				rage 21
40.15.01.10.106.0	105,00 05 100,00	1140.1	00.0.104.0	114.14.15.110.10
49:15 91:12 126:8	125:20,25 128:22	suggested 140:1	99:8 104:9	114:14,15 118:19
153:20 164:11 189:7	134:1 138:4 148:8	suggests 188:6 suit 165:13	survey 29:15 159:24	121:5 123:16 125:19 126:17
statements 130:6	148:24 149:3	Suite 3:9 4:8	suspect 146:15 Sutcliffe 3:17	
140:5	153:15 174:14	suited 186:10 198:22	swear 6:25	127:1,20 138:3 147:21 149:16
states 1:1 99:19 115:1	182:6 188:6 211:1,2 211:5,10	suits 198:24	swear 6.23 sworn 7:24 215:10	153:25 161:1
131:7 188:5 189:5	study 19:25 20:2,3,10	Sullivan 43:14,16,24	symptoms 115:9	168:24 173:23
206:10	20:13,25 23:2,14,22	51:11 110:12	system 167:24 168:4	189:15 191:17
static 91:25	24:23 29:21 43:16	155:19 156:2	system 107.24 108.4 systematically 91:23	192:2 198:17
static 91.23 stating 207:7	43:17,17,17,24,25	summaries 31:10	systematically 91.25	202:25 204:10
stating 207.7 station 176:23	44:2,8,13,14,14,15	summarize 42:6		205:8 209:19
stay 30:5 79:24,25	44:16,19,25 46:3,8	48:14 70:6	T 5:14	talks 55:18 98:15
stay 30.3 79.24,23 stayed 31:19	46:10,21 47:10,13	summarizes 144:10	table 140:23 141:23	99:18 110:20
stayed 31.19 staying 187:22	47:14,16,21 48:8	summary 58:17	141:24,24 142:15	111:17
staying 187.22 stays 183:17	49:25 51:22 53:4	summary 38.17 summer 12:5 20:25	142:20 144:9,9,10	tape 66:20 130:1
stays 165.17 step 38:13	60:13,16,23,25 62:6	20:25 21:1,24 69:2	144:23 147:25	196:23,24,25 197:4
steps 99:21	62:17 79:22 84:7	summers 51:19	154:9 167:6 172:11	Tara 77:10
steps 99.21 stick 194:4	90:22 100:12,16	summers 31.19 summertime 198:23	173:3 175:15	task 193:22,24
stick 194.4 stir 18:6	105:19,20 106:1,10	support 38:4 47:17	198:10 199:4	tasks 119:2 193:17
stop 50:17	105.19,20 100.1,10	48:9,12 49:25 82:3	200:16,19	202:15
stops 50:17	110:25 118:11	174:19	TAG 42:14 93:17	taught 11:23 12:7
stops 30.9 stove 78:22	127:21 132:10,11	supports 174:24	125:17	TDP 64:4,7
stove 76.22 stoves 162:7	132:14,20 135:24	suppose 57:14 80:16	take 7:9 11:13 20:23	teach 11:22,24 12:6
strategies 12:8 13:7,8	138:18 142:17,19	82:6 160:11	21:4 38:13 61:25	13:19 201:24
13:9,11	142:24 148:20	Supreme 109:25	66:15,16 87:13	teaching 11:19 12:12
strategy 11:25	154:20,25 165:24	sure 12:24 15:22	122:23 129:21	14:2
street 2:7 3:9,19 4:18	166:12,14,22	26:18 29:10,18,21	134:21 141:7 144:5	Tech 8:15 10:3,13
91:5	174:16,19,22	31:2,14 33:8,21	167:21 180:4	11:8,12,16,19 13:23
strike 36:25 49:15	191:19 192:9	41:9 43:21 57:9,14	187:16 191:5 193:6	14:2 73:2 122:15
51:24 61:23 62:11	197:23 199:11,16	60:21 61:16,21	194:14 196:23	technical 42:14 93:17
63:16 69:8 80:10,23	207:11,12 210:16	62:15 64:16 66:16	201:7	125:16 127:24
122:8 143:13	211:14,17,17	73:18 84:1 88:18	takeaways 52:18	technology 12:1 78:8
154:17	studying 15:13 119:3	95:18 97:13 99:17	takehome 76:16,21	134:19
strive 9:13	122:18 182:4	106:21 110:4 114:9	taken 1:19 6:5,15,19	Telephonically 3:4
structures 203:7	stuff 108:15 162:5	117:11 125:7	8:17 20:21 24:5	3:14 4:4,13
student 183:24	183:16	135:12 136:15	66:19 129:25	tell 15:17 68:1 71:2
students 12:12,24	subject 32:5 86:7	138:14 139:12	140:16 153:15	89:15 90:6 103:8
13:20 201:25	208:3	144:8,20,22 148:8	154:4 167:24 168:4	106:9 165:16 167:3
studied 14:24 15:7	submission 87:24	150:5,14,16,16,16	171:17 185:16	171:12 172:5 199:8
17:7 18:1,2 91:23	submitted 64:18	150:18 153:6	188:13 189:11	telling 99:21
92:4 120:6,9 159:19	73:10 74:13 86:14	167:21,23 181:3,3,6	197:3,22 215:12	tells 47:5 119:5
studies 20:5,6,9	submitting 80:22	186:11 190:5	talk 12:9 13:1 30:25	Telphonically 2:20
23:23 29:19 31:9,11	86:10	196:17 198:4 202:1	37:12 47:1 70:22	TEM 173:7 176:14
31:24 43:13 45:4,23	substance 136:6	207:6	79:2,5 89:11 124:23	177:5 179:7 198:11
54:10 58:16 60:10	137:3,21 138:11	surface 21:19 121:2,4	213:8	203:4,12 204:3,5,7
60:13 73:23 84:24	substances 93:10	121:13,23 122:1,10	talked 53:4 191:17	204:12,12,16
84:25 85:7 88:22	substantial 126:12	182:21 183:14	talking 33:23 34:2,4	205:20,21
93:16 100:5 103:18	substantive 69:20	surfaces 182:24	36:21 37:15,15,16	temperatures 91:10
110:9,11 111:18	successor 141:19	surrounding 15:25	38:14 40:5,6,22	ten 13:24 14:6,9
113:12 118:11	succinctly 48:18 49:5	159:25 165:21	41:17,20 44:16	tend 90:10 94:4 122:8
119:25 124:15,20	49:7	167:15 191:23	46:18 50:13 74:13	122:9
124:23,24 125:3,13	suggest 171:18 181:8	surveillance 51:18,25	83:3 96:4,18 102:19	tendency 121:12,14
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

July 29, 2009

	1	I	I	I
121:19	176:4 180:16	threw 53:14	100:4	travels 164:16
tends 91:19,20	205:17 207:11	throughout7 165:20	total 138:6,8 198:3,5	treated 64:7
term 28:7 40:9 48:12	210:25	tie 51:11	202:15 203:19	tree 13:3 24:18,19
59:8,9 89:21 90:18	things 13:18 15:23	time 6:5,12 11:8 28:4	town 15:10 162:16	25:13 75:11,12
97:17 98:22,23,24	16:19 28:5 29:13	29:10 31:20 48:2	180:13 186:21	166:9 167:14,19,22
99:5,6 109:2,3	30:18 31:1 41:16	65:22 66:15,17,22	tox 111:17	167:23,25 172:13
117:10 119:10	48:6 70:16 71:8	68:19 70:24 72:10	toxic 40:19,21 110:1	175:19 177:15
168:14 169:2	90:2 91:10 93:12,22	74:1 75:14,21,25	111:10 133:23,23	181:5,8,20 184:2,2
terms 24:9 119:6	96:22 126:5,21	76:3,12 96:20	toxicity 45:2 58:23	184:7,10,11,12
133:4 138:3 149:25	140:12	101:21 103:1 104:8	59:1 62:22 109:23	186:16 190:3
156:20 161:12	think 10:14 12:3 18:5	105:23 106:3	110:2,10,24,24	193:19 194:20,21
169:24 171:10	26:13 37:6 39:2	107:18 115:3	111:9,12,17,21	195:15 196:6
177:6 183:2 205:25	43:7 44:23 47:24	121:25 123:22	130:7,14 132:4	trees 5:18 25:16 75:1
206:18	49:10,12 50:22	127:10,12 129:20	136:6,10,16 137:3,8	166:9,9 174:11,22
Terry 1:17 5:3 6:1,5	53:25 56:8,11 57:11	129:23 130:3,19	137:20 138:11,17	174:23 175:1
6:14 7:22 8:7 66:6	57:13 58:23 59:3	131:2 133:11	139:24 210:3	177:18 180:11
66:21 75:3 77:9	60:24 61:14 70:11	149:12,21 152:12	toxicological 32:3	183:18,20 186:6,23
78:7 130:2 197:1,5	70:21 81:25 86:13	153:13 158:22	110:9	187:11 188:6,16
214:21 215:9 216:1	88:11,20 89:20 93:8	170:5 171:14 182:7	toxicologist 32:4	189:17,24 190:2
216:25	97:16,19 110:21	183:18 186:15	40:17 112:9 130:10	191:15 193:10
test 24:19 102:19	116:8,17,20 117:14	197:2,4 198:21,21	135:19 209:25	195:1 198:2,4,5,7,8
testified 7:25 25:2	118:11,11 120:24	198:23,25 199:1	toxicology 29:13	198:18 199:1
36:18 75:22,25 76:4	121:16 123:15,18	201:14,19 208:21	112:13 130:16	207:13
76:8 81:6 85:9	123:20 124:22	214:7,23 215:12	135:21	tremolite 36:20,21
212:23	126:25 127:23	timeline 211:25	track 178:11 188:24	37:20 38:20,24 39:6
testify 31:23 36:7	134:11 137:22	times 8:19 9:13 54:8	189:16,17	39:13,25 110:9,11
42:9,21 76:17	138:4,6 141:13	72:2 73:7 104:9	trail 24:17 25:10	111:10,21 112:3
209:10	143:23 145:21	115:11 140:9 199:2	trails 25:11	131:15
testifying 23:4 74:9	149:24 154:15	time-weighted 47:23	trained 57:21	trial 68:22 69:16 70:9
80:23	156:21 162:13	201:11,13,23 202:3	training 12:15 32:13	76:13 101:7 198:20
testimony 58:18	163:24 164:7 166:7	title 10:6,7 30:14	32:15,18 40:25 41:3	198:24 199:2
63:19 65:20 68:8,16	168:17 169:8 170:3	192:18 199:3	41:5 57:12,16 68:7	trials 198:12,17
76:19 84:20 101:3,7	170:3 171:1,5,7,15	today 9:18 51:9 56:1	119:3	tried 174:13 179:2
123:25 124:3 208:3	177:8 178:25 183:4	56:5,11,15 57:15	transcribed 215:14	186:8,8,13,15
208:19,24 209:14	183:21 186:19,19	59:2 65:19 82:19	transcript 101:4	193:16
209:23 212:24	189:1 192:17 194:3	84:19 85:2,21 87:5	transferred 16:22	trips 11:15,17
testing 12:14 31:18	194:5,18 196:22	110:11 111:11	181:2	truck 16:19,20,23
166:8	200:9,11 201:8	123:4,10 124:11	transition 148:13	true 82:8 205:13
tests 52:12,15 95:5	204:6 207:23	128:6 129:11 141:7	translates 172:22	213:13,14 215:15
167:7	208:15 213:25	149:12 172:8	176:1	trust 63:25
Texas 3:10	thinking 205:1	179:25 184:8	transmission 171:11	truthfully 9:19
textbooks 29:11	third 17:2 203:19	199:25	transport 18:21	try 38:22 81:21 93:19
31:15	Thirty 120:19	told 168:17 175:6	transportation 15:10	125:5 139:16
thank 101:8 117:12	Thomas 2:4,24	200:10 Torre 2:12 7:2 101:0	16:6,7,12,14,17	143:17 144:5
137:1 197:12	thought 41:12	Tom 2:12 7:3 101:9	17:1,22 188:3 189:3	148:17 180:23
203:15 thanks 210:20	thousands 91:6 169:5 threat 83:24	209:6 Tony 75:3 77:0 78:6	transported 162:15 190:9	186:15 201:24
thanks 210:20 theoretical 115:11	threat 83:24 three 86:11 95:1	Tony 75:3 77:9 78:6		trying 14:5 15:16
they'd 168:3 194:17	103:21 132:8,9	86:13 92:16 93:3 94:1,5	trapped 174:21 travel 114:21 115:23	16:24 26:23 78:15 79:14 98:13 99:15
thickening 59:8,11	133:2 167:7,9	tools 25:24,25	traveled 11:17	108:14 116:13
thin 36:22 205:18	198:11,16 199:2	top 167:14 193:14	174:25	120:24 121:25
thing 133:16 170:25	203:11	topic 12:24 56:15	traveling 16:4 17:20	120:24 121:23
uning 133.10 170.43	403.11	topic 12.24 30.13	Haveing 10.4 17.20	120.2 120.7 134.10
	:	•	•	•

W.R. GRACE & CO.

TERRY M. SPEAR, Ph.D.

July 29, 2009

Page 23

	1	1	1	
147:19 149:10,24	138:13,14,24	138:10 143:14,25	6:12,23 66:17,20	85
150:1,2 153:5	139:13 176:18	148:5 149:9,22,24	129:23 130:1	watc
156:22 162:25	179:10 180:25	150:1,2 169:2 173:6	196:25 197:4	way
166:7,19 172:5	186:20 188:12	179:5 189:14 204:2	214:15,20	17
174:14 180:15	191:16	204:3	Videotape 5:8,9,10	23
187:14,16,21 191:8	understandable	Usually 177:5	5:11	44
192:11,24	49:18	Utah 19:12,24 20:2	videotaped 1:17 6:14	90
Tucker 131:17	understanding 23:19	84:9	66:21 130:2 197:1,5	98
Turbulence 115:13	27:7 29:1 39:1 42:7	U.S 68:19,23 69:10	214:21	10
turn 96:3 141:23	46:8 50:8 51:7 56:2	71:18 72:25 74:1,10	violations 108:20	11
197:9 198:10	56:13 62:9 96:8	75:15 76:13 81:3	virtues 154:24	13
TWA 141:2 202:3,3	109:12 110:10,17	86:20 115:16	Vitae 5:16,17	15
203:4 204:3	110:18,21 112:17		volume 179:9	17
twice 214:18	113:1,5 116:1,19	V	Vorwald 110:6,7,8	18
two 13:21 33:18	118:18 145:19	v 4:5 101:4 148:1	111:17	18
70:11,13 73:2	200:7,8	vague 27:1 33:13,16		18
184:19 187:24	understood 9:23	97:17,19 139:2	W	ways
193:23 194:14	26:19 128:16	vaguely 143:11	wait 22:25	16
203:11,22 215:14	163:24	valley 90:8,8 91:12	walk 88:12,17 140:13	wear
type 14:23 27:25	undertaking 192:16	91:20	164:22 165:3	wear
35:14 37:4,14 69:23	under-counted	value 65:3	180:19 194:16	weat
91:24 92:21 137:7	139:21	values 144:11	walked 153:1	web
166:9 177:9,11	unexpanded 157:8	variability 171:1,6	walking 25:15,16	21
179:4 192:5	161:5,8,11,19 162:1	variable 177:15	194:17,23 195:17	Web
types 12:14 16:18	162:9,11,19,21,23	variables 146:16	195:18	17
17:11 31:10 34:3,22	163:7 189:10,21	variety 68:3	wall 15:2,2	WEI
35:25 41:24 45:5	190:7,10,13	various 35:4 60:20	walls 15:4 17:17	weig
54:9 60:13,14 125:6	unintelligible 28:12	72:2 73:22 95:5	wandering 165:10	weig
126:5 148:14 159:2	Uninterrupted 10:15	143:5 158:19	want 22:25 41:9	Weis
177:14,15 191:7	unique 50:10	159:17 167:7	48:21 68:15 82:22	12
204:16	United 1:1 131:7	vermiculite 5:22	91:9 98:13,24 99:16	well-
typically 90:21 135:4	188:5 189:5	14:18,20,21,23,25	116:16 118:15	went
143:17	units 162:24	15:1,3 17:12,14,23	120:23 136:12	15
Tyvek 21:7	University 8:15 10:4	71:23 117:23	144:17 150:14	were
	10:20 11:1,2,5,11	121:24 126:11	177:6 202:1 204:1	95
U	19:1,7,11,12,24	131:15 155:11,16	207:6,23 210:25	wet
ultimately 19:19	20:2 67:23 84:8	156:10,16 157:8,11	213:12	we'll
106:16 108:8 116:6	unloading 16:23 17:2	157:14,15,23	wanted 40:24 41:18	19
um-hmm 9:10 125:2	17:23	158:20 159:6	62:5 73:18 83:18,19	we'r
144:14	unpack 12:4 36:2	160:13,21 161:5,8	84:16 137:8 140:13	13
uncomfortable	unpublished 155:14	161:11,13,20,22,24	164:23 167:21	38
133:24	unreliable 148:17,19	162:3,11,20,24	180:16 185:19	44
underestimating	148:23 149:25	163:7 168:23 170:9	192:10	52
151:20	unsettles 16:9	180:23 181:1,4,7,11	Ward 75:3 77:9 78:6	67
undergraduate 13:19	unsupportable 140:7	181:13,14,16,19,20	86:13 92:17 94:1	74
underlying 142:24	untrue 213:13	181:21,25 182:1,8	Ward's 93:3 94:5	91
understand 9:25	updated 73:13	188:4,7,13 189:4,11	warn 100:12,16	10
17:13 28:3 33:9	upright 195:1	189:21 190:7,10,13	warning 102:9	11
40:10 47:6 48:23	USA 77:9	196:1 197:16	Washington 2:8,25	114
53:8 73:6 76:19	use 28:7 40:9 67:11	versus 33:23 204:12	3:20 4:9	12
07 00 00 05 116 17	CE 40 40 00 40 55	X7T 1 7 4 O	W 71 F 70 01	

```
5:17 153:3 195:18
 tch 162:7
 y 15:12,21,25 16:9
 7:2 18:11 20:17
 3:23 37:21 40:15
 4:2 56:2,6,12 72:7
 0:2 96:22 97:3,4,5
 8:22 99:19 101:11
 09:14 116:2
 17:18 118:23
 34:4 137:7 139:17
 52:23 166:2
 70:19 171:24
 80:1 181:23 183:5
 83:16 185:9,20
 86:21
 vs 94:20 164:12
 68:18
 ar 12:15
 aring 21:7 165:13
 ather 37:8 42:19
 b 185:4,5,7,8
 11:7,11
 ebber 75:6 78:7
 77:20
 EDNESDAY 6:2
 ight 60:22,25
 ighted 152:11
 eis 126:4,6 127:6
 28:21
 ll-done 60:24
 nt 11:14 46:14
 51:23
 ren't 57:23 58:1,5
 5:12 151:22
 144:18,25 146:6
 11 16:8 51:10
 96:24
 re 6:12 10:1 12:5
 3:17 15:15 20:9
 8:14 40:5,6 41:9
 4:16 45:3 48:6
 2:21 66:14,17,22
 7:11,11,12 74:12
 4:13 75:1 84:5
 1:8 96:18 99:17
 03:15 107:15
 10:23 113:21
 14:14,15 118:19
121:22,23,25
125:18 126:6
129:23 130:3
```

97:20 99:25 116:17

123:3 135:20

67:12,12 98:13,23

98:24 99:5 114:12

videographer 4:24

wasn't 71:5 79:21

81:11,11 84:11

VI 154:9

July 29, 2009

				rage 24
104 10 105 10	111 16 116 10	151 0 14 22 22	111 16 110 1 110 5	0.42.170.11.16
134:18 135:12	111:16 116:19	151:8,14,22,23	111:16 118:1 119:7	0.13 178:11,16
136:15 147:16,19	123:16,21 124:15	152:2,13,18 158:4	125:15 126:3	179:18
147:21 148:11	139:5,6,7 194:16	158:13 161:17,17	129:21 130:22	0.25 178:12 205:18
149:14,16,21 152:8	210:14 215:9,16,17	working 11:7 19:16	133:21 141:15	0.26 202:10
153:22 160:13	witness's 26:18	23:25 29:5 46:19	152:24 154:1	0.29 202:15
161:1 169:1 174:14	wood 78:12,15 79:23	48:1,2 50:15 54:6	162:10 163:21	0.3 47:24
189:15 192:1	83:19 92:20 93:11	61:14,20 72:17 74:1	167:9 168:12 170:3	0.4 47:24
193:13 197:2,6	180:3,12 191:12	75:15 76:12 86:16	173:6,22 177:4	0.5 47:24 147:20
202:25 204:10	192:13 194:14	86:19 114:5 117:5	178:17,25 179:14	0.67 103:21
205:19 214:24	198:19 209:1	120:6 125:6 131:1	179:21 181:15,18	0.72 202:6
we've 14:8 15:8 19:16	woods 82:20	132:17 138:15	191:10,12 193:16	0.8 144:19 145:3,12
26:8,11 27:6 119:5	word 28:17 33:13	139:14,20 160:14	195:22 197:23	01-01139 1:7 6:17
119:7 122:15	94:9 99:16 100:5	212:4	200:11 203:25	03 70:25
128:21 134:22	183:25 189:14	workplace 13:11	206:7,23 208:13	09 6:2
166:6,10,11 171:14	195:19	61:19 72:7	210:19	1
171:17 174:13	words 92:18 98:13	works 56:25 183:16	year 144:12 156:24	1
188:19 191:10	120:23 134:17	worse 206:16	156:24	1 5:8,16 66:1,3,6 67:2
200:25 204:17	171:2	worst 194:18 206:12	years 10:13 11:23	67:9,9,10 72:15
212:4,4	work 8:14 12:23	worst-case 199:12	13:24 14:6,9 19:13	88:19 118:15,19,21
Wheeler 131:16	13:23 14:6,10,12,12	205:24 206:10	19:15 26:18 30:3	125:11 164:4,6,22
WHEREOF 215:17	14:13 19:4,12,14,14	wouldn't 91:2 122:11	46:12,14 50:16 58:2	165:3,10 167:8,9
Whitehouse 55:21	19:19 20:16 22:12	148:10 181:22	61:5 68:7 98:5	172:11,14,19 177:7
56:16 103:19 104:2	22:13,15 23:9,12	204:20 213:3	101:16 114:17	180:11,18 197:13
Whitehouse's 43:15	24:3,4,13,14,16	wrinkles 182:23	115:21 116:3	200:16,19 213:10
43:18 55:5,16	35:18 42:13,13	write 20:1	120:16,19 128:19	1A 172:14
widespread 102:2	57:13 61:4 69:5	writing 74:5	165:20 166:4,4	1% 118:5
willing 70:10 88:17	70:23,25 81:23,25	written 71:20,22	169:5	1,186 53:20
174:9	84:17,18 87:23 93:3	wrong 55:19 172:12	York 175:18 176:20	1,214 145:5
Wilmington 4:19	93:5 94:5,6 120:25	178:9	177:2 188:20	1.1 103:22
winchite 38:19,24	120:25 122:2,17,18	wrote 131:2	7	1.2 176:23
39:10,19,21,24	123:5,8 126:19	W.R 1:7 6:17 7:2 8:9	Z 7.1.10 77.10	1.5 103:22 197:18
112:2	143:18,20 148:9	72:9,14 75:19 76:14	Zolnikov 77:10	213:25
wind 90:9 91:9,9 94:4	153:16 159:21,22	96:12,19 100:15	zone 21:12,15,23	1:07 197:2
windblown 18:14	160:12 166:19,20	101:4 133:8 214:22	26:4 83:21 195:13	1:17 197:4
winter 69:1	170:18 171:3	216:3	197:16 198:13	1:37 214:23
wintertime 91:17	177:16 186:10		199:5 200:5,23	10 94:19 95:2 153:7
wipe 21:6,16,18,19	187:7 188:19 192:7	X	214:12	177:1,23 186:23
21:20,23 22:7,8,10	198:22 207:12	X 5:1,14 129:16	zones 195:8	100 147:13 172:22
195:10 198:11	212:2,5	136:9	Zonolite 17:16,24	11 1:5 94:20 95:2
wipes 22:9,9	worked 10:12 14:14	x-ray 51:23 52:6	18:8 96:13,19	11% 112:2
wiping 21:19	44:9 58:1 73:3	x-rays 52:8 53:17	100:15 159:12	11:08 129:23
wish 87:25	86:16 139:23 160:3	Y	\$	11:47 130:3
Wisler 4:14 7:18,18	185:20 192:4			1107 4:18
withdraw 211:16	207:18 208:9	Y 129:17	\$150 71:16 85:4	1152 3:19
witness 5:2 6:25 7:23	worker 72:15 132:21	yeah 10:16 13:14	0	119 102:5
23:7,9 26:16,21,22	152:12	16:14 23:9 28:14		12 95:5
28:14 30:23 31:22	workers 12:15 20:12	30:23 32:2 33:22	0 165:20	1200 4:8
33:15,18 48:19 49:3	23:25 30:25 44:9,16	52:21 58:22 61:4	0.07 202:12	13 96:3,4 99:18 100:8
49:10,17 50:2 51:6	45:15 100:13,14,16	67:1,11 69:23 75:5	0.1 134:15 147:20	100:9
53:10 63:18 68:8,15	100:22 105:19	78:14 83:18 89:18	173:8	130 5:10
68:18 70:11 71:11	106:11 108:19,19	93:4 95:22 100:7	0.11 206:1	131 5:22
92:5,11 97:18 101:6	115:9 126:14 144:2	105:7 110:6 111:3	0.12 202:12	14 100:9
	_			

July 29, 2009

1401 3:9	163:17,18,23	106:8	5	76 144:18 145:1,1
15 100:25 101:6,10	164:10,13,22 165:4	28 107:3,6	5 5:20 78:1,4,5 80:21	77 5:19,20
215:24	165:10,14 180:18	29 1:24 6:2,19 107:7	81:1,13 88:21 89:1	789 142:3
15th 3:19	183:9 198:10	214:23 216:2	89:4 103:14 133:13	79 103:13
16 101:24	20 6:2 61:4 68:7		133:19,22 134:2,6	
17 52:19,25 102:15	103:17 104:1,13	3	133.19,22 134.2,0	8
102:17	115:13 120:16	3 5:10,18 54:8 74:24	134.25 135.3,13,14	8 5:4 90:6 101:11
1734 1:22 6:20	141:1 166:3	79:8 80:20,25 88:20	140:5 145:8 146:9	180:3
1747 4:8	20-something 46:14	88:25 115:12 130:1	173:1,5,10,10,14,20	8-hr 141:2
18 103:7 114:16	2000 51:19	141:24 163:17	175:1,5,10,10,14,20	8:32 6:12
19 104:6 114:16	2000s 76:3,8	164:18 165:6,7	173.21 176.3,23	8:35 1:25
175:23 176:18	20005 2:8,25 3:20	167:5 196:25 201:3	196:22 203:13,16	80 39:18 115:13
179:16	20006-4604 4:9	3,279 142:14	204:4 206:2	80s 11:14 44:20
1942 140:17	2001 51:19 129:6	30 8:22 61:4 107:10	5.8 176:1	205:9
1950-1990 103:11	2003 14:9 18:25 19:2	115:21 120:18	50 22:6 68:9	84% 112:2
1951 111:18	19:7	31 107:21 116:2	50-or-so 9:1	87 5:21
1956 110:1 142:10	2004 55:9,14,18,21	32 88:4 107:24	52 130:5,6	
1960s 113:11,22	56:1,5,16 129:6	33 108:2,3	53 102:3 130:6	9
1962 144:12	2005 68:25 69:4 70:3	336 140:18 142:9	530 172:16	9 94:18,19,19 95:2
1964 102:1	73:14 127:24	34 108:16	59403-2325 2:16	9,000-something
1965 103:12 148:25	2006 73:14 74:13,16	35 109:10	37403-2323 2.10	53:5
1966 101:17	75:2 79:9 80:20,25	36 109:20 110:4	6	9:43 66:17
1967 102:18 140:20	85:8 127:24 163:16	37 112:15 164:19	6 5:8,21 39:13 87:12	9:49 66:22
142:6 144:12	171:23 197:14	184:21 197:17	87:16 89:13,14,22	90s 125:4
149:15	2007 20:3 44:23	214:2	147:25 150:9	99 125:22
1968 102:5 140:17	76:22 77:14 78:23	38 112:21	184:17	
150:11 151:5	80:5,21 81:1 82:2	39 112:25	6% 112:3	
153:11,24	84:4,7 85:8 155:22		6.7 54:8	
1969 140:18 142:10	174:16	4	60 22:10 171:16	
146:21,23	2008 5:16 21:25	4 5:11,19 46:15 77:5	60s 205:8	
197 5:11	44:23 55:11 66:7	77:7 78:24 80:5,21	600 102:20	
1970 142:13	73:15 76:7,8 81:12	81:1 83:13,14 84:7	655 2:7	
1971 142:3	86:3 155:22 211:19	88:21,25 89:1	66 5:9,16	
1974 140:24	2009 1:24 5:17 6:20	115:12 144:9	6600 53:17	
1975 103:20	67:7,10 73:15 77:22	175:15 176:5	67 5:17	
1976 145:7 146:6	77:23 78:8 79:5,19	178:22 190:16	68 142:6 205:7	
1978 28:21 115:17,22	80:21 81:1,2,13	197:5,8 213:7	69 149:15	
1979 29:3,20,21,23	85:8 86:1 89:4	4's 177:24		
30:1,6,9	209:12 214:23	40 95:6,25 113:4,9	7	
1980 106:10	215:19 216:2	166:4 198:25,25	7 5:22 89:14,22	
1981 142:3	2011 215:24	41 113:24	101:11 131:11,14	
1982 142:14	21 104:16	4116 140:20	131:14 178:10,16	
1987 103:18 130:18	210 5:5	42 114:6,19	178:22 179:17	
131:17	214 5:6 215:15	43 116:9,25	210:18,19	
19899 4:19	22 104:19	44 117:14	70 22:10 149:15	
1990 104:10	23 104:22	45 117:21	70s 31:7 205:9	
1996 11:6 156:19	2325 2:15	46 118:3 129:8	719 197:9 213:10	
1999 125:19,24	24 104:25	461 163:23 165:14	720 200:20	
	25 105:18	462 167:5	721 198:11	
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	26 10:13 46:15	4620 3:9	722 199:7	
2 5:9,17 66:20,24	105:25	464 182:18 187:22	74 5:18	
67:4,7 88:20 140:14	27 88:3 95:6,25 106:5	48 126:4,7	75201 3:10	
	1		<u> </u>	l
Jordhagen Cour		OleProgram not		106 101 200